



**OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY  
GENERAL**

**TAXATION DIVISION**

**COMPTROLLER OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS  
CASE LIST AND SUMMARY OF ISSUES**

**December 2002**

# Table of Contents

Table of Cases .....	ix
Franchise Tax .....	1
3 Beall Brothers 3, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	1
American General Corp. v. Rylander, et al. ....	1
Anderson-Clayton Bros. Funeral Home, Inc.; Restland of Dallas, Inc.; Restland Funeral Home; Singing Hills Funeral Homes, Inc.; Laurel Land Funeral Home of Fort Worth, Inc.; Blue Bonnet Hills Funeral Home, Inc.; and Blue Bonnet Hills Memorial Park, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	2
Bank of Texas, National Association (Formerly Swiss Avenue State Bank) v. Comptroller of Public Accounts .....	2
Central Telephone Co. of Texas and United Telephone Co. of Texas v. Rylander, et al. ....	3
Delco Electronics Corp. v. Sharp, et al. ....	3
First Co. v. Rylander, et al. ....	3
Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Legal & Professional, HBJ Farm Publications, Psychological Corp., Drake Beam Morin, Inc. and Holt Rinehart & Winston, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. ....	4
Holt Rinehart & Winston, Inc., Drake Beam Morin, Inc., Harcourt Professional Education Group, Inc., The Psychological Corp. v. Rylander, et al. ....	4
Inova Diagnostics, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	5
Kerrville Telephone Co., The v. Rylander, et al. ....	5
May Department Stores Co., The v. Sharp, et al. ....	5
Network Security Acceptance Corp., as Successor in Interest to Network Security Corp. v. Sharp, et al. ....	6
North Star Steel Texas, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. ....	6
Palais Royal, Inc. and 3 Beall Brothers 3, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. ....	7
Pfizer, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	7
Randall’s Food & Drugs, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	8
Reliant Energy Corp. (formerly Houston Industries, Inc.) v. Rylander, et al. ....	8
Reliant Energy Gas Transmission Co., f/k/a Noram Gas Transmission Co. v. Rylander, et al. ....	9
Saudi Refining, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	9
Sergeant Enterprises, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. ....	10
Shaklee Corp. d/b/a Shaklee U.S., Inc. v. Sharp, et al. ....	10
Southern Union Co. v. Rylander, et al. ....	10
Specialty Retailers, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	11
Specialty Retailers, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	11
Specialty Retailers, Inc. and 3 Beall Brothers 3, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. ....	12
Texaco Refining & Marketing (East), Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	12
Texas Instruments, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	12

	U.S. Home Corp. v. Rylander, et al. ....	13
	Westcott Communications, Inc., Law Enforcement Television Network, Inc., Westcott ECI, Inc. and TI-IN Acquisition Corp. v. Sharp, et al. ....	13
Sales Tax	.....	15
	Advanta Business Services Corp. v. Rylander, et al. ....	15
	Alexopolous, Dimitrios P. v. Rylander, et al. ....	15
	Alpine Industries, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. ....	16
	America Online, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	16
	American Oil Change Corp. v. Rylander, et al. ....	16
	Aramis Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. ....	17
	Aramis Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	17
	Baldry, Ann d/b/a Annie's Housekeeping Services v. Sharp, et al. ....	18
	Bandas, David v. Rylander, et al. ....	18
	Bedrock General Contractors v. Rylander, et al. ....	19
	Bell Bottom Foundation Co. v. Rylander, et al. ....	19
	Bell Helicopter Textron, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	19
	Big Tex Air Conditioning, Inc. v. Bullock, et al. ....	20
	Boeing North America, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	20
	Border Steel Rolling Mills, Inc. and Border Steel, Inc., as Successor in Interest to Border Steel Rollings Mills, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	21
	Brighton Builders, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. ....	21
	Briscoe, Billy R. v. Rylander, et al. ....	21
	Broadcast Satellite International, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	22
	Broadcast Satellite International, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	22
	Burgess, Connie, Individually and on Behalf of all Similarly Situated Consumers v. Gallery Model Homes, Inc., dba Gallery Furniture and all Similarly Situated Retailers ....	23
	C & T Stone Co. v. Rylander, et al. ....	24
	Cafeteria Operators, L.P. v. Rylander, et al. ....	24
	Central Power & Light Co. v. Sharp, et al. ....	25
	Cervantes, Elsa v. Rylander ....	25
	Church & Dwight Co., Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	25
	Clinique Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. ....	26
	Clinique Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	26
	Coastal Refining & Marketing, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. ....	27
	Colt, Mach V., Trustee of the Harry T. Lloyd Charitable Trust, successor in interest to House of Lloyd, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	27
	Cruz, Eduardo v. Rylander ....	28
	Dillard's, Inc., aka Dillard Department Stores, Inc., and Dillard Texas operating Limited Partnership v. Rylander, et al. ....	28
	E. de la Garza, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	29
	EFW, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	29
	ELC Beauty LLC, as Successor-in-Interest to Aramis Services, Inc. v.	

Rylander, et al. . . . .	29
El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	30
El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	30
Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. . . . .	31
Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. . . . .	31
Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	32
FXI Corp. v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	32
F M Express Food Mart, Inc., and Fouad Hanna Mekkessi v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	32
Fiesta Texas Theme Park, Ltd. v. Sharp, et al. . . . .	33
Fiesta Texas Theme Park, Ltd. and San Antonio Theme Park, L.P. v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	33
Garza, Lawrence v. Sharp, et al. . . . .	34
Gateway Homes, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. . . . .	34
General Dynamics Corp. v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	35
General Dynamics Corp. v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	35
Gift Box Corp. of America, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	35
Graybar Electric Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al. . . . .	36
H.J. Wilson Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al. . . . .	36
Hawa, Hunter Travis on behalf of all others similarly situated v. Red Lobster of Texas, Inc., et al. . . . .	37
Herndon Marine Products, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. . . . .	37
Hines Interests Limited Partnership v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	37
House of Lloyd, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	38
Interpak Terminals, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. . . . .	38
JBI, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	39
JHS Enterprises, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	39
Jerman Cookie Co. v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	39
John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Co., The v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	40
Kennedy, Gary G. dba Kennedy's Korner v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	40
LTV Aerospace & Defense Co. v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	41
LabOne, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	41
Lake Charles Yamaha, Inc. v. Morales, et al. . . . .	42
Laredo Country Club, Inc., A Texas Corp. v. Sharp, et al. . . . .	42
Lebaron Hotel Corp., d/b/a The Lebaron Hotel v. Sharp, et al. . . . .	42
Lee Construction and Maintenance Co. v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	43
Leyendecker Construction, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. . . . .	43
Liaison Resources, L.P., and David S. Claunch v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	44
Liu, Anne Lee v. Rylander . . . . .	44
Local Neon Co., Inc. v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	44
Lockheed Martin Corp., as Successor to Lockheed Martin Vought Systems Corp. and Loral Vought Systems Corp. v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	45
Lockheed Martin Corp. v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	45
Lockheed Corp. v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	46
Lockheed Martin Corp., Successor to Lockheed Martin Vought Systems	

Corp. v. Rylander, et al. ....	46
Medaphis Physicians Services Corp. v. Sharp, et al. ....	47
Melek Corp. v. Rylander ....	47
Melek Corp. v. Rylander, et al. ....	47
Mitchell, Christia Parr v. Rylander, et al. ....	48
Nachhattar Tejpal Legha Enterprises, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	48
National Business Furniture, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. ....	49
Neiman Marcus Group, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. ....	49
Neiman Marcus Group, Inc., The v. Rylander, et al. ....	49
North American Intelcom, Inc., et al. v. Sharp, et al. ....	50
North Texas Asset Management, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. ....	50
Northrop Grumman Systems Corp. (Successor to Northrop Grumman Corp. and Vought Aircraft Co.) v. Rylander, et al. ....	51
Norwood Homes, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. ....	51
Perry Homes, A Joint Venture v. Sharp, et al. ....	52
Petrolite Corp. v. Sharp, et al. ....	52
Pflugerville, City of v. Capital Metropolitan Transportation Authority and Carole Keeton Rylander ....	53
Praxair, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. ....	53
Praxair, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. ....	53
R Communications, Inc. f/k/a RN Communications, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. ....	54
RAI Credit Corp. v. Rylander, et al. ....	54
Ranger Fuels & Maintenance , L.L.C. v. Rylander, et al. ....	55
Raytheon E-Systems, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	55
Raytheon Co., as Successor in Interest to Raytheon Training, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	56
Roadway Express, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	56
Rockwell Collins, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	57
Rollins & Rollins Enterprises, Inc. , dba Country Kwik Stop v. Rylander, et al. ....	57
Sanchez, Hector and Sidney Fernald, et al. v. Southwestern Bell Telephone Co. ....	57
Schoenborn & Doll Enterprises, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	58
Sears Roebuck & Co. v. Rylander, et al. ....	58
Service Merchandise Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al. ....	59
Sharper Image Corp. v. Rylander, et al. ....	59
Sharper Image Corp. v. Rylander, et al. ....	60
Southern Sandblasting and Coatings, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	60
Southwest Food Processing & Refrigerated Services, aka Southwest Refrigerated Warehousing Services v. Rylander, et al. ....	61
Sprint International Communications, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. ....	61
Steamatic of Austin, Inc., et al. v. Rylander, et al. ....	61
Summit Photographix, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	62
Sysco Food Services of Houston, L.P. (f/k/a Sysco Food Service of	

Houston, Inc.) v. Rylander, et al. ....	62
TCCT Real Estate, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	63
TCCT Real Estate, Inc. as Successor to TCC Austin Industrial Overhead v. Rylander, et al. ....	63
TDI-Halter, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	64
Telecable Associates, Inc.; Teleservice Corp. of America; Texas Telecable, Inc.; TCA Cable of Amarillo, Inc.; and Texas Community Antennas, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	64
Tennessee Gas Pipeline Co. v. Sharp, et al. ....	65
Texaco, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	65
Texas Gulf, Inc. v. Bullock, et al. ....	66
Texas Instruments, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	66
Texas Instruments, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	67
Transcontinental Gas Pipeline Corp. v. Rylander, et al. ....	67
USA Waste Services of Houston, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	68
Union Carbide Corp. v. Rylander, et al. ....	68
Unit 82 Joint Venture v. Rylander, et al. ....	69
United Services Automobile Association & USAA Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. ....	69
West Texas Pizza, Limited Partnership v. Sharp, et al. ....	69
Westar Hotels, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. ....	70
World Fitness Centers, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	70
Zale Delaware, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	70
<b>Insurance Tax</b> .....	<b>73</b>
All American Life Insurance Co., et al. v. Rylander, et al. ....	73
All American Life Insurance Co. v. Sharp, et al. ....	73
Allianz Underwriters Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. ....	74
Allmerica Financial Life Insurance Co. and Annuity Co. v. Rylander, et al. ...	74
American Bankers Insurance Co. of Florida, et al. v. Ann Richards, et al. ....	75
American General Life Insurance Co., American National Life Insurance Co., and American National Insurance Co. v. Sharp, et al. ....	75
American International Specialty Lines Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. ....	76
Fireman's Fund Insurance Co. of Ohio v. Rylander, et al. ....	76
IDS Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. ....	77
Lexington Insurance Co., Landmark Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. ....	77
Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., et al. v. A.W. Pogue, et al. ....	78
Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., et al. v. A.W. Pogue, et al. ....	78
Philadelphia Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. ....	78
Security National Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. ....	79
Southwestern Life Insurance Co. v. Sharp, et al. ....	79
Southwestern Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. ....	80
St. Paul Surplus Lines Co. v. Rylander, et al. ....	80
State Farm Life Insurance Co. v. Cornyn, et al. ....	81

United American Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. ....	81
Universe Life Insurance Co. v. State of Texas .....	82
Universe Life Insurance Co., The v. Cornyn, et al. ....	82
Warranty Underwriters Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. ....	83
Other Taxes .....	85
Academy ISD v. Rylander, et al. ....	85
Alvarado ISD v. Rylander .....	85
Arnold, Jessamine J., Estate of, Deceased, and Jim Arnold, Jr., Independent Executor v. Rylander, et al. ....	86
Bailiff, Michael W. and Sylvia S. Bailiff v. Bexar County Appraisal District, et al. ....	86
Belton ISD v. Comptroller of Public Accounts .....	86
Buffalo ISD v. Rylander, et al. ....	87
Campbell ISD, et al. v. Comptroller .....	87
Caldwell, Marcie v. Rylander .....	88
Castleberry ISD; Ennis ISD; Canyon ISD; La Porte ISD v. Comptroller .....	88
Chrysler Financial Co., L.L.C. v. Rylander, et al. ....	89
Cisco ISD v. Rylander, et al. ....	89
Cleburne ISD v. Rylander .....	90
Cockrill, Charles T. v. Comptroller of Public Accounts, et al. ....	90
Cooper ISD v. Comptroller .....	90
DeSoto ISD v. Comptroller of Public Accounts .....	91
Deweyville ISD v. Rylander .....	91
Dorinco Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. ....	92
Eastland ISD v. Rylander, et al. ....	92
El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Sharp .....	93
Fort Davis ISD v. Comptroller .....	93
Fort Worth PR's, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	93
Gainesville ISD v. Comptroller of Public Accounts .....	94
Gainesville ISD v. Comptroller .....	94
Gard, L.V. v. Bandera County Appraisal District; Bandera County Chief Appraiser, R. Elaine Chaney; Bandera County Appraisal Review Board, Paul Goodnight, Chairman; Rylander; and Bandera County Assessor- Collector, Mae Vion Meyer .....	95
Gorman ISD v. Rylander, et al. ....	95
Hernandez, Juan Luis v. Rylander, et al. ....	96
Lake Austin Spa Investors, Ltd. v. Rylander, et al. ....	96
MFC Finance Co. of Texas v. Rylander, et al. ....	97
MFN Financial Corp. v. Rylander, et al. ....	97
McLane Co., Inc. and McLane Foodservice-Lubbock, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ..	97
Mineola ISD v. Comptroller of Public Accounts .....	98
Mineral Wells ISD v. Comptroller .....	98
Mirage Real Estate, Inc., et al. v. Richard Durbin, et al. ....	99

Moody ISD v. Rylander, et al. ....	99
Nacogdoches ISD v. Rylander ....	99
New Crew Quarters 2, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	100
Northside ISD v. Rylander, et al. ....	100
Onalaska ISD v. Comptroller ....	101
P.W. Jones Oil Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al. ....	101
Petro Express Management, L.L.C. v. Rylander, et al. ....	101
Presidio ISD v. Comptroller ....	102
Preston Motors by George L. Preston, Owner v. Sharp, et al. ....	102
Ranger ISD v. Rylander, et al. ....	102
Rosebud-Lott ISD v. Comptroller ....	103
Shelton, James M., Estate of, Deceased, and Carroll A. Maxon, Independent Co-Executor v. Rylander, et al. ....	103
Southside ISD v. Comptroller of Public Accounts ....	104
Troy ISD v. Rylander, et al. ....	104
Uvalde ISD v. Comptroller of Public Accounts ....	105
Valentine ISD v. Comptroller ....	105
West Orange-Cove CISD, Coppell ISD, La Porte ISD, Port Neches-Groves ISD v. Rylander, et al. ....	105
<b>Closed Cases</b> .....	<b>107</b>
American Standard, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. ....	107
American Telephone & Telegraph Co. v. Sharp, et al. ....	107
BHC Co. v. Sharp, et al. ....	107
Beef Products, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	108
B.I. Moyle Associates, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	108
Buffalo ISD v. Comptroller ....	109
Centerville ISD v. Comptroller ....	109
Chevron Chemical Co. v. Rylander, et al. ....	110
Chevron USA, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. ....	110
Choi, Sung Ju d/b/a Sam Young Trading Co. v. Sharp ....	109
Copperas Cove ISD v. Rylander ....	111
D&D Recycling, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	111
Dana Corp. v. Sharp, et al. ....	111
Dekalb ISD v. Comptroller ....	112
Denmon's H2 Safety Services, Inc. v. Sharp ....	112
Dow Chemical Co. v. Rylander, et al. ....	113
Dow Chemical Co., The v. Rylander, et al. ....	113
Federal Home Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. ....	114
First Colony Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. ....	114
GATX Terminals Corp. v. Sharp, et al. ....	114
GATX Terminals Corp. v. Sharp, et al. ....	115
GE Life and Annuity Assurance Co., f/k/a Life Insurance Co. of Virginia v. Rylander, et al. ....	115

Galleria Limited v. Rylander, et al. ....	116
General Electric Capital Assurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. ....	116
Great Northern Insured Annuity Corp. v. Rylander, et al. ....	116
Harvest Life Insurance Co., The v. Rylander, et al. ....	117
Heritage Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. ....	117
Heritage Numismatic Auctions, Inc. and Heritage Capital Corp. v. Rylander, et al. ....	118
Holzem, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. ....	118
House of Lloyd, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. ....	118
House of Lloyd, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. ....	119
Jett Racing and Sales, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. ....	120
L. D. Brinkman & Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al. ....	120
Liberty National Life Insurance Co. v. Martha Whitehead, et al. ....	120
Marathon Oil Co. v. Rylander, et al. ....	121
Melek Corp. v. Rylander ....	121
New Boston ISD v. Comptroller ....	122
Oakwood ISD v. Comptroller ....	122
Painter, Lisa G. v. Rylander, et al. ....	122
Paragon Communications v. Sharp, et al. ....	123
Peter Piper, Inc. and L & H Pacific, L.L.C. v. Sharp, et al. ....	123
Principal Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. ....	124
Prodigy Services Co. v. Rylander, et al. ....	124
Richland Development Corp. v. Comptroller, et al. ....	124
Sam Houston Race Park, Ltd. v. Rylander, et al. ....	125
Schlumberger Technology Corp. v. Rylander, et al. ....	125
Schmitz Industries, Inc. v. Sharp ....	126
Southwest Pay Telephone Corp., Successor in Interest to Southwest Pay Telephone Systems, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. ....	126
Spaw-Glass, Inc. and Spaw Glass Construction Co. v. Rylander, et al. ....	126
Texas Workers' Compensation Insurance Facility v. Comptroller ....	127
Texas Workers' Compensation Insurance Facility v. Comptroller, et al. ....	127
U.S. On-Line Cable v. Rylander, et al. ....	128
Union Fidelity Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. ....	128
Union Pacific Resources Co. v. Sharp, et al. ....	128
Union Standard Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. ....	129
United Services Automobile Association v. Sharp, et al. ....	129
Universal Frozen Foods Co., its Successors-in-Interest, Conagra, Inc. and Lamb Weston, Inc., and Universal Foods Corp. v. Sharp, et al. ....	130
Waller Hotel Group, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. ....	130
Wheelabrator Corp., The and Swindell Dressler Leasing Co. v. Sharp, et al. ..	131
Xerox Credit Corp. v. Rylander, et al. ....	131
<b>Index</b> .....	<b>133</b>

## Table of Cases

3 Beall Brothers 3, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	1
Academy ISD v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	85
Advanta Business Services Corp. v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	15
Alexopolous, Dimitrios P. v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	15
All American Life Insurance Co., et al. v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	73
All American Life Insurance Co. v. Sharp, et al. . . . .	73
Allianz Underwriters Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	74
Allmerica Financial Life Insurance Co. and Annuity Co. v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	74
Alpine Industries, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. . . . .	16
Alvarado ISD v. Rylander . . . . .	85
America Online, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	16
American Bankers Insurance Co. of Florida, et al. v. Ann Richards, et al. . . . .	75
American General Corp. v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	1
American General Life Insurance Co., American National Life Insurance Co., and American National Insurance Co. v. Sharp, et al. . . . .	75
American International Specialty Lines Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	76
American Oil Change Corp. v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	16
American Standard, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. . . . .	107
American Telephone & Telegraph Co. v. Sharp, et al. . . . .	107
Anderson-Clayton Bros. Funeral Home, Inc.; Restland of Dallas, Inc.; Restland Funeral Home; Singing Hills Funeral Homes, Inc.; Laurel Land Funeral Home of Fort Worth, Inc.; Blue Bonnet Hills Funeral Home, Inc.; and Blue Bonnet Hills Memorial Park, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	2
Aramis Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. . . . .	17
Aramis Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	17
Arnold, Jessamine J., Estate of, Deceased, and Jim Arnold, Jr., Independent Executor v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	86
B.I. Moyle Associates, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	108
Bailiff, Michael W. and Sylvia S. Bailiff v. Bexar County Appraisal District, et al. . . . .	86
Baldry, Ann d/b/a Annie's Housekeeping Services v. Sharp, et al. . . . .	18
Bandas, David v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	18
Bank of Texas, National Association (Formerly Swiss Avenue State Bank) v. Comptroller of Public Accounts . . . . .	2
Bedrock General Contractors v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	19
Beef Products, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	108
Bell Bottom Foundation Co. v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	19
Bell Helicopter Textron, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	19
Belton ISD v. Comptroller of Public Accounts . . . . .	86
BHC Co. v. Sharp, et al. . . . .	107
Big Tex Air Conditioning, Inc. v. Bullock, et al. . . . .	20

Boeing North America, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	20
Border Steel Rolling Mills, Inc. and Border Steel, Inc., as Successor in Interest to Border Steel Rollings Mills, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	21
Brighton Builders, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. ....	21
Briscoe, Billy R. v. Rylander, et al. ....	21
Broadcast Satellite International, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	22
Broadcast Satellite International, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	22
Buffalo ISD v. Rylander, et al. ....	87
Buffalo ISD v. Comptroller ....	109
Burgess, Connie, Individually and on Behalf of all Similarly Situated Consumers v. Gallery Model Homes, Inc., dba Gallery Furniture and all Similarly Situated Retailers ....	23
C & T Stone Co. v. Rylander, et al. ....	24
Cafeteria Operators, L.P. v. Rylander, et al. ....	24
Caldwell, Marcie v. Rylander ....	88
Campbell ISD, et al. v. Comptroller ....	87
Castleberry ISD; Ennis ISD; Canyon ISD; La Porte ISD v. Comptroller ....	88
Centerville ISD v. Comptroller ....	109
Central Power & Light Co. v. Sharp, et al. ....	25
Central Telephone Co. of Texas and United Telephone Co. of Texas v. Rylander, et al. ....	3
Cervantes, Elsa v. Rylander ....	25
Chevron Chemical Co. v. Rylander, et al. ....	110
Chevron USA, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. ....	110
Choi, Sung Ju d/b/a Sam Young Trading Co. v. Sharp ....	109
Chrysler Financial Co., L.L.C. v. Rylander, et al. ....	89
Church & Dwight Co., Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	25
Cisco ISD v. Rylander, et al. ....	89
Cleburne ISD v. Rylander ....	90
Clinique Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. ....	26
Clinique Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	26
Coastal Refining & Marketing, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. ....	27
Cockrill, Charles T. v. Comptroller of Public Accounts, et al. ....	90
Colt, Mach V., Trustee of the Harry T. Lloyd Charitable Trust, successor in interest to House of Lloyd, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	27
Cooper ISD v. Comptroller ....	90
Copperas Cove ISD v. Rylander ....	111
Cruz, Eduardo v. Rylander ....	28
D&D Recycling, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	111
Dana Corp. v. Sharp, et al. ....	111
Dekalb ISD v. Comptroller ....	112
Delco Electronics Corp. v. Sharp, et al. ....	3
Denmon's H2 Safety Services, Inc. v. Sharp ....	112
DeSoto ISD v. Comptroller of Public Accounts ....	91
Deweyville ISD v. Rylander ....	91
Dillard's, Inc., aka Dillard Department Stores, Inc., and Dillard Texas operating Limited	

Partnership v. Rylander, et al. ....	28
Dorinco Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. ....	92
Dow Chemical Co., The v. Rylander, et al. ....	113
Dow Chemical Co. v. Rylander, et al. ....	113
E. de la Garza, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	29
Eastland ISD v. Rylander, et al. ....	92
EFW, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	29
ELC Beauty LLC, as Successor-in-Interest to Aramis Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	29
El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Sharp ....	93
El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Rylander, et al. ....	30
El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Rylander, et al. ....	30
Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. ....	31
Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. ....	31
Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	32
F M Express Food Mart, Inc., and Fouad Hanna Mekdessi v. Rylander, et al. ....	32
FXI Corp. v. Rylander, et al. ....	32
Federal Home Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. ....	114
Fiesta Texas Theme Park, Ltd. and San Antonio Theme Park, L.P. v. Rylander, et al. ....	33
Fiesta Texas Theme Park, Ltd. v. Sharp, et al. ....	33
Fireman’s Fund Insurance Co. of Ohio v. Rylander, et al. ....	76
First Co. v. Rylander, et al. ....	3
First Colony Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. ....	114
Fort Davis ISD v. Comptroller ....	93
Fort Worth PR’s, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	93
GATX Terminals Corp. v. Sharp, et al. ....	115
GATX Terminals Corp. v. Sharp, et al. ....	114
GE Life and Annuity Assurance Co., f/k/a Life Insurance Co. of Virginia v. Rylander, et al. .	115
Gainesville ISD v. Comptroller ....	94
Gainesville ISD v. Comptroller of Public Accounts ....	94
Galleria Limited v. Rylander, et al. ....	116
Gard, L.V. v. Bandera County Appraisal District; Bandera County Chief Appraiser, R. Elaine Chaney; Bandera County Appraisal Review Board, Paul Goodnight, Chairman; Rylander; and Bandera County Assessor-Collector, Mae Vion Meyer . . . .	95
Garza, Lawrence v. Sharp, et al. ....	34
Gateway Homes, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. ....	34
General Dynamics Corp. v. Rylander, et al. ....	35
General Dynamics Corp. v. Rylander, et al. ....	35
General Electric Capital Assurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. ....	116
Gift Box Corp. of America, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	35
Gorman ISD v. Rylander, et al. ....	95
Graybar Electric Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al. ....	36
Great Northern Insured Annuity Corp. v. Rylander, et al. ....	116
H.J. Wilson Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al. ....	36
Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Legal & Professional, HBJ Farm Publications, Psychological	

Corp., Drake Beam Morin, Inc. and Holt Rinehart & Winston, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. . . . .	4
Harvest Life Insurance Co., The v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	117
Hawa, Hunter Travis on behalf of all others similarly situated v. Red Lobster of Texas, Inc., et al. . . . .	37
Heritage Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	117
Heritage Numismatic Auctions, Inc. and Heritage Capital Corp. v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	118
Hernandez, Juan Luis v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	96
Herndon Marine Products, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. . . . .	37
Hines Interests Limited Partnership v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	37
Holt Rinehart & Winston, Inc., Drake Beam Morin, Inc., Harcourt Professional Education Group, Inc., The Psychological Corp. v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	4
Holzem, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. . . . .	118
House of Lloyd, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	38
House of Lloyd, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. . . . .	118
House of Lloyd, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. . . . .	119
IDS Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	77
Inova Diagnostics, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	5
Interpak Terminals, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. . . . .	38
JBI, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	39
JHS Enterprises, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	39
Jerman Cookie Co. v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	39
Jett Racing and Sales, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. . . . .	120
John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Co., The v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	40
Kennedy, Gary G. dba Kennedy's Korner v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	40
Kerrville Telephone Co., The v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	5
L. D. Brinkman & Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al. . . . .	120
LTV Aerospace & Defense Co. v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	41
LabOne, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	41
Lake Austin Spa Investors, Ltd. v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	96
Lake Charles Yamaha, Inc. v. Morales, et al. . . . .	42
Laredo Country Club, Inc., A Texas Corp. v. Sharp, et al. . . . .	42
Lebaron Hotel Corp., d/b/a The Lebaron Hotel v. Sharp, et al. . . . .	42
Lee Construction and Maintenance Co. v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	43
Lexington Insurance Co., Landmark Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	77
Leyendecker Construction, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. . . . .	43
Liaison Resources, L.P., and David S. Claunch v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	44
Liberty National Life Insurance Co. v. Martha Whitehead, et al. . . . .	120
Liu, Anne Lee v. Rylander . . . . .	44
Local Neon Co., Inc. v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	44
Lockheed Corp. v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	46
Lockheed Martin Corp. v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	45
Lockheed Martin Corp., as Successor to Lockheed Martin Vought Systems Corp. and Loral Vought Systems Corp. v. Rylander, et al. . . . .	45
Lockheed Martin Corp., Successor to Lockheed Martin Vought Systems Corp. v.	

Rylander, et al. ....	46
MFC Finance Co. of Texas v. Rylander, et al. ....	97
MFN Financial Corp. v. Rylander, et al. ....	97
Marathon Oil Co. v. Rylander, et al. ....	121
May Department Stores Co., The v. Sharp, et al. ....	5
McLane Co., Inc. and McLane Foodservice-Lubbock, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	97
Medaphis Physicians Services Corp. v. Sharp, et al. ....	47
Melek Corp. v. Rylander ....	121
Melek Corp. v. Rylander, et al. ....	47
Melek Corp. v. Rylander ....	47
Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., et al. v. A.W. Pogue, et al. ....	78
Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., et al. v. A.W. Pogue, et al. ....	78
Mineola ISD v. Comptroller of Public Accounts ....	98
Mineral Wells ISD v. Comptroller ....	98
Mirage Real Estate, Inc., et al. v. Richard Durbin, et al. ....	99
Mitchell, Christia Parr v. Rylander, et al. ....	48
Moody ISD v. Rylander, et al. ....	99
Nachhattar Tejpal Legha Enterprises, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	48
Nacogdoches ISD v. Rylander ....	99
National Business Furniture, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. ....	49
Neiman Marcus Group, Inc., The v. Rylander, et al. ....	49
Neiman Marcus Group, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. ....	49
Network Security Acceptance Corp., as Successor in Interest to Network Security Corp. v. Sharp, et al. ....	6
New Boston ISD v. Comptroller ....	122
New Crew Quarters 2, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	100
North American Intelcom, Inc., et al. v. Sharp, et al. ....	50
North Star Steel Texas, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. ....	6
North Texas Asset Management, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. ....	50
Northrop Grumman Systems Corp. (Successor to Northrop Grumman Corp. and Vought Aircraft Co.) v. Rylander, et al. ....	51
Northside ISD v. Rylander, et al. ....	100
Norwood Homes, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. ....	51
Oakwood ISD v. Comptroller ....	122
Onalaska ISD v. Comptroller ....	101
P.W. Jones Oil Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al. ....	101
Painter, Lisa G. v. Rylander, et al. ....	122
Palais Royal, Inc. and 3 Beall Brothers 3, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. ....	7
Paragon Communications v. Sharp, et al. ....	123
Perry Homes, A Joint Venture v. Sharp, et al. ....	52
Peter Piper, Inc. and L & H Pacific, L.L.C. v. Sharp, et al. ....	123
Petro Express Management, L.L.C. v. Rylander, et al. ....	101
Petrolite Corp. v. Sharp, et al. ....	52
Pfizer, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	7

Pflugerville, City of v. Capital Metropolitan Transportation Authority and Carole Keeton Rylander	53
Philadelphia Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al.	78
Praxair, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	53
Praxair, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	53
Presidio ISD v. Comptroller	102
Preston Motors by George L. Preston, Owner v. Sharp, et al.	102
Principal Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al.	124
Prodigy Services Co. v. Rylander, et al.	124
R Communications, Inc. f/k/a RN Communications, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	54
RAI Credit Corp. v. Rylander, et al.	54
Randall’s Food & Drugs, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	8
Ranger Fuels & Maintenance , L.L.C. v. Rylander, et al.	55
Ranger ISD v. Rylander, et al.	102
Raytheon Co., as Successor in Interest to Raytheon Training, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	56
Raytheon E-Systems, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	55
Reliant Energy Corp. (formerly Houston Industries, Inc.) v. Rylander, et al.	8
Reliant Energy Gas Transmission Co., f/k/a Noram Gas Transmission Co. v. Rylander, et al.	9
Richland Development Corp. v. Comptroller, et al.	124
Roadway Express, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	56
Rockwell Collins, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	57
Rollins & Rollins Enterprises, Inc. , dba Country Kwik Stop v. Rylander, et al.	57
Rosebud-Lott ISD v. Comptroller	103
Sam Houston Race Park, Ltd. v. Rylander, et al.	125
Sanchez, Hector and Sidney Fernald, et al. v. Southwestern Bell Telephone Co.	57
Saudi Refining, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	9
Schlumberger Technology Corp. v. Rylander, et al.	125
Schmitz Industries, Inc. v. Sharp	126
Schoenborn & Doll Enterprises, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	58
Sears Roebuck & Co. v. Rylander, et al.	58
Security National Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al.	79
Sergeant Enterprises, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	10
Service Merchandise Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	59
Shaklee Corp. d/b/a Shaklee U.S., Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	10
Sharper Image Corp. v. Rylander, et al.	60
Sharper Image Corp. v. Rylander, et al.	59
Shelton, James M., Estate of, Deceased, and Carroll A. Maxon, Independent Co-Executor v. Rylander, et al.	103
Southern Sandblasting and Coatings, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	60
Southern Union Co. v. Rylander, et al.	10
Southside ISD v. Comptroller of Public Accounts	104
Southwest Food Processing & Refrigerated Services, aka Southwest Refrigerated Warehousing Services v. Rylander, et al.	61
Southwest Pay Telephone Corp., Successor in Interest to Southwest Pay Telephone	

Systems, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. ....	126
Southwestern Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. ....	80
Southwestern Life Insurance Co. v. Sharp, et al. ....	79
Spaw-Glass, Inc. and Spaw Glass Construction Co. v. Rylander, et al. ....	126
Specialty Retailers, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	11
Specialty Retailers, Inc. and 3 Beall Brothers 3, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. ....	12
Specialty Retailers, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	11
Sprint International Communications, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. ....	61
St. Paul Surplus Lines Co. v. Rylander, et al. ....	80
State Farm Life Insurance Co. v. Cornyn, et al. ....	81
Steamatic of Austin, Inc., et al. v. Rylander, et al. ....	61
Summit Photographix, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	62
Sysco Food Services of Houston, L.P. (f/k/a Sysco Food Service of Houston, Inc.) v. Rylander, et al. ....	62
TCCT Real Estate, Inc. as Successor to TCC Austin Industrial Overhead v. Rylander, et al. . .	63
TCCT Real Estate, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	63
TDI-Halter, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	64
Telecable Associates, Inc.; Teleservice Corp. of America; Texas Telecable, Inc.; TCA Cable of Amarillo, Inc.; and Texas Community Antennas, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	64
Tennessee Gas Pipeline Co. v. Sharp, et al. ....	65
Texaco, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	65
Texaco Refining & Marketing (East), Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	12
Texas Gulf, Inc. v. Bullock, et al. ....	66
Texas Instruments, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	12
Texas Instruments, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	67
Texas Instruments, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	66
Texas Workers' Compensation Insurance Facility v. Comptroller, et al. ....	127
Texas Workers' Compensation Insurance Facility v. Comptroller ....	127
Transcontinental Gas Pipeline Corp. v. Rylander, et al. ....	67
Troy ISD v. Rylander, et al. ....	104
U.S. Home Corp. v. Rylander, et al. ....	13
U.S. On-Line Cable v. Rylander, et al. ....	128
USA Waste Services of Houston, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	68
Union Carbide Corp. v. Rylander, et al. ....	68
Union Fidelity Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. ....	128
Union Pacific Resources Co. v. Sharp, et al. ....	128
Union Standard Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. ....	129
Unit 82 Joint Venture v. Rylander, et al. ....	69
United American Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. ....	81
United Services Automobile Association & USAA Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. ....	69
United Services Automobile Association v. Sharp, et al. ....	129
Universal Frozen Foods Co., its Successors-in-Interest, Conagra, Inc. and Lamb Weston, Inc., and Universal Foods Corp. v. Sharp, et al. ....	130
Universe Life Insurance Co., The v. Cornyn, et al. ....	82

Universe Life Insurance Co. v. State of Texas .....	82
Uvalde ISD v. Comptroller of Public Accounts .....	105
Valentine ISD v. Comptroller .....	105
Waller Hotel Group, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. ....	130
Warranty Underwriters Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. ....	83
West Orange-Cove CISD, Coppell ISD, La Porte ISD, Port Neches-Groves ISD v. Rylander, et al. ....	105
West Texas Pizza, Limited Partnership v. Sharp, et al. ....	69
Westar Hotels, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. ....	70
Westcott Communications, Inc., Law Enforcement Television Network, Inc., Westcott ECI, Inc. and TI-IN Acquisition Corp. v. Sharp, et al. ....	13
Wheelabrator Corp., The and Swindell Dressler Leasing Co. v. Sharp, et al. ....	131
World Fitness Centers, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	70
Xerox Credit Corp. v. Rylander, et al. ....	131
Zale Delaware, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. ....	70

## Franchise Tax

### **3 Beall Brothers 3, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN002755

AG Case #001354026

Franchise Tax; Refund Filed: 09/15/00 Period: 1993 Amount: \$265,995	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christine Monzingo  Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether the franchise tax was applied retroactively to deny Plaintiff a business loss carry forward. Whether the officer and director compensation add-back is unconstitutional.

Status: Answer filed.

---

### **American General Corp. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN003178

AG Case #001375419

Franchise Tax; Protest Filed: 10/31/00 Period: 1994-1998 Amount: \$2,131,754.78	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christine Monzingo  Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Eric Hagenswold Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--	---	---

Issue: Whether intercorporate receipts should be excluded from gross receipts. Whether certain obligations were debts. Whether the Comptroller's application of the debt deduction statute violates equal protection. Whether an indirect tax on post-retirement benefits violates ERISA and the supremacy doctrine. Whether interest should be waived. Whether the assessment violates equal taxation, equal protection, due process, commerce clause, the Tax Code, the Administrative Code, was in excess of statutory authority, was made through unlawful procedure, and was arbitrary and capricious.

Status: Settled.

---

**Anderson-Clayton Bros. Funeral Home, Inc.; Restland of Dallas, Inc.; Restland Funeral Home; Singing Hills Funeral Homes, Inc.; Laurel Land Funeral Home of Fort Worth, Inc.; Blue Bonnet Hills Funeral Home, Inc.; and Blue Bonnet Hills Memorial Park, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #99-12183

AG Case #99-1227646

Franchise Tax; Refund Filed: 10/18/99 Period: 1993-1996 Amount: \$407,212.91 \$107,861.97	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson  Jan Soifer Locke, Liddell & Sapp Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether income earned on Plaintiff's trust accounts for prepaid funeral services gives rise to Texas gross receipts.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial set 05/05/03.

---

**Bank of Texas, National Association (Formerly Swiss Avenue State Bank) v. Comptroller of Public Accounts** Cause #GN103976

AG Case #01535283

Franchise Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 12/03/01 Period: 2001 Amount: \$218,056.52	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Blake Hawthorne  J. Lawrence Temple Temple & Temple Austin  Frederic Dorwart Tulsa, Oklahoma
---	---	---

Issue: Whether conversion from a state bank to a national bank is a merger for franchise tax purposes. Whether the national bank must file an initial return. Whether treatment of the conversion as a merger is preempted by federal law.

Status: Motion for Summary Judgment hearing on hold.

---

**Central Telephone Co. of Texas and United Telephone Co. of Texas v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN100332  
AG Case #011409646

Franchise Tax; Protest & Refund	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Blake Hawthorne
Filed: 02/01/01	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Mark W. Eidman
Period: 1988-1994		Ray Langenberg
Amount: \$300,772.95		Scott, Douglass & McConnico
\$204,616.25		Austin

Issue: Whether inclusion of access charges in Texas' gross receipts violates Comptroller rules on franchise tax treatment of interstate telephone receipts. Whether inclusion of the charges violates equal protection.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Delco Electronics Corp. v. Sharp, et al.** Cause #97-12045  
AG Case #97-843052

Franchise Tax; Refund	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Christine Monzingo
Filed: 10/22/97	Plaintiff's Counsel:	L.G. Skip Smith
Period: 1992-1995		Clark, Thomas & Winters
Amount: \$536,478		Austin

Issue: Whether interest, rental and royalty income earned by Plaintiff should not be included in income because it was derived from discrete business enterprises that served an investment, rather than an operational function, and the activities producing the income were not part of the unitary business conducted by Plaintiff in Texas.

Status: Settled.

---

**First Co. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN200229  
AG Case #021556980

Franchise Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Christine Monzingo
Filed: 01/24/02	Plaintiff's Counsel:	James F. Martens
Period: 1996 through 1999		Christina A. Mondrik
Amount: \$1,919,109		Stahl, Martens & Bernal
		Austin

Issue: Whether the throwback rule is unconstitutional and violates P.L. 86-272. Whether apportionment under the throwback rule, when compared to a separate accounting method, creates such a gross disparity in taxable income as to be unconstitutional. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory judgment and attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Legal & Professional, HBJ Farm Publications, Psychological Corp., Drake Beam Morin, Inc. and Holt Rinehart & Winston, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.** Cause #97-03795  
AG Case #97-706290

Franchise Tax; Protest and Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Blake Hawthorne
Filed: 03/28/97	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jess M. Irwin, III
Period: 1987-1990		Steven D. Moore
1989-1991		Jackson & Walker
1988-1991		Austin
Amount: \$243,469 (total of all)		

Issue: Whether inter-company payable account obligations should have been excluded from debt for purposes of calculating franchise tax. Attorneys fees.

Status: Plaintiffs presented written settlement offer.

---

**Holt Rinehart & Winston, Inc., Drake Beam Morin, Inc., Harcourt Professional Education Group, Inc., The Psychological Corp. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN100985  
AG Case #011433455

Franchise Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Blake Hawthorne
Filed: 04/03/01	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Steven D. Moore
Period: 1992-1994		Jackson Walker LLP
Amount: \$512,387.46		Austin

Issue: Whether intercompany payable account obligations should have been excluded from debt for purposes of calculating franchise tax. Attorneys fees.

Status: Answer filed. Comptroller considering settlement offer.

---

***Inova Diagnostics, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.*** Cause #GN201829  
AG Case #021626213

Franchise Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 06/03/02 Period: 1997 & 1998 Amount: \$275 \$347	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Steve Rodriguez  Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr. Christina A. Mondrik Stahl, Martens & Bernal Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether taxpayer has nexus with Texas. Whether the capital- based franchise tax is measured by net income for purposes of P.L. 86-272. Whether the Comptroller wrongfully forfeited plaintiff's corporate privileges. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial set 03/24/03.

---

***Kerrville Telephone Co., The v. Rylander, et al.*** Cause #GN00058  
AG Case #001258219

Franchise Tax; Protest & Refund Filed: 01/05/00 Period: 1992-1995 Amount: \$48,437.57	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Blake Hawthorne  C. Morris Davis McGinnis, Lochridge & Kilgore Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether receipts from access and billing charges to inter-exchange carriers and from subscriber line charges are Texas gross receipts. Whether the Comptroller failed to follow Rule 3.357 (e)(39), thereby denying due process to Plaintiff.

Status: Inactive.

---

***May Department Stores Co., The v. Sharp, et al.*** Cause #98-06899  
AG Case #98-983559

Franchise Tax; Refund Filed: 06/26/98 Period: 1991-1995 Amount: \$207,375	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christine Monzingo  L.G. Skip Smith Clark, Thomas & Winters Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's officer and director compensation should be added to taxable surplus for franchise tax purposes.

Status: Retained on suspense docket. See *Palais Royal & 3 Beall Brothers 3, Inc. v. Comptroller*.

---

**Network Security Acceptance Corp., as Successor in Interest to Network Security Corp. v. Sharp, et al.** Cause #95-15698  
AG Case #96-437029

Franchise Tax; Protest Filed: 12/21/95 Period: 1986-1987 Amount: \$355,619	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christine Monzingo  David E. Cowling Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue Dallas
---	---	---

Issue: Whether acquisition debt incurred by an acquiring corporation may be pushed down to the acquired corporation to reduce taxable capital.

Status: Settled.

---

**North Star Steel Texas, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.** Cause #98-12019  
AG Case #98-1071152

Franchise Tax; Refund Filed: 10/23/98 Period: 1992-1995 Amount: \$725,830	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christine Monzingo  James F. Martens Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr. Stahl, Martens & Bernal Austin
--	---	---

Issue: Whether Comptroller properly interpreted the throw-back rule for purposes of apportioning gross receipts.

Status: Discovery in progress. Non-jury trial set 04/07/03.

---

**Palais Royal, Inc. and 3 Beall Brothers 3, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.** Cause #96-03719  
#03-01-00224-CV  
AG Case #96-495867

Franchise Tax; Protest Filed: 04/01/96 Period: 1992-1993 (3 Beall) 1992-1995 (Palais) Amount: \$700,974	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christine Monzingo  Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether the 1991 Franchise Tax Statute is unconstitutionally retroactive as applied to the 1992 report year of a fiscal year taxpayer. Whether the officer-director add-back statute is unconstitutional under equal taxation provisions. Whether the implementation of the earned surplus tax component violated due process.

Status: Trial court granted Plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment on the due process, retroactivity, and equal tax issues, and granted the State's Motion for Summary Judgment on the officer-director compensation add-back issue. Judgment signed 01/29/01. Appellants' brief filed 06/22/01. Appellees' brief filed 10/05/01. Oral argument held 10/17/01. Appellees' post-submission brief filed 10/29/01. Appellants' post-submission brief filed. Appellees' post-submission letter brief filed. Third Court of Appeals reversed and rendered judgment for Comptroller on all issues. Petition for Review filed 08/13/02. Respondents' brief filed 09/12/02. Petition denied. Motion for Rehearing filed 11/14/02.

---

**Pfizer, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN001781  
AG Case #001323641

Franchise Tax; Protest Filed: 06/20/00 Period: 1994-1996 Amount: \$309,078	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christine Monzingo  Mark W. Eidman Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether franchise tax is due on gain from sale of an operating division that was capitalized, incorporated and sold. Whether receipts from sales of drugs shipped from outside Texas should be included in Texas' earned surplus gross receipts. Whether the throw-back rule applies to Michigan sales. Whether tax on income earned before the effective date of the earned surplus component is unconstitutional. Whether all penalty and interest should be waived.

Status: Cross-motions for summary judgment denied 02/06/02. Non-jury trial to be set.

---

***Randall's Food & Drugs, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.*** Cause #GN003174  
AG Case #001375450

Franchise Tax; Protest & Refund	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Christine Monzingo
Filed: 10/31/00	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jasper G. Taylor, III
Period: 1994-1997		Jay M. Chadha
Amount: \$4,006,942.39		Fulbright & Jaworski Houston

Issue: Whether the Comptroller's Rule 3.555(g)(3), which denies a carry forward of business losses of a merged corporation by the surviving corporation, is an unconstitutional retroactive law or a violation of Texas and Delaware statutes on mergers. Whether compensation of officers and directors should have been added back to Plaintiff's income and whether doing so violates constitutional equal taxation requirements. Whether some receipts were incorrectly treated as Texas receipts. Whether surplus calculation by the Comptroller should have excluded increases from push-down accounting. Whether failure to waive penalties and interest was arbitrary. Whether the audit has calculation errors. Whether the Comptroller's determination and decision violate equal protection, due process, and other constitutional provisions.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***Reliant Energy Corp. (formerly Houston Industries, Inc.) v. Rylander, et al.***  
Cause #GN103935  
AG Case #011532348

Franchise Tax; Refund	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Christine Monzingo
Filed: 11/28/01	Plaintiff's Counsel:	L.G. Skip Smith
Period: 1998		David H. Gilliland
Amount: \$2,581,013.52		Clark, Thomas & Winters Austin

Issue: Whether plaintiff may use business loss carry- forward from non-surviving corporation in merger to reduce its franchise tax.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Reliant Energy Gas Transmission Co., f/k/a Noram Gas Transmission Co. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #99-08127  
AG Case #99-1187675

Franchise Tax; Refund Filed: 07/15/99 Period: 1996 Amount: \$163,758.10	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt  L.G. Skip Smith David H. Gilliland Clark, Thomas & Winters Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether a business loss carry-forward of a merged corporation may be used to reduce the surviving corporation's franchise tax.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

**Saudi Refining, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #99-04227  
AG Case #99-1155755

Franchise Tax; Refund & Protest Filed: 04/09/99 Period: 1994-1995 Amount: \$502,834.84 & \$190,000.58	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson  Ira A. Lipstet Therese L. Surprenant Jenkins & Gilchrist Austin
--	---	---

Issue: Whether Plaintiff may take franchise tax credit as a joint venture partner for equipment sales taxes paid by the joint venture.

Status: Motion to retain granted. Order waiving mediation granted 05/29/01. Discovery in progress. Motion for Summary Judgment hearing set 12/16/02.

---

**Sergeant Enterprises, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.** Cause #96-15475  
AG Case #97-652613

Franchise Tax; Refund Filed: 12/31/96 Period: 1995 Amount: \$42,968	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christine Monzingo  Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether a business loss carry-forward can be transferred to another corporation by way of merger and whether Rule 3.555 prohibiting such a transfer is applicable to audit periods before the effective date of the rule.

Status: Cross-motions for summary judgment set for hearing 12/11/02.

---

**Shaklee Corp. d/b/a Shaklee U.S., Inc. v. Sharp, et al.** Cause #96-06767  
AG Case #96-537466

Franchise Tax; Refund Filed: 6/10/96 Period: 1992-1993 Amount: \$10,261	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christine Monzingo  David E. Cowling Charolette Noel Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue Dallas
--	---	--

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's officer and director compensation should be added to taxable surplus for franchise tax purposes.

Status: Non-suit filed.

---

**Southern Union Co. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN003692  
AG Case #011399409

Franchise Tax; Refund Filed: 12/29/00 Period: 1994 Amount: \$549,983	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christine Monzingo  Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Eric Hagenswold Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
---	---	---

Issue: Whether Plaintiff was required to use historical cost as the basis of assets of an acquired corporation. Whether post-retirement benefit obligations are debt. Whether disallowing deduction of post-retirement benefits violates equal protection. Whether Plaintiff may use another method to account for depreciation.

Status: Partial settlement.

---

**Specialty Retailers, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN100415  
AG Case #011410529

Franchise Tax; Refund Filed: 02/08/01 Period: 1992-1996 Amount: \$34,167	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christine Monzingo  Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a refund for a business loss carryforward.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Specialty Retailers, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN102549  
AG Case #011479979

Franchise Tax; Refund Filed: 08/13/01 Period: 1997 Amount: \$99,182	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Scott Simmons  Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--	---	---

Issue: Whether the officer add-back provision violates equal and uniform taxation, equal protection, or due process.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Specialty Retailers, Inc. and 3 Beall Brothers 3, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.** Cause #98-01348  
AG Case #98-893255

Franchise Tax; Refund Filed: 02/06/98 Period: 1993 Amount: \$250,488	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christine Monzingo  Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether the 1993 franchise tax on earned surplus is a retroactive tax as applied to fiscal year taxpayers.

Status: Bankruptcy stay in effect. See *General Dynamics v. Sharp* and *3 Beall Brothers 3, Inc. v. Comptroller, et al.*

---

**Texaco Refining & Marketing (East), Inc. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #99-14555  
AG Case #99-1249228

Franchise Tax; Refund Filed: 12/15/99 Period: 1994 Amount: \$1,028,616.15	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson  David H. Gilliland L.G. (Skip) Smith Clark, Thomas & Winters Austin
--	---	---

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a franchise tax credit for sales tax on manufacturing equipment purchased by a joint venture that it co-owned.

Status: Answer filed. On hold pending outcome of *Saudi Refining, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.*

---

**Texas Instruments, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN102799  
AG Case #011496635

Franchise Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 08/27/01 Period: 1987-1990 Amount: \$6,683,563.48	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christine Monzingo  David Cowling Todd Wallace Gregory E. Perry Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue Dallas
--	---	--

Issue: Whether delivering goods to plaintiff's customers in plaintiff's "bond rooms" for eventual shipment out-of-state were sales that generated Texas receipts. Whether Plaintiff's long-term contracts were properly characterized as service contracts. Whether treatment of Plaintiff's cost-plus contracts as service contracts violated equal protection or equal and uniform taxation. Whether all interest should have been waived. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Non-jury trial set 12/08/03.

---

***U.S. Home Corp. v. Rylander, et al.*** Cause #GN003082  
AG Case #001372424

Franchise Tax; Refund Filed: 10/20/00 Period: 1992 and 1993 Amount: \$46,607.88	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson  D. Steven Henry Gregory A. Harwell Robert M. Reed, Jr. Gardere & Wynne Dallas
--	---	--

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to write down or write off the value of its investment in bankrupt subsidiaries.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Westcott Communications, Inc., Law Enforcement Television Network, Inc., Westcott ECI, Inc. and TI-IN Acquisition Corp. v. Sharp, et al.*** Cause #98-14049  
#03-02-00351-CV  
AG Case #99-1093113

Franchise Tax; Protest Filed: 12/17/98 Period: 01/01/92- 12/31/94 Amount: \$1,182,242.67	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Blake Hawthorne  Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Steve Wingard Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether apportionment of satellite service gross receipts to Texas violates the commerce, due process or equal protection clauses of the Constitution or the Tax Code and Comptroller rules apportioning receipts to the state where a service is performed. Alternatively, whether interest should be waived.

Status: Defendants' Cross Motion for Summary Judgment filed 02/27/02. Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment set 03/21/02. Court granted Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment 05/20/02. Clerk's Record filed 07/11/02. Appellants' brief filed 08/23/02. Appellee's brief filed 09/23/02. Appellant's reply brief filed 11/08/02. Submitted on oral argument 11/13/02. Appellee letter brief filed 11/21/02.

---

## Sales Tax

***Advanta Business Services Corp. v. Rylander, et al.*** Cause #GN103463  
AG Case #011514544

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 10/19/01 Period: 11/01/92- 12/31/97 Amount: \$929,964.11	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Blake Hawthorne  W. Stephen Benesh Deanna E. King Bracewell & Patterson Austin
--	---	---

Issue: Whether plaintiff's leases were financing leases and not taxable operating leases under Comptroller Rule 3.294(i). Whether the Comptroller's sample was flawed. Alternatively, whether penalty and interest should have been waived.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***Alexopolous, Dimitrios P. v. Rylander, et al.*** Cause #99-08096  
AG Case #99-1187865

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment Filed: 07/14/99 Period: 07/01/88- 03/31/95 Amount: \$134,455.65	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Blake Hawthorne  Stephen W. Sather Naman, Howell, Smith & Lee Austin
--	---	---

Issue: Issue is whether the Comptroller incorrectly calculated Plaintiff's gross taxable sales by using too low a factor for Plaintiff's personal consumption, improperly comparing Plaintiff's operations to other fast-food outlets, failing to consider that higher subsequent sales were due to population increases, determining that Plaintiff kept inadequate records when Plaintiff had lost them in a fire, and failing to consider the results of an IRS audit. Whether penalty and interest should be waived.

Status: Bankruptcy stay in effect. Discovery in progress. Trial set 10/15/01. Plaintiff filed bankruptcy petition 09/24/01. Bankruptcy/Collection Division has requested bankruptcy court to abstain. Case to be tried in Bankruptcy Court 11/08/02.

---

**Alpine Industries, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.** Cause #98-12998  
AG Case #98-1080526

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 11/20/98 Period: 1994-1998 Amount: \$31,128.62	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Blake Hawthorne  Stephen D. Good Gregory A. Harwell Gardere & Wynne Dallas
---	---	---

Issue: Whether Alpine may be regarded as a seller for direct sales made in Texas by independent dealers and whether holding Alpine liable for sales tax violates the commerce clause, due process or equal protection.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

**America Online, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN203015  
AG Case #021663323

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 08/26/02 Period: 01/01/90- 03/31/97 Amount: \$15,271,936.64	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christine Monzingo  Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & McConnico
---	---	--

Issue: Whether Plaintiff was a retailer doing business and performing services in Texas during the audit period. Whether tax on Plaintiff violates Tex. Tax Code §151.307(c) and the Texas and United States Constitutions. Alternatively, whether penalty and interest should be waived.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

**American Oil Change Corp. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #99-06374  
AG Case #99-1175084

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 06/03/99 Period: 1992-1993 Amount: \$467,142.31	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson  Bill Johnson Baker Botts Houston
--	---	---

Issue: Whether materials are provided by Plaintiff to its customers in the course of its motor vehicle repairs under lump sum contracts, requiring Plaintiff to pay tax on the cost of materials. If Plaintiff's contracts are lump sum, whether Plaintiff is entitled to credit for tax collected from its customers and remitted to the Comptroller. Whether software services are taxable when the seller of the services contributes rather than sells the software itself. Whether software services are exempt under §151.346 as sales between affiliated entities of previously exempt services. Whether interest should have been waived. Whether any of the above issues result in a denial of equal protection, equal and uniform taxation or due process under the federal and state constitutions.

Status: Discovery in progress. Mediation held 10/15/02. Trial scheduled 06/30/03.

---

***Aramis Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.*** Cause #98-03527

AG Case #98-930349

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 04/03/98 Period: 04/01/90- 03/31/94 Amount: \$291,196	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson  David E. Cowling Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue Dallas
---	---	--

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Aramis Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.*** Cause #0000384

AG Case #001273051

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 02/11/00 Period: 04/01/94- 12/31/97 Amount: \$281,676.36	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson  David E. Cowling Robert Lochridge Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue Dallas
--	---	--

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed. Whether Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid and whether the Comptroller has authority to change its long-standing policy. Alternatively, whether penalty should be waived.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Baldry, Ann d/b/a Annie's Housekeeping Services v. Sharp, et al.** Cause #95-02389  
AG Case #95-234990

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Steve Rodriguez
Filed: 2/27/95	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Alvin L. Thomas, II
Period: 04/01/88-06/30/92		Littler, Mendleson & Fastiff
Amount: \$63,588		Houston

Issue: Whether sales tax is due on maid services provided by maids placed by Plaintiff's service but acting as independent contractors. Also, whether Plaintiff relied, to her detriment, on advice from the Comptroller's Office.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

**Bandas, David v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN201236  
AG Case #021598024

Sales Tax; Refund	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Scott Simmons
Filed: 04/16/02	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Tom Tourtellotte
Period: 05/01/96-04/30/00		Hance Scarborough
Amount: \$24,178.86		Wright Ginsberg & Brusilow
		Austin

Issue: Whether plaintiff is entitled to a sale for resale exemption on data processing services used in preparing tax returns.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Bedrock General Contractors v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN101432  
AG Case #011442035

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Nicole Galwardi
Filed: 05/10/01	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Timothy M. Trickey
Period: 06/01/92-01/31/96		The Trickey Law Firm
Amount: \$64,552.33		Austin

Issue: Whether successor liability was retroactively imposed. Whether successor liability may be imposed when little or no cash is exchanged in the purchase of the predecessor.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Bell Bottom Foundation Co. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #99-01092  
AG Case #99-1112186

Sales Tax; Protest	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Scott Simmons
Filed: 01/29/99	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Timothy M. Trickey
Period: 01/01/91-12/31/94		The Trickey Law Firm
Amount: \$81,571.73		Austin

Issue: Whether taxpayer's sub-contract was a separated contract since the general contractor's construction contract was separated.

Status: Answer filed. Change of counsel filed.

---

**Bell Helicopter Textron, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN200525  
AG Case #021567755

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Jim Cloudt
Filed: 02/15/02	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr.
Period: 01/01/90-06/30/93		Kirk R. Lyda
07/01/93-06/30/97		Stahl, Martens & Bernal
Amount: \$7,280,079		Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees and a declaration that the Comptroller disregarded controlling federal law, violated equal protection or imposed tax on the U.S. government.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Big Tex Air Conditioning, Inc. v. Bullock, et al.*** Cause #486,321  
AG Case #90-322672

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 6/26/90 Period: 04/01/85- 07/31/88 Amount: \$181,397	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Steve Rodriguez  John W. Berkel Houston
--	---	--

Issue: Detrimental reliance and various allegations of unconstitutional enforcement; statute of limitations.

Status: Some discovery done. Inactive.

---

***Boeing North America, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.*** Cause #GN203340  
AG Case #021676804

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 09/13/02 Period: 01/01/95- 12/31/96 Amount: \$343,487	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade  David H. Gilliland Clark, Thomas & Winters Austin
--	---	---

Issue: Plaintiff claims a sale for resale exemption on items resold to the federal government. Plaintiff also claims a denial of equal protection and an exemption under §151.3111.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Border Steel Rolling Mills, Inc. and Border Steel, Inc., as Successor in Interest to Border Steel Rollings Mills, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN002671  
AG Case #001352137

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 09/08/00 Period: 06/01/91- 08/31/95 Amount: \$76,281.34	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Scott Simmons  Ray Bonilla Ray, Wood, Fine & Bonilla Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's rail-mounted cranes, related repair parts and labor are exempt from sales and use tax as rolling stock. Whether the Comptroller fully implemented an administrative agreement on taxation of other equipment and parts qualifying for the manufacturing exemption.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

**Brighton Builders, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.** Cause #97-11830  
AG Case #97-837489

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 10/15/97 Period: 10/01/92- 09/30/95 Amount: \$195,368	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson  Ray Langenberg Scott Douglass & McConnico Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether certain real property services, such as landscaping and construction site cleanup, are taxable.

Status: Discovery near completion.

---

**Briscoe, Billy R. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN103316  
AG Case #011509502

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment Filed: 10/09/01 Period: 1975-1979 Amount: \$140,000	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson  James F. Martens Stahl, Martens & Bernal Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether plaintiff owes motor vehicle sales tax on trailers affixed to real property. Whether plaintiff may recover damages for harm to his credit rating caused by the Comptroller. Plaintiff seeks release of liens, economic damages and attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Motion to Dismiss and Cross-Motions for Summary Judgment hearing set 02/18/03. Trial set 03/03/03.

---

**Broadcast Satellite International, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN002895  
AG Case #001365014

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Christopher Jackson
Filed: 10/02/00	Plaintiff's Counsel:	William E. Bailey
Period: 01/01/91-12/31/97		Dallas
Amount: \$250,840.25		

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's broadcast services are non-taxable information services under §151.0038(a). Whether Plaintiff's services are not taxable telecommunications services under §151.0103(1) or data processing under §151.0035. Whether the sale or use of Plaintiff's services occurred out-of-state. Whether Plaintiff's experts demonstrated that Plaintiff is exempt under federal law. Plaintiff also asserts limitations as to part of the liability and seeks declaratory and injunctive relief.

Status: Temporary injunction hearing held 11/29/00. Temporary injunction denied 02/08/01.

---

**Broadcast Satellite International, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN103568  
AG Case #011518479

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment, Refund & Protest	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Christopher Jackson
Filed: 10/26/01	Plaintiff's Counsel:	William E. Bailey
Period: 01/01/91-12/31/97		Dallas
Amount: \$200,000		

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's broadcast services are non-taxable information services under §151.0038(a). Whether Plaintiff's services are not taxable telecommunications services under §151.0103(l) or data processing under §151.0035. Whether the sale or use of Plaintiff's services occurred out-of-state. Whether Plaintiff's experts demonstrated that Plaintiff is exempt under federal law. Plaintiff asserts limitations as to part of the liability and also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Burgess, Connie, Individually and on Behalf of all Similarly Situated Consumers v. Gallery Model Homes, Inc., dba Gallery Furniture and all Similarly Situated Retailers*** Cause #01-01-01014-CV  
AG Case #021641543

Sales Tax; Refund &  
Class Action  
Filed: 06/99  
Period:  
Amount: \$

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Gene Storie

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Ronald J. Kormanik  
Michael D. Sydow  
Sydow, Kormanik,  
Carrigan & Eckerson  
Houston

Donald Self  
The Law Offices of Don  
Self  
Houston

George Y. Nino  
The Nino Law Firm  
Houston

Issue: Whether Plaintiffs may sue their vendors directly in a class action suit for alleged overcharges of sales tax without first getting a determination on the merits from the Comptroller.

Status: Comptroller's amicus brief filed. Oral argument held 11/04/02.

---

**C & T Stone Co. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN002428  
AG Case #001344233

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 08/18/00 Period: 04/01/94- 12/31/97 Amount: \$207,454.40	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Steve Rodriguez  William T. Peckham Austin
--	---	---

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes sales tax on its sales of limestone to third parties under §151.311(a). Whether Plaintiff detrimentally relied on advice from the Comptroller's Office. Whether exemption certificates covered some sales that were assessed tax. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to the manufacturing exemption under §151.318(g). Whether penalty and interest should be waived.

Status: Motion to Retain granted.

---

**Cafeteria Operators, L.P. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #99-14363  
#03-01-00447-CV  
AG Case #99-1243411

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 12/09/99 Period: 04/01/91- 10/31/94 Amount: \$117,868.69	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Blake Hawthorne  Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
---	---	---

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's use of gas and electricity is exempt as processing. Whether Plaintiff's food products are prepared or stored for immediate consumption, thus eliminating the exemption. Whether taxation of Plaintiff's purchases of gas and electricity violates equal protection and lacks a rational basis.

Status: Summary judgment granted for defendants 07/05/01. Notice of appeal and request to clerk to prepare clerk's record filed 08/02/01. Docketing statement filed with Court of Appeals 08/15/01. Clerk's Record filed 09/13/01. Appellants' brief filed 10/10/01. Appellants' request for oral argument overruled on 11/27/01. Case set for submission on the briefs only on 01/14/02. Appellees' brief filed 12/18/01. Appellants' motion for oral argument filed 12/27/01; denied 01/09/02. Appellants' reply brief filed 01/11/02. Court of Appeals affirmed Summary Judgment for defendants 07/26/02; withdrawn 10/10/02. Motion for Rehearing filed 08/09/02; granted 10/10/02. Petition for Review due to Supreme Court 11/25/02.

---

**Central Power & Light Co. v. Sharp, et al.** Cause #96-11455  
AG Case #96-602037

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 09/20/96 Period: 07/01/86- 12/31/89 Amount: \$32,788	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade  L.G. Skip Smith Clark, Thomas & Winters Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether utility pole replacement services are non-taxable maintenance or taxable repair labor.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

**Cervantes, Elsa v. Rylander** Cause #GN202413  
AG Case #021649827

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment Filed: 07/25/02 Period: 2002 Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson  Mark N. Osborn Andrew S. Miller Kemp Smith, P.C. El Paso
---	---	--

Issue: Plaintiff contests the suspension of its Texas Customs Broker License and disagrees with the Comptroller's policy on goods being exported.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Church & Dwight Co., Inc. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN000525  
AG Case #001258201

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 01/12/00 Period: 10/01/90- 12/31/93 Amount: \$64,868.50	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Blake Hawthorne  Robert C. Alden Phillip L. Sampson, Jr. Bracewell & Patterson Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes use tax on promotional materials shipped from out-of-state. Whether the Comptroller's imposition of use tax is invalid because Plaintiff made no use of the materials in Texas. Whether Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid. Whether the tax violates the Commerce and Due Process Clauses of the United States Constitution.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Clinique Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.*** Cause #98-03533  
AG Case #98-930330

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 04/03/98 Period: 04/01/90- 03/31/94 Amount: \$519,192	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson  David E. Cowling Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue Dallas
---	---	--

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Clinique Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.*** Cause #GN000376  
AG Case #001273069

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 02/11/00 Period: 04/01/94- 03/31/98 Amount: \$650,361.82	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson  David E. Cowling Robert Lochridge Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue Dallas
--	---	--

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed. Whether Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid and whether the Comptroller has authority to change its long-standing policy. Alternatively, whether penalty should be waived.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Coastal Refining & Marketing, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.** Cause #98-03540  
AG Case #98-930321

Sales Tax; Protest  
Filed: 04/03/98  
Period: 01/01/89-  
06/30/89  
07/01/89-12/31/91  
Amount: \$1,635,965

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Blake Hawthorne

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Jasper G. Taylor, III  
Fulbright & Jaworski  
Houston

Joe W. Cox  
Coastal States  
Management Corp.  
Houston

Issue: Whether certain work performed by Plaintiff is new construction under a lump sum contract and thus not taxable.

Status: Discovery in progress. Plaintiff has submitted settlement offer.

---

**Colt, Mach V., Trustee of the Harry T. Lloyd Charitable Trust, successor in interest to House of Lloyd, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN100740  
AG Case #011423951

Sales Tax; Refund &  
Declaratory Judgment  
Filed: 03/09/01  
Period: 01/01/95-  
03/31/99  
Amount: \$645,193.40

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Steve Rodriguez

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Marilyn A. Wethekam  
Horwood Marcus & Berk  
Chartered  
Chicago, Illinois

David E. Cowling  
Charolette Noel  
Gregory E. Perry  
Jones, Day, Reavis &  
Pogue  
Dallas

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to refund of sales tax on "hostess free goods," because Plaintiff paid use tax on the goods. Whether sales tax collected from its hostesses on hostess free goods can be refunded to them by a credit for merchandise. Whether Rule 3.325(b)(2) is invalid. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Cruz, Eduardo v. Rylander** Cause #GN203600  
AG Case #021684410

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Christopher Jackson
Filed: 10/03/02	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Mark N. Osborn
Period: 2002		Andrew S. Miller
Amount: \$		Kemp Smith, P.C.
		El Paso

Issue: Plaintiff contests the suspension of its Texas Custom Broker License and disagrees with the Comptroller's policy on goods being exported. Plaintiff also claims that the rules and statutes relied on by the Comptroller to enforce Plaintiff's suspension are unconstitutional. Plaintiff seeks a declaratory judgment and attorneys' fees along with the appeal of the administrative suspension.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Dillard's, Inc., aka Dillard Department Stores, Inc., and Dillard Texas operating Limited Partnership v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN203937  
AG Case #021703947

Sales Tax; Protest & Refund	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Scott Simmons
Filed: 10/30/02	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Mark W. Eidman
Period: 07/01/93- 01/31/96		Ray Langenberg
02/01/96-11/30/96		Doug Sigel
Amount: \$1,100,000+		Scott, Douglass & McConnico
		Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's sewing machines and other property used to alter clothing qualify for the manufacturing exemption. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a refund of tax on packaging supplies, non-taxable services, and industrial solid waste disposal. Whether the Comptroller improperly applied a franchise tax credit to the assessed amount.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**E. de la Garza, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN003589  
AG Case #0011395316

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 12/15/00 Period: 01/01/93- 12/31/96 Amount: \$83,138.14	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Scott Simmons  Rudy de la Garza Brownsville
---	---	--

Issue: Whether sales of grocery bags and sacks are not taxable when sold to grocery stores who have provided a blanket sale for resale certificate. Plaintiff also complains of audit calculation errors.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

**EFW, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN200906  
AG Case #021579578

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 03/19/02 Period: 04/94-03/31/98 Amount: \$123,440.25	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade  Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Doug Sigel Curtis J. Osterloh Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**ELC Beauty LLC, as Successor-in-Interest to Aramis Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN203514  
AG Case #

Sales Tax; Protest &  
Declaratory Judgment  
Filed: 09/26/02  
Period: 01/01/98-  
12/31/00  
Amount: \$284,508.69

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Christopher Jackson

Plaintiff's Counsel:

David Cowling  
Robert Lochridge  
Gregory E. Perry  
Jones, Day, Reavis &  
Pogue  
Dallas

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed. Whether Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid and whether the Comptroller has authority to change its long-standing policy. Alternatively, whether penalty should be waived.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Rylander, et al.*** Cause #GN103408

AG Case #011509676

Sales Tax; Refund  
Filed: 10/16/01  
Period: 01/01/96-  
01/31/96  
Amount: \$288,750

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Christopher Jackson

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Ron Patterson  
Kliwer, Breen, Garatoni,  
Patterson & Malone, Inc.  
San Antonio

Issue: Whether plaintiff, a common carrier pipeline owner, owes use tax on an aircraft used in its business.

Status: Answer filed. Outcome pending *Tennessee Gas Pipeline Co. v. Rylander, et al.*

---

***El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Rylander, et al.*** Cause #GN103409

AG Case #011509650

Sales Tax; Refund  
Filed: 10/16/01  
Period: 10/01/93-  
07/31/96  
Amount: \$16,290.85

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Christopher Jackson

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Ron Patterson  
Kliwer, Breen, Garatoni,  
Patterson & Malone, Inc.  
San Antonio

Issue: Plaintiff contends that because it operates a common-carrier pipeline and is a certificated or licensed carrier of property it may avoid sales tax on repair, remodeling, and maintenance services purchased in connection with the maintenance and repair of aircraft Plaintiff owns and uses in operating its common-carrier pipeline.

Status: Answer filed. Outcome pending *Tennessee Gas Pipeline Co. v. Rylander, et al.*

---

***Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.*** Cause #98-03525  
AG Case #98-930358

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 04/03/98 Period: 01/01/89- 09/30/92 Amount: \$472,225	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson  David E. Cowling Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue Dallas
---	---	--

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed.

Status: Settlement offer pending.

---

***Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.*** Cause #98-03524  
AG Case #98-930367

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 04/03/98 Period: 10/01/92- 03/31/96 Amount: \$748,773	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson  David E. Cowling Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue Dallas
---	---	--

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed.

Status: Settlement offer pending.

---

**Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN101312  
AG Case #011439874

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 05/01/01 Period: 04/01/96- 06/30/99 Amount: \$614,814.78	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson  David E. Cowling Robert Lochridge Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue Dallas
--	---	--

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed.

Status: Settlement offer pending.

---

**FXI Corp. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN102724  
AG Case #011492857

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 08/22/01 Period: 10/01/94- 06/30/98 Amount: \$51,832.31	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade  Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Eric Hagenswold Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--	---	---

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's boxes and packing materials are exempt as items shipped out-of-state. Whether denial of the exemption violates equal protection.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**F M Express Food Mart, Inc., and Fouad Hanna Mekdessi v. Rylander, et al.**  
Cause #GN002724  
AG Case #001353960

Sales Tax; Injunction Filed: 09/15/00 Period: 12/01/90- 11/30/97 Amount: \$360,671.05	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Blake Hawthorne  Percy L. "Wayne" Isgitt Houston
---	---	---

Issue: Whether Comptroller's "estimated audit" is invalid. Whether Plaintiffs are entitled to an injunction of collection and of cancellation of their sales tax permits. Whether Tax Code §§112.051, 112.052, 112.101 and 112.108 are unconstitutional violations of the open courts provision. Plaintiffs seek a re-audit and a refund of money paid under protest in excess of the re-audited amount.

Status: Discovery in progress. Plaintiffs currently preparing settlement offer.

---

***Fiesta Texas Theme Park, Ltd. v. Sharp, et al.*** Cause #98-02407  
AG Case #98-914152

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 03/05/98 Period: 10/01/90- 04/30/93 Amount: \$328,829	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade  Jasper G. Taylor, III Fulbright & Jaworski Houston
--	---	--

Issue: Whether prizes awarded by Plaintiff to successful contestants of coin-operated as well as non-coin operated games are purchased for resale. Whether sales tax constitutes double taxation on machines on which occupation tax is paid and on non-coin games, admission to which is taxed. Advertising and sewing services are not taxable.

Status: Discovery in progress. Plaintiff filed unopposed motion to retain and will consolidate case with pending administrative matters when they are concluded. Motion to retain granted. Scheduling order filed. Trial set 04/21/03.

---

***Fiesta Texas Theme Park, Ltd. and San Antonio Theme Park, L.P. v. Rylander, et al.*** Cause #GN200563  
AG Case #021567789

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 02/20/02 Period: 05/01/93- 03/01/96 03/01/96-02/28/98 Amount: \$592,759.97 \$349,933.08	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade  Jasper G. Taylor III Jay M. Chadha Fulbright & Jaworski Houston
--	---	--

Issue: Whether prizes awarded by Plaintiff to successful contestants of coin-operated as well as non-coin operated games are purchased for resale. Whether sales tax constitutes double taxation on machines on which occupation tax is paid and on non-coin games, admission to which is taxed. Advertising and sewing services are not taxable. Whether the assessment against Fiesta was outside limitations.

Status: Consolidated with Cause No. 98-02407.

---

**Garza, Lawrence v. Sharp, et al.** Cause #98-07607  
AG Case #98-1001886

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 07/17/98 Period: 01/01/93- 09/30/95 Amount: \$83,910	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade  Stephen P. Dillon Lindeman & Dillon Houston
--	---	---

Issue: Whether the Comptroller used the proper sampling procedure and whether Plaintiff was correctly notified of the procedure to be used.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial set 01/06/03.

---

**Gateway Homes, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.** Cause #98-14225  
AG Case #99-1093188

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 12/22/98 Period: 01/01/91- 09/30/95 Amount: \$133,146.26	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson  Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Paige Arnette Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether various service activities such as landscaping, cleaning and waste removal are taxable real property services. Whether any tax due is owed by independent contractor service providers under a tax-included contract. Whether tax was assessed on non-taxable new construction. Whether the assessment violates equal protection and whether interest should be waived.

Status: Answer filed. Outcome pending *Perry Homes v. Rylander, et al.*

---

**General Dynamics Corp. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN201322  
AG Case #021598057

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 04/22/02 Period: 09/01/88- 11/30/91 Amount: \$7,000,000	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt  Ira A. Lipstet Matthew G. Grimmer Jenkins & Gilchrist Austin
--	---	---

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**General Dynamics Corp. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN201323  
AG Case #021598073

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 04/22/02 Period: 12/01/91- 02/28/93 Amount: \$4,500,000	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt  Ira A. Lipstet Matthew G. Grimmer Jenkins & Gilchrist Austin
--	---	---

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Gift Box Corp. of America, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN102934  
AG Case #011492865

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 09/05/01 Period: 10/91-03/97 Amount: \$359,929.22	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Blake Hawthorne  Ira A. Lipstet Matthew G. Grimmer Jenkins & Gilchrist Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether additional resale certificates should have been accepted for Plaintiff's sales of boxes and packaging materials.

Status: Answer filed. Plaintiff to make settlement offer.

---

**Graybar Electric Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al.** Cause #97-01795  
AG Case #97-682966

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 02/13/97 Period: 01/01/88- 12/31/91 Amount: \$107,667	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Scott Simmons  Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
---	---	---

Issue: Whether the sample audit resulted in a correct assessment.

Status: Settlement negotiations pending.

---

**H.J. Wilson Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al.** Cause #98-11574  
AG Case #98-1063332

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 10/13/98 Period: 07/01/90- 12/31/93 Amount: \$1,076,019	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson  David E. Cowling Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue Dallas
---	---	--

Issue: Whether the purchase of sales catalogs printed out-of-state and shipped to Plaintiff's customers in Texas (at no charge to the customer) incur sales tax.

Status: Answer filed. On hold. Plaintiff filed bankruptcy in Tennessee 03/25/99. Motion to dismiss by court set 05/07/01. Plaintiff filed motion to retain 04/25/01.

---

**Hawa, Hunter Travis on behalf of all others similarly situated v. Red Lobster of Texas, Inc., et al.** Cause #A-0166552  
AG Case #

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 05/14/02	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Gene Storie
Period: Amount: \$	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Peter Tropoli Houston

Issue: Whether the State is liable to a retailer who is sued in a class action to recover overpaid sales taxes.

Status: Pleas to the Jurisdiction and Motion to Transfer Jurisdiction set 12/06/02.

---

**Herndon Marine Products, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.** Cause #91-14786  
AG Case #91-164788

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 10/18/91	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Jim Cloudt
Period: 01/01/87 - 03/31/90	Plaintiff's Counsel:	John D. Bell Wood, Boykin & Wolter Corpus Christi
Amount: \$62,465		

Issue: Whether predominant use of electricity from Plaintiff's meter is exempt. Whether burden of proof in administrative hearing should be clear and convincing evidence or preponderance of the evidence.

Status: Special exceptions and answer filed.

---

**Hines Interests Limited Partnership v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN003245  
AG Case #001381680

Sales Tax; Protest & Refund Filed: 11/08/00	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Christopher Jackson
Period: 07/01/92- 02/28/94	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Gerard A. Desrochers Houston
Amount: \$129,677.60		

Issue: Whether correction of original construction defects is new construction or real property repair and remodeling. Whether Comptroller Rule 3.357 conflicts with legislative intent. Whether the Comptroller's application of the statute and rule violate due process and equal protection. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**House of Lloyd, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN000111  
AG Case #001261478

Sales Tax; Protest & Refund	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Steve Rodriguez
Filed: 01/21/00	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Marilyn A. Wethekam
Period: 06/01/92- 12/31/96		Horwood Marcus & Berk Chartered
Amount: \$597,281.67		Chicago, Illinois
		L.G. (Skip) Smith
		Clark, Thomas & Winters
		Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes use tax on direct sales items, hostess free goods and demonstrator kits. Whether Plaintiff owes tax for under-collection of local sales tax. Whether the Comptroller's sample was flawed because it failed to consider over-collections of tax. Whether penalty should be waived.

Status: Dismissed for Want of Prosecution. Motion to Reinstate granted.

---

**Interpak Terminals, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.** Cause #95-15213  
AG Case #95-428718

Sales Tax; Protest	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Scott Simmons
Filed: 12/07/95	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Paul Price
Period: 04/01/89- 06/19/95		Tom Wheat
Amount: \$14,125		Pearson & Price
		Corpus Christi

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to the exemption for wrapping and packaging materials it uses to package plastic pellets sent to it by the manufacturer of the pellets.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

**JBI, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN203450  
AG Case #

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 09/20/02 Period: 01/01/93- 08/31/99 Amount: \$1,046,033.09	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christine Monzingo  W. Stephen Benesh James E. Boice Bracewell & Patterson Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether the Comptroller assessed tax on transactions that were sales for resale or on which use tax had already been paid.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**JHS Enterprises, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN201357  
AG Case #021613591

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment Filed: 04/25/02 Period: 01/01/97- 09/30/99 Amount: \$77,774.37	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Steve Rodriguez  Arne M. Ray Ray & Associates Houston
---	---	---

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes tax for storage of abandoned vehicles later sold by the City of Houston. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys fees.

Status: Plaintiff granted declaratory judgment action without pre-payment of tax.

---

**Jerman Cookie Co. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN101492  
AG Case #011451598

Sales Tax; Refund and Declaratory Judgment Filed: 05/16/01 Period: 12/01/92 through 03/31/97 Amount: \$43,121.45	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Scott Simmons  Steve M. Williard L. Don Knight Meyer, Knight & Williams Houston
---	---	---

Issue: Whether plaintiff's sale of cookies and brownies is taxable under Tax Code §151.314 and Comptroller Rule 3.293. Plaintiff also seeks review under the Administrative Procedures Act and the UDJA, and seeks attorneys fees.

Status: Amended Petition filed. Discovery in progress.

---

**Kennedy, Gary G. dba Kennedy's Korner v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN202992  
AG Case #021663539

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment Filed: 08/22/02 Period: Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Steve Rodriguez  Christopher J. Tome Attorney at Law Austin
--	---	---

Issue: Whether Plaintiff may enjoin fraud audit subpoena and suspension of his sales and mixed beverage permits.

Status: Answer filed. Counter-claim filed.

---

**John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Co., The v. Rylander, et al.** Cause  
#GN001612  
AG Case #001316520

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 06/05/00 Period: 01/01/94- 12/31/98 Amount: \$345,377.95	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Blake Hawthorne  James D. Blume Jennifer S. Stoddard Blume & Stoddard Dallas
---	---	---

Issue: Whether an insurance company is exempt from sales taxes on its use of electricity on the grounds that Tex. Ins. Code Art. 4.11, Section 9 prohibits them.

Status: Motion for Summary Judgment filed. No hearing date set..

---

**LTV Aerospace & Defense Co. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN203321  
AG Case #021676770

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 09/13/02 Period: 06/01/86- 08/31/92 Amount: \$8,576,046	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt  Alan E. Sherman, Esq. Dallas
--	---	---

Issue: Plaintiff claims a sale for resale exemption on items resold to the federal government. Plaintiff also claims a denial of equal protection and that the incidence of the tax falls on the federal government. Plaintiff claims that the Comptroller violated the commerce clause by failing to follow title-passing regulations and also seeks a declaratory judgment and attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**LabOne, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN002190  
AG Case #001335645

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 08/02/00 Period: 1991-1997 Amount: \$520,983.95	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Blake Hawthorne  James F. Martens Kirk R. Lyda Stahl, Martens & Bernal Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether Plaintiff has nexus in Texas for tax on performance of lab tests in Kansas. Whether Plaintiff's activities are taxable insurance services in Texas. Whether Plaintiff's services and sales of supplies are exempt by rule and statute. Whether tax on Plaintiff violates due process and equal taxation. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment hearing held 06/24/02. District Court denied parties' cross-motions for summary judgment. Trial set 01/20/03.

---

**Lake Charles Yamaha, Inc. v. Morales, et al.** Cause #95-3802  
AG Case #95-325883

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Jana Kinkade
Filed: 07/11/95	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Russell J. Stutes, Jr.
Period: 04/01/91-03/31/95		Scofield, Gerard, Veron, Singletary & Pohorelsky
Amount: \$150,214		Lake Charles, Louisiana

Issue: Plaintiff asserts that it has no nexus with Texas and cannot be assessed sales tax, although it concedes that it delivers merchandise into Texas in its own trucks. Plaintiff asks for a declaratory judgment and damages/attorneys fees under 42 USC §§1983 and 1988.

Status: Will be dismissed or non-suited pursuant to Lake Charles Music suit, Louisiana Appeals Court.

---

**Laredo Country Club, Inc., A Texas Corp. v. Sharp, et al.** Cause #98-11834  
AG Case #98-1064363

Sales Tax; Protest; Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Scott Simmons
Filed: 10/20/98	Plaintiff's Counsel:	John Christian
Period: 08/1-30/98		Vinson & Elkins
Amount: \$2,054		Austin

Issue: Whether sales tax is due on the portion of country club membership fees designated as "capital improvement fees" and "gratuities."

Status: Dismissed for Want of Prosecution 07/25/02. Reopened, as plaintiff has filed a Motion for Reinstatement in 10/02.

---

**Lebaron Hotel Corp., d/b/a The Lebaron Hotel v. Sharp, et al.** Cause #91-17399  
AG Case #92-10477

Sales Tax; Protest	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Steve Rodriguez
Filed: 12/13/91	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Robert C. Cox
Period: 10/01/87 - 06/30/90		Dallas
Amount: \$22,326		

Issue: Whether Comptroller could tax an arbitrary percentage of ingredients in complimentary mixed drinks and whether ingredients are exempt because they are taxed elsewhere. Is tax due on repairs to parking lot. Whether purchase of items from Ramada Inn is exempt as entire operating assets of a business or identifiable segment.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Lee Construction and Maintenance Co. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #99-01091  
AG Case #99-1112160

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 01/29/99 Period: 01/01/92- 12/31/95 Amount: \$31,830.47	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Scott Simmons  Timothy M. Trickey The Trickey Law Firm Austin
---	---	---

Issue: Various issues, including credits for bad debts, tax paid, tax on new construction and tax paid in Louisiana, resale exemptions and waiver of penalty and interest.

Status: Settlement negotiations pending.

---

**Leyendecker Construction, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.** Cause #98-08076  
AG Case #98-1007248

Sales Tax; Protest Declaratory Judgment Injunction Filed: 07/27/98 Period: 08/01/91- 04/30/95 Amount: \$215,486.14	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade  Donato D. Ramos Baldemar Garcia, Jr. Person, Whitworth, Ramos, Borchers & Morales Laredo
--	---	---

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is responsible for sales tax it says it paid to its subcontractors and then collected from its customers as reimbursement. Related evidence issues.

Status: Dismissed for Want of Prosecution 04/15/02. Settlement offer from Plaintiff pending.

---

**Liaison Resources, L.P., and David S. Claunch v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN202795  
AG Case #021663307

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 08/14/02 Period: 1991-1999 Amount: \$136,659.08	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Scott Simmons  James F. Martens Christina A. Mondrik Stahl, Martens & Bernal Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether Plaintiffs owe tax on computer-related temporary services. Whether the Comptroller improperly assessed tax on items sold out of state or on sales for resale. Plaintiffs also claim a violation of equal protection and seek attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Liu, Anne Lee v. Rylander** Cause #GN202414  
AG Case #021649835

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment Filed: 07/25/02 Period: 2002 Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson  Mark. N. Osborn Andrew S. Miller Kemp Smith, P.C. El Paso
---	---	---

Issue: Plaintiff contests the suspension of its Texas Custom Broker License and disagrees with the Comptroller's policy on goods being exported.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Local Neon Co., Inc. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #99-15042  
AG Case #001254036

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 12/31/99 Period: Amount: \$34,390.24	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Blake Hawthorne  James D. Blume Jennifer S. Stoddard Blume & Stoddard Dallas  Judy M. Cunningham Austin
---	---	---

Issue: Whether Plaintiff was doing business in Texas by delivering and installing its signs that were sold under contract negotiated outside of Texas. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to declaratory judgment and attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

**Lockheed Martin Corp., as Successor to Lockheed Martin Vought Systems Corp. and Loral Vought Systems Corp. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN103525  
AG Case #011523446

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 10/24/01 Period: 09/01/92- 11/30/95 Amount: \$2,680,000	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt  Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Doug Sigel Curtis J. Osterloh Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Lockheed Martin Corp. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN200999  
AG Case #021583737

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 03/26/02 Period: 01/01/96- 09/30/97 Amount: \$3,500,000	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt  Ira A. Lipstet Matthew G. Grimmer Jenkins & Gilchrist Austin
--	---	---

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Lockheed Corp. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN201000  
AG Case #021583745

Sales Tax; Refund  
Filed: 03/26/02  
Period: 03/01/93-  
01/31/96  
Amount: \$7,000,000

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Jim Cloudt

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Ira A. Lipstet  
Matthew G. Grimmer  
Jenkins & Gilchrist  
Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Lockheed Martin Corp., Successor to Lockheed Martin Vought Systems Corp. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN201725  
AG Case #021620414

Sales Tax; Refund &  
Declaratory Judgment  
Filed: 05/23/02  
Period: 12/01/95-  
06/30/97  
Amount: \$1,857,000

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Jim Cloudt

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Mark W. Eidman  
Ray Langenberg  
Doug Sigel  
Curtis J. Osterloh  
Scott, Douglass &  
McConnico  
Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Medaphis Physicians Services Corp. v. Sharp, et al.** Cause #94-11610  
AG Case #94-149390

Sales Tax; Protest and Declaratory Judgment Filed: 09/16/94 Period: 05/01/94- 06/30/94 Amount: \$17,063	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Blake Hawthorne  Gary Miles Sherri Alexander Johnson & Wortley Dallas
--	---	--

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's services are taxable (1) insurance services, (2) debt collection services, or (3) data processing services, and whether Rules 3.330, 3.354, and 3.355 exceed the Comptroller's rule making authority.

Status: Inactive.

---

**Melek Corp. v. Rylander** Cause #GN100441  
AG Case #011410511

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment Filed: 02/12/01 Period: 2000 Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson  Mitzi T. Shannon Susan Zulkowski Kemp Smith, P.C. El Paso
---	---	---

Issue: Plaintiff contests the suspension of its Texas Customs Broker License and disagrees with the Comptroller's policy on goods being exported.

Status: Temporary Injunction granted 12/02/02. Motion to Change Venue and Plea to the Jurisdiction filed. Hearing set 01/14/03.

---

**Melek Corp. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #2002-5377  
AG Case #

Sales Tax; Injunction & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 11/22/02 Period: 2000 Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson  Mark N. Osborn Jeanne C. Collins Kemp Smith, P.C. El Paso
--	---	---

Issue: Plaintiff contests the suspension of its Texas Customs Broker License and disagrees with the Comptroller's policy on goods being exported.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Mitchell, Christia Parr v. Rylander, et al.*** Cause #GN201330  
AG Case #021604541

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 04/22/02	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Scott Simmons
Period: 01/01/95- 12/31/98	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christia Parr Mitchell, Pro Se
Amount: \$160,870.48		San Antonio

Issue: Whether plaintiff may recover a sales tax refund for taxes paid by a corporation controlled by her ex-husband when the liability was paid pursuant to orders of the court in which the divorce was granted.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Nachhattar Tejpal Legha Enterprises, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.*** Cause #GN203398  
AG Case #021676812

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 09/18/02	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Scott Simmons
Period: 04/01/97- 07/31/99	Plaintiff's Counsel:	James F. Martens Jessica Scott Stahl, Martens & Bernal Austin
Amount: \$15,841		

Issue: Plaintiff claims that the Comptroller wrongfully assessed additional sales tax by misstating Plaintiff's gross taxable receipts and wrongfully failed to entertain Plaintiff's refund claim. Plaintiff also seeks a declaratory judgment and attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**National Business Furniture, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.** Cause #98-03927  
AG Case #98-932766

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 04/15/98 Period: 01/01/93- 07/31/95 Amount: \$68,398	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Steve Rodriguez  Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr. Stahl, Martens & Bernal Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether promotional materials printed out-of-state and delivered into Texas are subject to use tax.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Neiman Marcus Group, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.** Cause #93-10279-A  
AG Case #93-340549

Sales Tax; Protest, Refund & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 08/26/93 Period: 01/01/87- 03/31/90 Amount: \$1,046,465	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson  David E. Cowling Gregg Perry Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue Dallas
--	---	---

Issue: Plaintiff's customers buy gifts from Plaintiff outside Texas and have the gifts delivered by common carrier to Texas "donees." Should the Comptroller have assessed use tax on these "gift sends." Second Issue: whether tax is due on certain remodeling services. Plaintiff asks for attorneys fees under 42 USC §§1983 and 1988.

Status: Agreed judgment signed 03/11/96 on the gift send issue. An agreed order for severance was signed on 03/11/96 on the remodeling issues and the attorneys' fees. Cause renumbered 93-10279-A. State filed a plea to jurisdiction on attorneys' fees on 10/06/93.

---

**Neiman Marcus Group, Inc., The v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN102403  
AG Case #011478294

Sales Tax; Protest  
Filed: 08/01/01  
Period: 04/01/90-  
12/31/93  
Amount: \$1,908,969.01

Asst. AAG Assigned:  
  
Plaintiff's Counsel:

Jim Cloudt  
  
Mark W. Eidman  
Ray Langenberg  
Scott, Douglass &  
McConnico  
Austin

Issue: Whether printing charges for catalogs are not subject to use tax because: (a) the printing services were not used in Texas, (b) the printed catalogs were gifts for which title transferred outside Texas, (c) plaintiff did not have sufficient control to be a Texas user, (d) the statute does not include distribution in the definition of use, (e) no use tax is due under the doctrine of *Morton Bldgs.*, (f) Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) does not apply or is invalid, and/or (g) Tax Code 151.3111(a) exempts the printing service. Whether photograph retouching is (a) a sale of tangible personal property, or (b) repair, remodeling, maintenance or restoration of tangible personal property, or (c) exempt under Tax Code 151.330(e). Also, whether remodeling contracts were tax included and whether sampling was improper. Plaintiff seeks attorneys fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***North American Intelcom, Inc., et al. v. Sharp, et al.*** Cause #97-05318  
AG Case #97-733563

Sales Tax; Refund  
Filed: 05/02/97  
Period: 04/01/91-  
05/31/95  
Amount: \$2,029,180

Asst. AAG Assigned:  
  
Plaintiff's Counsel:

Blake Hawthorne  
  
Jasper G. Taylor, III  
Fulbright & Jaworski  
Houston

Issue: Whether care, custody, and control of Plaintiff's public telephone equipment passed to their customers, so that Plaintiff could buy the equipment tax free for resale.

Status: Inactive.

---

***North Texas Asset Management, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.*** Cause #94-08603  
AG Case #94-113766

Sales Tax; Declaratory  
Judgment  
Filed: 7/14/94  
Period: 05/02/91-  
12/31/91  
Amount: \$24,307

Asst. AAG Assigned:  
  
Plaintiff's Counsel:

James Parsons  
  
Judy M. Cunningham  
Attorney at Law  
Austin

Issue: Whether a sale of a business approved by the SBA (which held a lien and received the proceeds) is tantamount to a foreclosure sale so that no successor liability should attach.

Status: Answer filed; inactive. Parties are involved in informal discussions to resolve or eliminate issues currently in controversy.

---

**Northrop Grumman Systems Corp. (Successor to Northrop Grumman Corp. and Vought Aircraft Co.) v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN201344  
AG Case #021607155

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 05/01/02 Period: 09/01/92-11/30/95 Amount: \$1,600,000	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt  Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr. Kirk R. Lyda Stahl, Martens & Bernal Austin
---	---	---

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*. Plaintiff claims that collection of the tax violates the supremacy clause as a tax on the U.S. government and that the Comptroller violated the constitutional requirements of equal protection and equal taxation by denying the refund claim. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Norwood Homes, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.** Cause #98-05637  
AG Case #98-970135

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 05/28/98 Period: 10/01/92-06/30/96 Amount: \$77,887.44	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Blake Hawthorne  John W. Mahoney Williams, Birnberg & Andersen Houston
--	---	--

Issue: Whether certain cleaning services are taxable as real property services or are part of new construction of real property.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

**Perry Homes, A Joint Venture v. Sharp, et al.** Cause #98-14226  
#03-02-00476-CV  
AG Case #99-1093170

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 12/22/98 Period: 10/01/91- 09/30/93 Amount: \$550,978.17	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson  Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Paige Arnette Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether various service activities such as landscaping, cleaning and waste removal are taxable real property services. Whether any tax due is owed by independent contractor service providers under a tax- included contract. Whether tax was assessed on non-taxable new construction. Whether the assessment violates equal protection and whether interest should be waived.

Status: Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment filed. Summary Judgment Hearing held 06/13/02. Judgment granted in Comptroller's favor 07/15/02. Plaintiff filed Notice of Appeal 07/24/02. Clerk's Record filed 09/06/02. Supplemental Clerk's Record filed 09/17/02. Plaintiff's brief filed 10/07/02. Appellant filed appeal 07/24/02. Appellees' brief filed 10/25/02. Appellant filed Motion 11/15/02 to postpone oral argument. Oral argument set 01/08/03..

---

**Petrolite Corp. v. Sharp, et al.** Cause #91-13885  
AG Case #91-149840

Sales Tax; Protest and Refund Filed: 09/27/91 Period: 04/01/84 - 03/31/88 Amount: \$432,105	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Blake Hawthorne  David H. Gilliland Clark, Thomas & Winters Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Resale certificates; taxable maintenance services; taxability of various chemicals and other tangible personal property used in oil well services.

Status: Inactive.

---

**Pflugerville, City of v. Capital Metropolitan Transportation Authority and Carole Keeton Rylander** Cause #GV100065

AG Case #

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	J. Bruce Scrafford
Filed: 01/11/01	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Mark L. Hawkins
Period: 01/22/00-07/01/00		Armbrust, Brown & Davis
Amount: \$		Austin

Issue: What amounts of local tax are due to the City of Pflugerville and Capital Metro.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Praxair, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.** Cause #97-03919 (consolidated with Cause No. 95-00690, *Praxair, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.*)

AG Case #97-706272

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Jana Kinkade
Filed: 04/01/97	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Gerard A. Desrochers
Period: 01/01/90-12/31/90		Houston
Amount: \$57,815		

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erroneously denied Plaintiff's claim for refund of tax paid on manufacturing equipment, alleging that Plaintiff was not engaged in actual manufacturing.

Status: See Cause No. 95-00690, *Praxair, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.*

---

**Praxair, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.** Cause #95-00690

AG Case #95-214921

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Jana Kinkade
Filed: 01/18/95	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Gerard A. Desrochers
Period: 1990		Houston
Amount: \$74,608		

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erroneously denied Plaintiff's claim for refund of tax paid on manufacturing equipment, alleging that Plaintiff was not engaged in actual manufacturing.

Status: Discovery in progress. Stipulation of facts in progress.

---

***R Communications, Inc. f/k/a RN Communications, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.*** Cause #91-4893  
#03-91-00390CV  
AG Case #91-62355

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Gene Storie
Filed: 04/08/91	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Mark How
Period: 10/01/80 - 11/02/84		Short, How, Frels & Tredoux
Amount: \$None (Plaintiff was assessed \$67,836 tax but did not pay)		Dallas

Issue: Whether a taxpayer can be required to pay the disputed tax before filing suit in district court. Constitutionality of §112.108 under Texas Constitution Open Courts provision.

Status: District Court granted State's plea to the jurisdiction. State won appeal. Supreme Court reversed and remanded on 04/27/94. State's motion for rehearing denied. Inactive.

---

***RAI Credit Corp. v. Rylander, et al.*** Cause #GN003556  
AG Case #011395266

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Jim Cloudt
Filed: 12/12/00	Plaintiff's Counsel:	David Cowling
Period: 01/01/89-12/31/93		Gregory E. Perry
Amount: \$297,616.32		Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue
		Dallas

Issue: Whether Plaintiff lacks nexus for collection of use tax on accounts receivable that were factored to it. Whether Plaintiff is a "seller" or "retailer" engaged in business in Texas. Whether Plaintiff is liable under §111.016 as a person who received tax. Whether imposition of tax denies equal protection. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Ranger Fuels & Maintenance , L.L.C. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN204124  
AG Case #021705900

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment & Injunction Filed: 11/14/02 Period: Amount: \$115,000.00	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Blake Hawthorne  Percy L. "Wayne" Isgitt C. Zan Turcotte Law Offices of Perry L. "Wayne" Isgitt, P.C. Houston
---	---	---

Issue: Whether fuels tax is actually owed by an unrelated company. Whether the Comptroller abused its discretion and violated Plaintiff's constitutional rights. Plaintiff seeks injunctive and declaratory relief.

Status:

---

**Raytheon E-Systems, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN101511  
#03-02-000346-CV  
AG Case #011451606

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment and Refund Filed: 05/17/01 Period: 06/01/89 - 12/31/96 Amount: \$6,000,000	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt  Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Doug Sigel Curtis J. Osterloh Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Summary Judgment hearing held 03/05/02. Partial summary judgment for plaintiff signed 03/29/02. Trial scheduled for 05/16/02. Judgment for Raytheon granted 05/15/02. Defendants' notice of appeal filed 06/04/02. Plaintiff's notice of appeal filed 06/14/02. Appellants' brief filed 09/20/02. Brief on cross-appeal filed 09/30/02. Appellants' brief filed 10/18/02. Appellants' reply brief filed 11/07/02. Oral argument completed 12/04/02.

---

**Raytheon Co., as Successor in Interest to Raytheon Training, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN201022  
AG Case #021588694

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 03/28/02 Period: 08/01/88 - 05/31/97 Amount: \$2,500,000.00	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt  Mark W. Eidman Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Roadway Express, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN002831  
AG Case #001357631

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 09/25/00 Period: 04/01/88- 05/31/92 Amount: \$713,686.05 \$206,053.87	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt  David Cowling Robert Lochridge Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue Dallas
--	---	--

Issue: Whether various equipment used by the Plaintiff with its trucks is exempt from use tax as tangible personal property sold to a common carrier for use outside the state. Alternatively, whether the equipment had been taxed as vehicle components under the interstate motor carrier tax and could not be taxed as "accessories." Alternatively, whether taxing 100% of the value of the equipment violates the Commerce Clause because of a lack of substantial nexus and of fair apportionment. Whether all tax was paid on Plaintiff's repair and remodeling contracts and capital assets. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Rockwell Collins, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN203339  
AG Case #021676788

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 09/13/02 Period: 01/01/97- 12/31/98 Amount: \$591,028.39	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade  David H. Gilliland Clark, Thomas & Winters Austin
---	---	---

Issue: Plaintiff claims a sale for resale exemption on items resold to the federal government. Plaintiff also claims a denial of equal protection and an exemption under §151.3111.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Rollins & Rollins Enterprises, Inc. , dba Country Kwik Stop v. Rylander, et al.**  
Cause #GN202097  
AG Case #021640651

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 06/28/02 Period: 08/01/97- 07/31/00 Amount: \$45,059.74	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Scott Simmons  William T. Peckham Austin
---	---	---

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is liable for tax on food sold from its convenience store area. Whether the Comptroller applied proper percentages for loss and waste.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Sanchez, Hector and Sidney Fernald, et al. v. Southwestern Bell Telephone Co.** Cause #M-00-146  
AG Case #011527892

Sales Tax; Class Action Filed: 11/13/01 Period: Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Gene Storie  William J. Tinning Portland
---	---	---

Issue: Whether SWBT is liable to class action plaintiffs for over-collection of tax. Comptroller to provide testimony on tax.

Status: Comptroller to provide testimony on tax.

---

**Schoenborn & Doll Enterprises, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #99-07605  
AG Case #99-1187592

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 07/01/99 Period: 07/01/95- 05/31/97 Amount: \$140,936.92	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Blake Hawthorne  Kevin W. Morse Blazier, Christensen & Bigelow Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether the portion of Plaintiff's gym membership fee allocated to aerobic training is included in Plaintiff's taxable amusement services. Whether the Comptroller improperly disregarded the rule addressing non-taxable aerobic and tanning services under the amusement services tax. Whether the Comptroller should have applied its detrimental reliance policy.

Status: Inactive. Plaintiff paying tax under pay-out agreement.

---

**Sears Roebuck & Co. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #99-04138  
AG Case #99-1152398

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 04/08/99 Period: 10/01/88- 12/31/91 Amount: \$1,792,421.59	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt  David E. Cowling Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue Dallas
---	---	---

Issue: Whether use tax is owed on catalogs printed and shipped from out-of-state. Whether any taxable use was made or any consideration received by plaintiff. Whether "distribution" is a taxable use and whether the Comptroller's rule identifying it as such is valid. Whether imposition of the tax violates the due process, commerce, or equal protection clauses. Alternatively, whether calculation of the tax as on the correct cost basis, whether tax should not be collected because the catalogs are "books," and whether penalty should be waived.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Service Merchandise Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al.** Cause #98-11572  
AG Case #98-1063308

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 10/13/98 Period: 01/01/92- 12/31/93 Amount: \$413,569	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson  David E. Cowling Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue Dallas
---	---	--

Issue: Whether the purchase of sales catalogs printed out-of-state and shipped to Plaintiff's customers in Texas (at no charge to the customer) incur sales tax.

Status: Answer filed. On hold. Plaintiff filed bankruptcy in Tennessee on 03/25/99. Motion to dismiss set 05/07/01. Plaintiff filed motion to retain 04/25/01.

---

**Sharper Image Corp. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN203645  
AG Case #021686779

Sales Tax; Protest, Refund & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 10/09/02 Period: 07/01/94- 11/30/97 Amount: \$264,355.46	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt  Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr. Stahl, Martens & Bernal Austin  Martin I. Eisenstein Kevin J. Beal Brann & Isaacson Lewiston, ME
---	---	--

Issue: Whether use tax imposed on catalogs shipped from out-of-state is unlawful because: (1) plaintiff never used the catalogs in Texas; (2) the tax violates the Commerce Clause; and, (3) Rule 3.346 is unconstitutional. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Sharper Image Corp. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN203821  
AG Case #021696851

Sales Tax; Protest,  
Refund & Declaratory  
Judgment  
Filed: 10/22/02  
Period: 12/01/97-  
03/31/01  
Amount: \$258,205.20

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Jim Cloudt

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr.  
Stahl, Martens & Bernal  
Austin

Martin I. Eisenstein  
Kevin J. Beal  
Brann & Isaacson  
Lewiston, ME

Issue: Whether use tax imposed on catalogs shipped from out-of-state is unlawful because: (1) plaintiff never used the catalogs in Texas; (2) the tax violates the Commerce Clause; and, (3) Rule 3.346 is unconstitutional. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Southern Sandblasting and Coatings, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN103910  
AG Case #011532355

Sales Tax; Protest &  
Declaratory Judgment  
Filed: 11/27/01  
Period: 01/01/95-  
12/31/98  
Amount: \$219,219.35  
\$47.15

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Steve Rodriguez

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr.  
Kirk R. Lyda  
Stahl, Martens & Bernal  
Austin

Issue: Whether plaintiff's grit, used in sandblasting vessels, and materials such as paint-gun parts, are exempt as materials used in repairing vessels. Whether denial of the exemption violates equal protection. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial set 05/05/03.

---

**Southwest Food Processing & Refrigerated Services, aka Southwest Refrigerated Warehousing Services v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN103390  
AG Case #011509668

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 10/15/01 Period: 01/01/96- 12/31/99 Amount: \$188,477.57	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Blake Hawthorne  H. Christopher Mott Krafsur Gordon Mott El Paso
--	---	--

Issue: Whether plaintiff owes tax on electricity used to freeze food items.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Sprint International Communications, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.** Cause #96-14298  
AG Case #96-637296

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 11/22/96 Period: 02/01/86- 01/31/90 Amount: \$1,269,474	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Steve Rodriguez  Wallace M. Smith Donald L. Stuart R. Kemp Kasling Drenner & Stuart Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether networking services are taxable as telecommunications services.

Status: Discussions in progress.

---

**Steamatic of Austin, Inc., et al. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN200631  
AG Case #021567771

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 02/25/02 Period: 04/01/91- 04/30/94 Amount: \$103,335.27	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Steve Rodriguez  Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
---	---	---

Issue: Whether plaintiff is entitled to a tax refund for repairs to tangible personal property on the grounds that such repairs were for casualty losses exempt under the Comptroller's Rule 3.357 and 3.310. Whether the claim is barred by limitations. Whether the Comptroller improperly changed the rule on casualty losses.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Summit Photographix, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN001808  
AG Case #001323633

Sales Tax; Declaratory  
Judgment  
Filed: 06/23/00  
Period: 01/01/94-  
12/31/96  
Amount: \$6,532,000

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Blake Hawthorne

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Mark D. Hopkins  
Fields & Hopkins  
Austin

Hilary Thomas  
Kondos & Kondos Law  
Offices  
Richardson

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is a direct sales company and may be regarded as a retailer for sales made by independent retailers of business start-up kits. Whether the Comptroller's rule defining direct sales organizations violates due process. Whether §151.024 was applied retroactively. Whether the items at issue are not taxable tangible personal property. Whether the Comptroller erred in basing the assessment on the suggested retail price of all issued items. Whether penalty and interest should be waived. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Sysco Food Services of Houston, L.P. (f/k/a Sysco Food Service of Houston, Inc.) v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN100633

AG Case #011420734

Sales Tax; Refund &  
Declaratory Judgment  
Filed: 03/01/01  
Period: 01/01/94-  
12/31/96  
Amount: \$196,492.74

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Nicole Galwardi

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Judy M. Cunningham  
Austin

Issue: Whether electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt as electricity used in processing. Whether equipment is exempt for the same reason.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***TCCT Real Estate, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.*** Cause #99-11647  
AG Case #991219239

Sales Tax; Protest Declaratory Judgment Filed: 10/06/99 Period: 10/01/91- 03/31/93 Amount: \$146,484.05	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Blake Hawthorne  David Cowling Robert Lochridge Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue Dallas
--	---	---

Issue: Whether Plaintiff sold electricity for commercial use when it obtained electrical service under a management agreement for another company which used the electricity in manufacturing or processing. Whether the exemption for electricity used in manufacturing requires the purchaser of electricity to be the user. Whether Plaintiff can be held as a seller of electricity in violation of the TPURA. Whether Plaintiff's right to equal and uniform taxation has been violated. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***TCCT Real Estate, Inc. as Successor to TCC Austin Industrial Overhead v. Rylander, et al.*** Cause #99-11648  
AG Case #99-1219221

Sales Tax; Protest Declaratory Judgment Filed: 10/05/99 Period: 07/01/89- 12/31/91 Amount: \$479,719.44	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Blake Hawthorne  David Cowling Robert Lochridge Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue Dallas
--	---	---

Issue: Whether Plaintiff sold electricity for commercial use when it obtained electrical service under a management agreement for another company which used the electricity in manufacturing or processing. Whether the exemption for electricity used in manufacturing requires the purchaser of electricity to be the user. Whether Plaintiff can be held as a seller of electricity in violation of the TPURA. Whether Plaintiff's right to equal and uniform taxation has been violated. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***TDI-Halter, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.*** Cause #GN100339  
AG Case #011409653

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 02/01/01 Period: 01/01/93- 06/30/96 Amount: \$475,000	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Blake Hawthorne  Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Eric Hagenswold Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether conversion of drilling rigs to self-propelled, deep water rigs is manufacturing under the statute and Comptroller rules. Whether dredging is non-taxable maintenance of real property. Alternatively, whether interest should be waived.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Telecable Associates, Inc.; Teleservice Corp. of America; Texas Telecable, Inc.; TCA Cable of Amarillo, Inc.; and Texas Community Antennas, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.*** Cause #GN100705  
AG Case #011422482

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 03/07/01 Period: 03/01/93- 12/31/96 Amount: \$400,000	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Scott Simmons  Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--	---	---

Issue: Whether cable equipment on the customer's premises qualifies for the sale for resale exemption for property used to provide a taxable service.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

**Tennessee Gas Pipeline Co. v. Sharp, et al.** Cause #98-09521

#03-02-00029-CV

AG Case #98-1022296

Sales Tax; Refund

Filed: 08/25/98

Period: 01/01/94-

04/03/96

Amount: \$85,430

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Christopher Jackson

Ron Patterson  
Kliwer, Breen, Garaton,  
Patterson & Malone, Inc.  
San Antonio

Issue: Plaintiff contends that because it operates a common-carrier pipeline and is a certificated or licensed carrier of property it may avoid sales tax on repair, remodeling, and maintenance services purchased in connection with the maintenance and repair of aircraft Plaintiff owns and uses in operating its common-carrier pipeline.

Status: Summary Judgment granted in Comptroller's favor 10/04/01. Plaintiff filed Motion for New Trial 11/05/01. Plaintiff appealed. Third Court of Appeals affirmed District Court's decision on 06/13/02. Appellant filed Motion for Rehearing 06/28/02. Motion for Rehearing denied 07/26/02. Tennessee Gas Petition for Review to Tex. Supreme Court filed 09/10/02. Respondents' brief due 12/12/02.

---

**Texaco, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN201543

AG Case #021613625

Sales Tax; Refund

Filed: 05/10/02

Period: 05/01/87-

12/31/90

Amount: \$157,090.20

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Scott Simmons

Mark W. Eidman  
Ray Langenberg  
Doug Sigel  
Scott, Douglass &  
McConnico  
Austin

Issue: Plaintiff claims that interest should be offset or waived for a period before a refund was made to a subsidiary.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Texas Gulf, Inc. v. Bullock, et al.** Cause #485,228  
AG Case #90-311185

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 06/05/90 Period: 01/01/85 - 06/30/88 Amount: \$294,000	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade  Ira A. Lipstet Jenkins & Gilchrist Austin
---	---	---

Issue: Are pipes exempt as manufacturing equipment or taxable as intra plant transportation.

Status: State's plea to the jurisdiction denied. Discovery and settlement negotiations in progress.

---

**Texas Instruments, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN103526  
AG Case #011523420

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 10/24/01 Period: 07/01/87- 12/31/90 Amount: \$27,000,000	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt  Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Doug Sigel Curtis J. Osterloh Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Texas Instruments, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN103527  
AG Case #011523438

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 10/24/01 Period: 01/01/91- 07/31/97 Amount: \$102,000,000	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt  Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Doug Sigel Curtis J. Osterloh Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Transcontinental Gas Pipeline Corp. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #99-06997  
AG Case #99-1178526

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 06/17/99 Period: 03/93-05/95 Amount: \$112,684.43	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade  Ron Patterson Kliwer, Breen, Garatoni, Patterson & Malone Austin  Michael R. Garatoni Kliwer, Breen, Garatoni, Patterson & Malone San Antonio
--	---	---

Issue: Whether Plaintiff, a common carrier gas pipeline operator, may claim a sales and use tax exemption on its purchase of an airplane. Whether airplane repair and replacement parts are exempt.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**USA Waste Services of Houston, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN003453  
AG Case #001388065

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 12/01/00 Period: 01/01/94- 03/31/97 Amount: \$14,016.28	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Scott Simmons  Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Eric Hagenswold Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether steam cleaning done for Plaintiff's customers by a third party is a sale for resale as an integral part of Plaintiff's taxable waste removal services.

Status: Motion for Summary Judgment filed.

---

**Union Carbide Corp. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN000580  
AG Case #001261452

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 01/13/00 Period: 01/01/89- 12/31/92 Amount: \$575,857.40	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Steve Rodriguez  Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Curtis Osterloh Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to an exemption on labor charges for installing floating roofs on tanks at its chemical plant because: (1) the roofs are exempt pollution control equipment, (2) the labor was for non-taxable new construction, or (3) the labor was for remodeling of tangible personal property.

Status: Settlement negotiations pending.

---

**Unit 82 Joint Venture v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN001888  
AG Case #001327964

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 07/03/00 Period: 07/01/93- 12/31/96 Amount: \$44,519.03	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Scott Simmons  H. Christopher Mott Krafsur Gordon Mott Davis & Woody El Paso
---	---	---

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's initial finish-out work is non-taxable new construction.

Status: Settlement agreement signed. Payments in progress.

---

**United Services Automobile Association & USAA Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN103414  
AG Case #011509643

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 10/16/01 Period: 02/01/91- 12/31/99 Amount: \$200,000,000+	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Gene Storie  Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
---	---	---

Issue: Whether plaintiffs are exempt from sales taxes because of Tex. Ins. Code arts. 4.10 and 4.11.

Status: Defendants' plea to the jurisdiction set 05/01/02. Summary Judgment for Defendants granted 05/13/02. Plaintiffs filed motion for new trial to extend deadline for appeal.

---

**West Texas Pizza, Limited Partnership v. Sharp, et al.** Cause #96-11751  
AG Case #96-611633

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 09/27/96 Period: 06/01/88- 06/30/92 Amount: \$35,247	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Steve Rodriguez  Richard L. Rothfelder Milissa M. Magee Kirkendall, Isgur & Rothfelder Houston
--	---	--

Issue: Whether prizes obtained by collecting tickets from amusement machines in a restaurant are “purchased” by the customer as part of the price of the food.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

**Westar Hotels, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.** Cause #97-06182  
AG Case #97-743945

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 05/23/97 Period: 11/01/90- 07/31/94 Amount: \$73,827	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Steve Rodriguez  Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr. Stahl, Martens & Bernal Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes tax on electricity used in its hotels.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

**World Fitness Centers, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN201795  
AG Case #021626239

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 05/30/02 Period: 09/01/94- 05/31/98 Amount: \$273,005.56	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Scott Simmons  Ray Bonilla Ray, Wood & Bonilla Austin
---	---	---

Issue: Whether plaintiff owes sales tax on the discount and reserve amounts of its factored contracts when plaintiff is a cash-basis taxpayer.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Zale Delaware, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN202030  
AG Case #021640669

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 06/24/02 Period: 08/01/92- 02/28/97 Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Blake Hawthorne  Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
---	---	---

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is liable for tax on items temporarily stored in Texas. Whether tax on services purchased by Plaintiff should be reduced to reflect the out-of-state benefit of those services. Whether Plaintiff should get a refund or credit for tax paid on inventory. Whether the Comptroller should be barred from off-setting debts in the period between the filing of Plaintiff's bankruptcy petition and the confirmation of its reorganization plan.

Status: Answer filed.

---



## Insurance Tax

**All American Life Insurance Co., et al. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #98-00195  
#03-00-427-CV  
AG Case #98-880394

Insurance Premium & Insurance Maintenance Tax; Protest Filed: 01/07/98 Period: 1991-1994 Amount: \$276,151 (Premium) \$4,804 (Maintenance)	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Gene Storie  Barry K. Bishop Clark, Thomas & Winters Austin  Dudley D. McCalla Heath, Davis & McCalla Austin  Jay A. Thompson Thompson, Coe, Cousins & Irons Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether certain transactions called "internal rollover" by Plaintiffs, consisting of substituting one insurance policy for a prior policy and transferring funds, result in gross premiums subject to tax.

Status: Trial set 01/18/00. Judgment for State signed 03/22/00. Plaintiff's filed request for findings of fact and conclusions of law 04/06/00. Plaintiffs filed notice of appeal. Appellants' brief filed 09/29/00. Appellees' brief due 12/01/00. Oral argument held 01/24/01. Reversed and remanded 08/30/01. State filed petition for review with Texas Supreme Court 10/15/01. The Comptroller's brief on the merits filed 02/19/02. Respondents' brief on the merits and Comptroller's reply brief filed. Petition denied and ordered that the Court of Appeals opinion be released for publication. Agreed Judgment signed.

---

**All American Life Insurance Co. v. Sharp, et al.** Cause #98-07917 (Consolidated with Cause #98-00195, *All American Life Insurance Co., et al. v. Sharp, et al.*)  
AG Case #98-1001902

Gross Premium Tax; Protest Filed: 07/24/98 Period: 1994-1996 Amount: \$29,169	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Gene Storie  Dudley D. McCalla Heath, Davis & McCalla Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether certain transactions called "internal rollover" by Plaintiffs, consisting of substituting one insurance policy for a prior policy and transferring funds, result in gross premiums subject to tax.

Status: Consolidated with Cause #98-00195, *All American Life Insurance Co., et al. v. Sharp, et al.*

---

***Allianz Underwriters Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al.*** Cause #GN000663  
AG Case #001280114

Insurance Premium Tax; Protest, Injunction & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 03/02/00 Period: 01/01/90- 12/31/95 Amount: \$365,506.54	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Steve Rodriguez  Stephen L. Phillips Brian C. Newby Julie K. Lane Cantey & Hanger, Roan & Autrey Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether Plaintiff, an eligible surplus lines insurer, owes tax for unauthorized insurance. Whether tax should have been collected from the surplus lines agent or from the insured. Whether the Comptroller's assessment is contrary to the McCarran-Ferguson Act and constitutional due process. Whether the Comptroller has authority to assess taxes due before 09/01/93. Whether the Comptroller's rule on penalty and interest is arbitrary and capricious. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Settlement negotiations pending. Motion to Retain filed pursuant to Dismissal for Want of Prosecution.

---

***Allmerica Financial Life Insurance Co. and Annuity Co. v. Rylander, et al.***  
Cause #GN001378  
AG Case #001304807

Insurance Premium Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 05/10/00 Period: 1992-1995 Amount: \$190,352.89 \$43,715.28	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Gene Storie  Steven D. Moore Jackson Walker L.L.P. Austin
--	---	---

Issue: Whether premium taxes are owed on internal rollover transactions. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory judgment under the UDJA and APA and attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed. Should be resolved as for *All American Life Insurance, et al. v. Sharp, et al.*

---

**American Bankers Insurance Co. of Florida, et al. v. Ann Richards, et al.** Cause #396,975  
AG Case #86-1483

Gross Premium Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 05/08/86 Period: 1985-1988 Amount: \$1,745,569	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Steve Rodriguez  Fred B. Werkenthin Jackson & Walker Austin
--	---	---

Issue: Whether Tex. Ins. Code art. 4.10 unconstitutionally discriminates against foreign property and casualty companies by basing the premium tax rate on their percentage of Texas investments (equal protection). (Pleadings refer to art. 4.10, but protest letters refer to arts. 4.11 and 21.46.) Also seeks recovery and attorneys' fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1983.

Status: Inactive.

---

**American General Life Insurance Co., American National Life Insurance Co., and American National Insurance Co. v. Sharp, et al.** Cause #98-13996  
(Consolidated with Cause #98-00195, *All American Life Insurance Co., et al. v. Sharp, et al.*)  
AG Case #99-1093402

Maintenance & Gross Premium Tax; Refund Filed: 12/16/98 Period: 01/01/91- 12/31/94 Amount: \$204,695.81	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Gene Storie  Dudley D. McCalla Heath, Davis & McCalla Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether "internal rollovers" of existing life insurance policies result in gross premiums subject to tax.

Status: Consolidated with Cause #98-00195, *All American Life Insurance Co., et al. v. Sharp, et al.*

---

**American International Specialty Lines Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN002666  
AG Case #001351998

Insurance Premium Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 09/08/00 Period: 1995 Amount: \$362,975.97	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Steve Rodriguez  Anthony Icenogle Joseph C. Boggins DeLeon & Boggins Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether an authorized surplus lines insurer is required to pay unauthorized insurance tax when the Comptroller is unable to verify payment of tax by the agent. Whether the Comptroller wrongfully relied on another hearings decision as precedent. Plaintiff also seeks injunctive and declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Consolidated with *Lexington Insurance Co. and Landmark Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al.* Summary Judgment motions set 08/01/02. Awaiting judgment.

---

**Fireman's Fund Insurance Co. of Ohio v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN101899  
AG Case #011464476

Insurance Premium Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 06/20/01 Period: 1992-1998 Amount: \$439,074.12	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Steve Rodriguez  Stephen L. Phillips Brian C. Newby Julie K. Lane Cantey & Hanger, Roan & Autry Austin
---	---	---

Issue: Whether Plaintiff, an authorized surplus lines insurer, is liable for unauthorized insurance premiums tax. Whether the Comptroller lacks authority to determine that Plaintiff is an unauthorized insurer, and whether the Texas Department of Insurance is required to make that determination. Whether the Comptroller engaged in selective and improper enforcement. Whether the assessment violates Due Process and the McCarran-Ferguson Act. Alternatively, whether penalty should be waived. Plaintiff also seeks injunctive relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**IDS Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #99-13368 (*Consolidated with Cause #98-00195, All American Life Insurance Co., et al. v. Sharp, et al.*)  
AG Case #99-1238965

Insurance Tax; Protest Filed: 11/16/99 Period: 1995-1998 Amount: \$234,383.82 \$2,039.79	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Gene Storie  Barry Bishop Clark, Thomas & Winters Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether certain transactions called "internal rollover" by Plaintiffs, consisting of substituting one insurance policy for a prior policy and transferring funds, result in gross premiums subject to tax.

Status: Consolidated with Cause #98-00195, *All American Life Insurance Co, et al. v. Sharp, et al.*

---

**Lexington Insurance Co., Landmark Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN100569  
AG Case #011417896

Insurance Premium Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 02/22/01 Period: 1992-1995 Amount: \$1,596,196.63 \$36,174.92	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Steve Rodriguez  Anthony Icenogle Joseph C. Boggins De Leon & Boggins Austin
--	---	---

Issue: Whether an authorized surplus lines insurer is required to pay unauthorized insurance tax when the Comptroller is unable to verify payment of tax by the agent. Whether the Comptroller wrongfully relied on another hearings decision as precedent. Plaintiff also seeks injunctive and declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Summary Judgment motions held 08/01/02. Awaiting judgment.

---

**Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., et al. v. A.W. Pogue, et al.** Cause #484,745  
AG Case #90-304512

Gross Premium Tax; Protest Filed: 05/24/90 Period: 1985-1986 1989-1992 Amount: \$1,848,606	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Gene Storie  Fred B. Werkenthin Steve Moore Jackson & Walker Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether insurance taxes are owed by insurance companies on dividends applied to paid-up additions and renewal premiums.

Status: 9th Amended Petition filed. Settlement discussed, and partial settlement agreed to. Final judgment signed on paid-up additions issue. Renewal premium issue severed and retained on docket. Plaintiffs have made settlement offer on remainder of case.

---

**Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., et al. v. A.W. Pogue, et al.** Cause #484,796  
AG Case #90-304503

Maintenance Tax; Protest Filed: 05-23-90 Period: 1989-1991 Amount: \$1,616,497	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Gene Storie  Fred B. Werkenthin Jackson & Walker Austin
---	---	---

Issue: Whether Tex. Ins. Code art. 21.07-6 is preempted by ERISA.

Status: One Plaintiff has submitted documentation supporting a refund. Case will be concluded in accordance with *NGS v. Barnes*, 998 F.2d 296 (5th Cir. 1993). Severance and final judgment entered for Metropolitan. Awaiting documentation for other Plaintiffs.

---

**Philadelphia Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN101330  
AG Case #011439866

Insurance Premium & Gross Premium Tax; Protest Filed: 05/02/01 Period: 1992-1996 Amount: \$466,381.65	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Scott Simmons  Kevin F. Lee Michael W. Jones Thompson, Coe, Cousins & Irons Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether certain transactions called "internal rollover" by Plaintiffs, consisting of substituting one insurance policy for a prior policy and transferring funds, result in gross premiums subject to tax.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Security National Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN001503  
AG Case #001310820

Insurance Premium Tax; Protest Filed: 05/23/00 Period: 1995-1998 Amount: \$1,226,220.50	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Blake Hawthorne  Jay A. Thompson Thompson, Coe, Cousins & Irons Austin  Barry K. Bishop Clark, Thomas & Winters Austin
---	---	---

Issue: Whether daily negative bank account balances should be adjusted to \$0 to compute the proper percentage of Texas investments for gross premiums tax.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

**Southwestern Life Insurance Co. v. Sharp, et al.** Cause #98-11945  
AG Case #98-1065840

Gross Premium Maintenance Tax; Protest Filed: 10/22/98 Period: 01/01/92- 12/31/95 Amount: \$392,737	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Gene Storie  L.G. Skip Smith Clark, Thomas & Winters Austin
--	---	---

Issue: Whether certain transactions called "internal rollover" by Plaintiffs, consisting of substituting one insurance policy for a prior policy and transferring funds, result in gross premiums subject to tax.

Status: Answer filed. Will be determined as for *All American Life Insurance Co, et al. v. Sharp, et al.*

---

**Southwestern Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN000875  
AG Case #001288869

Gross Premium Maintenance Tax; Protest & Refund Filed: 03/24/00 Period: 01/01/96- 12/31/98 Amount: \$384,446.75	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Blake Hawthorne  L.G. Skip Smith David H. Gilliland Clark, Thomas & Winters Austin
---	---	---

Issue: Whether certain transactions called "internal rollover" by Plaintiffs, consisting of substituting one insurance policy for a prior policy and transferring funds, result in gross premiums subject to tax.

Status: On hold pending outcome of *All American Life Insurance v. Rylander, et al.*

---

**St. Paul Surplus Lines Co. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN102788  
AG Case #011490877

Insurance Premium Tax; Refund, Protest & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 08/24/01 Period: 01/01/95- 12/31/98 Amount: \$163,021.27	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Steve Rodriguez  Michael W. Jones Kevin F. Lee Austin  Richard S. Geiger Dallas  Thompson, Coe, Cousins & Irons
---	---	---

Issue: Whether Plaintiff, an eligible surplus lines insurer, is liable for unauthorized insurance tax. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorney's fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**State Farm Life Insurance Co. v. Cornyn, et al.** Cause #99-07980  
AG Case #99-1187642

Gross Premium Tax; Protest, Refund & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 07/13/99 Period: 1990 1992 1994 Amount: \$1,027,067.59 \$395,949.71 \$294,607.28	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christine Monzingo  Michael W. Jones Thompson, Coe, Cousins & Irons Austin
--	---	---

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's debt instruments are mortgage loans or corporate bonds or other obligations for purposes of its Texas investments allocation. Whether Plaintiff's interests in limited partnerships qualified as real estate investments. Whether allocation of quarterly U.S. bond holdings was proper. Whether calculation of bank balances was proper. Alternatively, whether penalty should be waived. Plaintiff seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Settled.

---

**United American Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #99-06836  
AG Case #99-1176355

Gross Premium Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 06/15/99 Period: 1990-1996 Amount: \$1,262,878.98 \$7,487.00	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christine Monzingo  Sam R. Perry Sneed, Vine & Perry Austin
---	---	---

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's investment in a limited partnership which held Texas mineral interests qualifies as a Texas investment for purposes of reducing Plaintiff's gross premiums tax rate. Whether investments in limited partnerships should be treated the same as investments in corporations. Whether Plaintiff was denied equal protection under the federal or state constitutions. Plaintiff also asks for attorneys' fees.

Status: District court granted Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment and denied Plaintiff's judgment 10/09/02. Notice of Appeal and Docketing Statement filed 11/08/02. Clerk's Record due 12/08/02.

---

**Universe Life Insurance Co. v. State of Texas** Cause #97-05106  
AG Case #97-727302

Insurance Tax; Protest Filed: 04/29/97 Period: 1993 Amount: \$56,958	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Gene Storie  Larry Parks Long, Burner, Parks & Sealey Austin
---	---	---

Issue: Whether plaintiff should be given credit against tax due for examination fees paid to the state in connection with a market conduct examination report ordered by the Texas Department of Insurance. Plaintiff also asks for penalty and interest waiver.

Status: Cross-motions for summary judgment heard 11/12/97. Summary judgment granted for Plaintiff. State has appealed. Case submitted without oral argument 07/06/98. Affirmed in part, reversed and remanded in part 03/11/99. State's motion for rehearing denied. Petition for review filed 06/01/99. Briefs on merits requested by Court. State's brief filed 10/18/99. Petition denied. Case remanded to trial court.

---

**Universe Life Insurance Co., The v. Cornyn, et al.** Cause #GN002605  
AG Case #001348580

Insurance Premium Tax; Refund Filed: 09/01/00 Period: 1993 1994 Amount: \$87,288.51 \$426,620.38	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Gene Storie  Larry Parks Long, Burner, Parks, McClellan & Delargy Austin
--	---	---

Issue: Whether plaintiff should be given credit against tax due for examination fees paid to the state in connection with a market conduct examination report ordered by the Texas Department of Insurance. Plaintiff also asks for penalty and interest waiver.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Warranty Underwriters Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #99-12271  
AG Case #99-1226739

Insurance Tax; Protest &  
Declaratory Judgment  
Filed: 10/20/99  
Period: 1993-1997  
1993-1997  
Amount: \$416,462.73  
\$214,893.74

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Blake Hawthorne

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Nanette K. Beard  
Raymond E. White  
Daniel Micciche  
Akin, Gump, Strauss,  
Hauer & Feld  
Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller improperly included amounts not received by Plaintiff in Plaintiff's gross premiums tax base. Whether any maintenance tax is payable on Plaintiff's business of home warranty insurance. Whether the Comptroller is bound by the prior actions and determinations of the Texas Department of Insurance. Whether the assessments of tax violate due process and equal taxation. Whether penalty and interest should have been waived.

Status: Discovery in progress. Case will go to mediation. On dismissal docket. Plaintiff filed Motion to Retain. Jury trial scheduled 03/31/03.

---



## Other Taxes

**Academy ISD v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GV202340  
AG Case #021647615

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal, Injunction & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 07/26/02 Period: 2001 Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Nicole Galwardi  Kirk Swinney Harvey M. Allen Javier B. Gutierrez McCreary, Veselka, Bragg & Allen Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties. Whether the Comptroller's certification of inaccurate values for some districts violates constitutional guarantees of efficient education and equal protection. Plaintiff also seeks attorney's fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Alvarado ISD v. Rylander** Cause #GN202439  
AG Case #021647623

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal Filed: 07/26/02 Period: 2001 Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade  Ray Bonilla Randall B. Wood Ray, Wood & Bonilla Austin
---	---	---

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by misapplying burden of proof and not properly valuing sample properties that involved creative financing.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Arnold, Jessamine J., Estate of, Deceased, and Jim Arnold, Jr., Independent Executor v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN203255  
AG Case #021670484

Inheritance Tax; Protest Filed: 09/09/02 Period: Amount: \$161,956	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Steve Rodriguez  James F. Martens Christina A. Mondrik Stahl, Martens & Bernal Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether the IRS erred in increasing the value of the estate's assets and disallowing expenses and gifts.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Bailiff, Michael W. and Sylvia S. Bailiff v. Bexar County Appraisal District, et al.**  
Cause #2002-CI-147689  
AG Case #021691704

Property Tax; Declaratory Judgment Filed: 10/10/02 Period: 2002 Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Gene Storie  Christopher J. Weber Christopher J. Weber, L.L.C. San Antonio
--	---	---

Issue: Plaintiff claims that defendants overvalued and unequally appraised his various properties in Bexar County. Plaintiff claims that Defendants failed to meet their burden of proof and also seeks declaratory judgment and attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Belton ISD v. Comptroller of Public Accounts** Cause #GV202349  
AG Case #021651898

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal Filed: 07/26/02 Period: 2001 Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade  R. Lawrence Macon Donna K. Schneider Akin, Gump, Strauss, Hauer & Feld San Antonio
---	---	--

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties. Whether the Comptroller failed to consider local modifiers, sales and market information. Whether utility property appraisal includes intangible value. Whether Belton ISD should be treated like McLennan County districts.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Buffalo ISD v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GV202348  
AG Case #021647854

Property Tax; Injunction & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 07/26/02 Period: 2001 Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Nicole Galwardi  Kirk Swinney Harvey M. Allen Javier B. Gutierrez McCreary, Veselka, Bragg & Allen Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Campbell ISD, et al. v. Comptroller** Cause #GV2-02447  
AG Case #021657903

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal Filed: 07/31/02 Period: 2001 Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Nicole Galwardi  Robert Mott Joseph Longoria Perdue, Brandon, Fielder, Collins & Mott Houston
---	---	---

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by misapplying burden of proof and not properly selecting and valuing sample properties by following the same methodology.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Caldwell, Marcie v. Rylander** Cause #99-13088  
AG Case #99-1234329

Declaratory Judgment Tax; Declaratory Judgment Filed: 11/08/99 Period: 1992-Present Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson  Joe K. Crews Diane S. Jacobs Ivy, Crews & Elliott Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether county court fees collected from persons who are convicted of any criminal offense are constitutional. Plaintiff seeks class action declaratory and injunctive relief to prevent Comptroller from collecting fees. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Plea to Jurisdiction denied 01/06/00. Trial court decision on jurisdiction affirmed by Third Court of Appeals. Plaintiff waived all rights to refund of court costs. Summary Judgment filed. Motion for Summary Judgment hearing set 03/21/03.

---

**Castleberry ISD; Ennis ISD; Canyon ISD; La Porte ISD v. Comptroller** Cause  
#96-08010  
AG Case #96-599817

Property Tax; Declaratory Judgment Filed: 07/11/96 Period: 1994 Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Gene Storie  Robert Mott Joseph Longoria Perdue, Brandon, Fielder, Collins & Mott Houston
--	---	---

Issue: Various issues concerning the validity of the Comptroller's property value study.

Status: Answer and Special Exception filed. Inactive. Settlement reached with Canyon ISD. Only La Porte ISD is now pending. LaPorte ISD has made a settlement offer. Discovery in progress.

---

**Chrysler Financial Co., L.L.C. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #99-13243  
AG Case #99-1238189

Motor Vehicle Tax; Refund	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Jim Cloudt
Filed: 11/12/99 Period: 10/01/90- 11/30/96 Amount: \$3,405,494.49	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Mark W. Eidman Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin  David E .Otero Akerman, Senterfitt & Eidson Florida

Issue: Whether Plaintiff, as assignee of installment contracts with Chrysler dealers, is entitled to a refund under the bad debt credit provision in the sales tax for taxes on motor vehicles that were not paid by defaulting vehicle purchasers. Whether there is any rational basis to distinguish between vehicle sales and other sales or between vehicle rental receipts and vehicle sales receipts for purposes of bad debt relief.

Status: Motion to Detain filed by Plaintiff. Trial scheduled for 07/20/03.

---

**Cisco ISD v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GV202346  
AG Case #021647870

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal, Injunction & Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Jana Kinkade
Filed: 07/26/02 Period: 2001 Amount: \$	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Kirk Swinney Harvey M. Allen Javier B. Gutierrez McCreary, Veselka, Bragg & Allen Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties. Whether the Comptroller's certification of inaccurate values for some districts violates constitutional guarantees of efficient education and equal protection. Plaintiff also seeks attorney's fees.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

**Cleburne ISD v. Rylander** Cause #GN202440  
AG Case #021647672

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal Filed: 07/26/02 Period: 2001 Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade  Ray Bonilla Randall B. Wood Ray, Wood & Bonilla Austin
---	---	---

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by misapplying burden of proof and not properly valuing sample properties that involved creative financing.

Status: Settled.

---

**Cockrill, Charles T. v. Comptroller of Public Accounts, et al.** Cause #CJ-00-308  
AG Case #001368513

Property Tax; Declaratory Judgment Filed: 10/12/00 Period: Amount: \$99,425.50	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Gene Storie  Douglas L. Jackson Vance T. Nye Gungoll, Jackson, Collins, Box & Devoll Enid, Oklahoma
--	---	---

Issue: Whether the Comptroller asserts any interest in art works that were sold by a taxpayer subject to a tax lien.

Status: Comptroller disclaims interest.

---

**Cooper ISD v. Comptroller** Cause #GV202460  
AG Case #021652045

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal Filed: 08/01/02 Period: 2001 Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade  Randall B. Wood Ray Bonilla Ray, Wood & Bonilla Austin
---	---	---

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by misapplying burden of proof and not properly valuing sample properties that involved creative financing.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**DeSoto ISD v. Comptroller of Public Accounts** Cause #GV102073  
AG Case #011474624

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal Filed: 07/27/01 Period: 2000 Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Nicole Galwardi  Ray Bonilla Ray, Wood, Fine & Bonilla Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and inspecting sample properties.

Status: Discovery in progress. Settlement negotiations pending.

---

**Deweyville ISD v. Rylander** Cause #GV001637  
AG Case #001335355

Property Tax; Declaratory Judgment Filed: 07/14/00 Period: 1999 Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Nicole Galwardi  John H. Wofford Law Office of John H. Wofford Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and inspecting sample properties. Whether the Comptroller failed to acknowledge local economic conditions, to timely provide a "clerical errors" report, and to accept additional information.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

**Dorinco Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN203924  
AG Case #021700380

Gross Premium Insurance  
and Maintenance Tax  
Tax; Protest  
Filed: 10/29/02  
Period: 1991-1997  
Amount: \$1,411,505.77

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Gene Storie

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Mark W. Eidman  
Ray Langenberg  
Scott, Douglass &  
McConnico  
Austin

Issue: Whether tax was improperly assessed because Texas has no nexus with plaintiff or with the transactions in issue. Whether tax was also improperly assessed on premiums that did not cover Texas risks.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Eastland ISD v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GV202347  
AG Case #021647888

Property Tax;  
Administrative Appeal,  
Injunction & Declaratory  
Judgment  
Filed: 07/26/02  
Period: 2001  
Amount: \$

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Nicole Galwardi

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Kirk Swinney  
Harvey M. Allen  
Javier B. Gutierrez  
McCreary, Veselka,  
Bragg & Allen  
Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties. Whether the Comptroller's certification of inaccurate values for some districts violates constitutional guarantees of efficient education and equal protection. Plaintiff also seeks attorney's fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Sharp** Cause #91-6309  
AG Case #91-78237

Gas Production Tax; Declaratory Judgment Filed: 05/06/91 Period: 01/01/87 - 12/31/87 Amount: \$3,054,480.60	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Steve Rodriguez  Alfred H. Ebert, Jr. Andrews & Kurth Houston
--	---	---

Issue: Whether Comptroller should have granted Plaintiff a hearing on penalty waiver and related issues.

Status: State's Plea in Abatement granted pending outcome of administrative hearing on audit liability. Negotiations pending.

---

**Fort Davis ISD v. Comptroller** Cause #GV001764  
AG Case #001339852

Property Tax; Declaratory Judgment Filed: 07/28/00 Period: 1999 Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Nicole Galwardi  James R. Evans, Jr. Linebarger Heard Goggan Blair Graham Pena & Sampson Austin
--	---	---

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and inspecting sample properties. Whether the Comptroller failed to acknowledge local economic conditions, to timely provide a "clerical errors" report, and to accept additional information.

Status: Discovery in progress. Settlement negotiations in progress.

---

**Fort Worth PR's, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN200711  
AG Case #021573480

Mixed Beverage Gross Receipts Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 03/04/02 Period: 03/01/99- 06/30/99 Amount: \$36,177.36	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade  John L. Gamboa Acuff, Gamboa & White Fort Worth
--	---	---

Issue: Whether the Comptroller used a non-representative sample to determine plaintiff's tax liability. Whether depletion and error rates were calculated correctly.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Gainesville ISD v. Comptroller of Public Accounts** Cause #GV102071  
AG Case #011474574

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal Filed: 07/27/01 Period: 2000 Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade  Ray Bonilla Ray, Wood, Fine & Bonilla Austin
---	---	---

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties that involved creative financing.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

**Gainesville ISD v. Comptroller** Cause #GV202463  
AG Case #021652003

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal Filed: 08/01/02 Period: 2001 Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Nicole Galwardi  Randall B. Wood Ray Bonilla Ray, Wood & Bonilla Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties that involved creative financing.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Gard, L.V. v. Bandera County Appraisal District; Bandera County Chief Appraiser, R. Elaine Chaney; Bandera County Appraisal Review Board, Paul Goodnight, Chairman; Rylander; and Bandera County Assessor-Collector, Mae Vion Meyer** Cause #8494-02  
AG Case #021684444

Property Tax; Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Gene Storie
Filed: 08/29/02	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher J. Weber
Period: 2001		Christopher J. Weber,
Amount: \$		L.L.C.
		San Antonio

Issue: Plaintiff claims that defendants overvalued and unequally appraised his various properties in Bandera County. Plaintiff claims that Defendants failed to meet their burden of proof and also seeks declaratory judgment and attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Gorman ISD v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GV202344  
AG Case #021647896

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal, Injunction & Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Christopher Jackson
Filed: 07/26/02	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Kirk Swinney
Period: 2001		Harvey M. Allen
Amount: \$		Javier B. Gutierrez
		McCreary, Veselka,
		Bragg & Allen
		Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties. Whether the Comptroller's certification of inaccurate values for some districts violates constitutional guarantees of efficient education and equal protection. Plaintiff also seeks attorney's fees.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

**Hernandez, Juan Luis v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #C-294-00-G  
AG Case #001365550

Declaratory Judgment Tax; Declaratory Judgment Filed: 10/03/00 Period: 12/22/92 Amount: \$24,451.35 \$33,252.57	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Blake Hawthorne  Kelly K. McKinnis McAllen
---	---	---

Issue: Whether drug tax liens were mistakenly filed on Plaintiff.

Status: Set for dismissal for want of prosecution by court's motion on 03/19/02. Case retained by district court. Plaintiff filed Motion for Summary Judgment on 04/10/02. Defendants filed a Plea to the Jurisdiction and Cross-Motion for Motion for Summary Judgment on 04/17/02. To be resolved on briefs only.

---

**Lake Austin Spa Investors, Ltd. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN203899  
AG Case #021703913

Hotel Occupancy Tax; Protest, Injunction & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 10/28/02 Period: 03/01/97- 11/30/00 12/01/00-03/31/02 Amount: \$193,629.45 \$59,232.72	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Scott Simmons  Kirk R. Manning Stephen L. Phillips Julie K. Lane Cantey & Hanger Austin
---	---	---

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's service charges are subject to the hotel tax. Whether the charges are gratuities under the Comptroller's rule. Plaintiff also seeks injunctive relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**MFC Finance Co. of Texas v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN002653  
AG Case #001352632

Motor Vehicle Sales Tax; Refund	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Jim Cloudt
Filed: 09/07/00 Period: 01/01/96- 12/31/98 Amount: \$5,533,079.80	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to tax credit and refund as provided under the sales tax bad debt statute for motor vehicle taxes on installment sales where the purchaser defaulted. Whether the refusal to allow a refund violates equal taxation because there is no rational basis to treat installment sellers of vehicles differently than vehicle renters and other retailers.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**MFN Financial Corp. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN002650  
AG Case #001352129

Motor Vehicle Sales Tax; Refund	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Jim Cloudt
Filed: 09/07/00 Period: 01/01/96- 12/31/98 Amount: \$5,533,079.80	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & McConnico

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to tax credit and refund as provided under the sales tax bad debt statute for motor vehicle taxes on installment sales where the purchaser defaulted. Whether the refusal to allow a refund violates equal taxation because there is no rational basis to treat installment sellers of vehicles differently than vehicle renters and other retailers.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**McLane Co., Inc. and McLane Foodservice-Lubbock, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.**  
Cause #GN104253  
AG Case #021547393

Protest Tax; Protest,  
Injunction & Declaratory  
Judgment  
Filed:  
Period:  
Amount: \$1,173.83 &  
\$3,690.00

Asst. AAG Assigned:  
Plaintiff's Counsel:

Gene Storie  
Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr.  
Kirk R. Lyda  
David J. Sewell  
Stahl, Martens & Bernal  
Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller must accept a letter of credit as security for Plaintiff's participation in the cigarette tax trust fund.

Status: Discovery in progress. Settlement discussions in progress.

---

***Mineola ISD v. Comptroller of Public Accounts*** Cause #GV102070  
AG Case #011474616

Property Tax;  
Administrative Appeal  
Filed: 07/27/01  
Period: 2000  
Amount: \$

Asst. AAG Assigned:  
Plaintiff's Counsel:

Nicole Galwardi  
Ray Bonilla  
Ray, Wood, Fine &  
Bonilla  
Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly valuing commercial personal properties.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***Mineral Wells ISD v. Comptroller*** Cause #GV202461  
AG Case #021652052

Property Tax;  
Administrative Appeal  
Filed: 08/01/02  
Period: 2001  
Amount: \$

Asst. AAG Assigned:  
Plaintiff's Counsel:

Christopher Jackson  
Ray Bonilla  
Ray, Wood & Bonilla  
Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and inspecting sample properties. Whether the Comptroller considered the effect of personal property in sales transactions.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Mirage Real Estate, Inc., et al. v. Richard Durbin, et al.** Cause #92-16485  
AG Case #92-190294

Alcoholic Beverage Gross Receipts Tax; Declaratory Judgment Filed: 12/03/92 Period: Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Blake Hawthorne  Jim Mattox Lowell Lasley Michael D. Mosher
---	---	---

Issue: Whether the TABC and Comptroller were allowed to use inventory depletions analysis to determine amount of gross receipts tax owed. Plaintiffs seek class certification.

Status: Answer filed. Inactive.

---

**Moody ISD v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GV202342  
AG Case #021647912

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal, Injunction & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 07/26/02 Period: 2001 Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade  Kirk Swinney Harvey M. Allen Javier B. Gutierrez McCreary, Veselka, Bragg & Allen Austin
---	---	---

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties. Whether the Comptroller's certification of inaccurate values for some districts violates constitutional guarantees of efficient education and equal protection. Plaintiff also seeks attorney's fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Nacogdoches ISD v. Rylander** Cause #GN202442  
AG Case #021647664

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal Filed: 07/26/02 Period: 2001 Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson  Ray Bonilla Randall B. Wood Ray, Wood & Bonilla Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by misapplying burden of proof and not properly valuing sample properties that involved creative financing.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***New Crew Quarters 2, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.*** Cause #GN002606

AG Case #001352111

Mixed Beverage Gross Receipts Tax; Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Blake Hawthorne
Filed: 09/01/00	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Mark W. Eidman
Period: 09/01/93-02/28/97		Ray Langenberg
Amount: \$216,325.07		Curtis J. Osterloh
		Scott, Douglass & McConnico

Issue: Whether audit incorrectly assessed mixed beverage tax by failing to consider changes in inventory and periods of business closures. Whether 50% fraud penalty was incorrectly assessed where some of the Plaintiff's books and records were destroyed by fire. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Plaintiff has submitted several settlement offers. Collection action to be taken by Comptroller. Plaintiff filed Chapter 7 bankruptcy. Bankruptcy stay in effect.

---

***Northside ISD v. Rylander, et al.*** Cause #GV202341

AG Case #021647920

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal, Injunction & Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Jana Kinkade
Filed: 07/26/02	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Kirk Swinney
Period: 2001		Harvey M. Allen
Amount: \$		Javier B. Gutierrez
		McCreary, Veselka, Bragg & Allen
		Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties. Whether the Comptroller's certification of inaccurate values for some districts violates constitutional guarantees of efficient education and equal protection. Plaintiff also seeks attorney's fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Onalaska ISD v. Comptroller** Cause #GV202464  
AG Case #021652029

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal Filed: 08/01/02 Period: 2001 Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Nicole Galwardi  Ray Bonilla Ray, Wood & Bonilla Austin
---	---	---

Issue: Whether the Comptroller misapplied a local modifier in its valuation techniques of local property.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

**P.W. Jones Oil Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al.** Cause #96-02941  
AG Case #96-485280

Diesel Fuel Tax; Injunction Filed: 03/12/96 Period: 1989-1993 Amount: \$176,959	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Steve Rodriguez  John A. Leonard Russell & Leonard Wichita Falls
---	---	--

Issue: Whether Plaintiff can rebut the presumption that the sale of diesel fuel is taxable. Plaintiff also asks for an injunction to stop collection action.

Status: Inactive.

---

**Petro Express Management, L.L.C. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN204123  
AG Case #021705918

Fuels Tax; Injunction and Declaratory Judgment Filed: 11/14/02 Period: 2002 Amount: \$450,000	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Blake Hawthorne  Percy L. "Wayne" Isgitt C. Zan Turcotte Law Offices of Perry L. "Wayne" Isgitt, P.C. Houston
---	---	---

Issue: Whether the Comptroller's collection actions are arbitrary, contrary to statute, and unconstitutional. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief and a return of seized property.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Presidio ISD v. Comptroller** Cause #GV202465  
AG Case #021652011

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal Filed: 08/01/02 Period: 2001 Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade  Ray Bonilla Ray, Wood & Bonilla Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly valuing commercial personal properties.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Preston Motors by George L. Preston, Owner v. Sharp, et al.** Cause #91-11987  
AG Case #91-133170

Motor Vehicle Tax; Protest Filed: 08/26/91 Period: 12/01/86 - 09/30/89 Amount: \$21,796	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt  George L. Preston Paris
--	---	--

Issue: Whether motor vehicle tax should fall on dealer/seller rather than the purchaser under §152.044. Related constitutional issues.

Status: Inactive.

---

**Ranger ISD v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GV202343  
AG Case #021647938

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal, Injunction & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 07/26/02 Period: 2001 Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson  Kirk Swinney Harvey M. Allen Javier B. Gutierrez McCreary, Veselka, Bragg & Allen Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties. Whether the Comptroller's certification of inaccurate values for some districts violates constitutional guarantees of efficient education and equal protection. Plaintiff also seeks attorney's fees.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

**Rosebud-Lott ISD v. Comptroller** Cause #GV202462  
AG Case #021651997

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal Filed: 08/01/02 Period: 2001 Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson  Ray Bonilla Ray, Wood & Bonilla Austin
---	---	---

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly valuing sample properties.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Shelton, James M., Estate of, Deceased, and Carroll A. Maxon, Independent Co-Executor v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN104094  
AG Case #021542261

Inheritance Tax; Protest & Refund Filed: 12/14/01 Period: Amount: \$1,616,018	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade  James F. Martens Jessica Scott Stahl, Martens & Bernal Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether the IRS and Comptroller failed to give proper credit against the estate value for a pending lawsuit and administrative expenses.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Southside ISD v. Comptroller of Public Accounts** Cause #GV202350  
AG Case #021651906

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal Filed: 07/26/02 Period: 2001 Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade  R. Lawrence Macon Donna K. Schneider Akin, Gump, Strauss, Hauer & Feld San Antonio
---	---	--

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties. Whether the Comptroller failed to consider local modifiers, sales and market information. Whether utility property appraisal includes intangible value. Whether Southside ISD should be treated like McLennan County districts.

Status: Answer filed.

---

**Troy ISD v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GV202345  
AG Case #021648480

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal, Injunction & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 07/26/02 Period: 2001 Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Nicole Galwardi  Kirk Swinney Harvey M. Allen Javier B. Gutierrez McCreary, Veselka, Bragg & Allen Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties. Whether the Comptroller's certification of inaccurate values for some districts violates constitutional guarantees of efficient education and equal protection. Plaintiff also seeks attorney's fees.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

**Uvalde ISD v. Comptroller of Public Accounts** Cause #GV102072  
AG Case #011474582

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal Filed: 07/27/01 Period: 2000 Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade  Ray Bonilla Ray, Wood, Fine & Bonilla Austin
---	---	---

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties that involved creative financing.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

**Valentine ISD v. Comptroller** Cause #GV001763  
AG Case #001339860

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal Filed: 07/28/00 Period: 1999 Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Nicole Galwardi  James R. Evans, Jr. Linebarger Heard Goggan Blair Graham Pena & Sampson Austin
---	---	---

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties. Whether the Comptroller failed to consider local modifiers, sales, and market information.

Status: Discovery in progress. Settlement negotiations in progress.

---

**West Orange-Cove CISD, Coppell ISD, La Porte ISD, Port Neches-Groves ISD  
v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GV-100528  
AG Case #011433026

Property Tax; Declaratory  
Judgment  
Filed: 04/09/01  
Period:  
Amount: \$

Asst. AAG Assigned:  
Plaintiff's Counsel:

Nicole Galwardi  
George W. Bramblett, Jr.  
Carrie L. Huff  
Haynes and Boone  
Dallas  
W. Wade Porter  
Haynes and Boone  
Austin

Issue: Whether the \$1.50 cap on the school districts' maintenance and operations taxes creates an unconstitutional state property tax. Plaintiffs also seek attorneys' fees.

Status: Plea to the jurisdiction set 06/28/01. Plea granted. Case dismissed. Court of Appeals affirmed dismissal.

---

## Closed Cases

***American Standard, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.*** Cause #92-14483

AG Case #92-165918

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 10/13/92 Period: 01/01/90- 12/31/90 Amount: \$17,486	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade  Judy M. Cunningham Attorney at Law Austin
---	---	---

Issue: Whether conveyor belts are exempt machinery and equipment; unequal taxation; long-standing policy.

Status: Agreed judgment - case settled.

---

***American Telephone & Telegraph Co. v. Sharp, et al.*** Cause #98-06401

AG Case #98-980491

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 06/15/98 Period: 01/01/84- 12/31/89 Amount: \$8,024,506	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Scott Simmons  Jasper G. Taylor, III Fulbright & Jaworski Houston
---	---	---

Issue: Whether the Comptroller's Office met its burden of proof with respect to the items assessed tax in Exams 9, 10, 12, 13, and 17. Whether Plaintiff's private line services are taxable telecommunications services and, if so, whether they were not subject to tax before 04/01/88.

Status: Trial setting passed. Settlement agreement signed. Payment schedule expected to be completed and Agreed Judgment signed in 07/02.

---

***BHC Co. v. Sharp, et al.*** Cause #95-13037

AG Case #95-386479

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 10/13/95 Period: 05/01/90- 04/30/94 Amount: \$114,532	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Blake Hawthorne  Richard Flint Pearson & Price Corpus Christi
---	---	---

Issue: Plaintiff contends that it is providing a single, integrated service, the management and operation of a manufacturing facility, which service is not taxable. Plaintiff contests the Comptroller's assessment of tax on maintenance charges, which Plaintiff considers to be one component of an "integrated non-taxable service."

Status: Dismissed for Want of Prosecution 05/21/02.

---

**Beef Products, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #99-01193  
AG Case #99-1112061

Franchise Tax; Protest Filed: 02/01/99 Period: 1992 and 1993 Amount: \$331,040.60	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christine Monzingo  Tom Tourtellotte Hance Scarborough Wright Ginsberg & Brusilow Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether the Comptroller properly applied the throw-back rule to apportion gross receipts under the pre-amended statute. Whether the throw-back rule violates the commerce clause. Whether the rule as applied is unconstitutionally retroactive and violates due process.

Status: Agreed Judgment to be entered per *Comptroller v. Fisher Controls International, Inc.*

---

**B.I. Moyle Associates, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #99-00907  
AG Case #99-1108499

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 01/26/99 Period: 04/01/91- 03/31/95 Amount: \$51,711.94	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson  G. Stewart Whitehead Winstead, Sechrest & Minick Austin
---	---	---

Issue: Whether taxpayer has substantial nexus with Texas to support imposition of sales and use taxes on its software licensed to Texas residents.

Status: Cross-motion for summary judgment filed. Settled. Dismissed with Prejudice 04/11/02.

---

**Buffalo ISD v. Comptroller** Cause #GV001433  
AG Case #001376227

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal, Injunction & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 06/23/00 Period: 1999 Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Nicole Galwardi  Roy L. Armstrong Robert L. Meyers McCreary, Veselka, Bragg & Allen Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties. Whether the Comptroller's certification of inaccurate values for some districts violates constitutional guarantees of efficient education and equal protection. Plaintiff also seeks attorney's fees.

Status: Plaintiff filed non-suit 07/30/02.

---

**Centerville ISD v. Comptroller** Cause #GV001431  
AG Case #001376243

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal & Injunction Filed: 06/23/00 Period: 1999 Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Nicole Galwardi  Roy L. Armstrong Robert L. Meyers McCreary, Veselka, Bragg & Allen Austin/Waco
---	---	---

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and inspecting sample properties.

Status: Agreed Judgment signed 07/02/02.

---

**Choi, Sung Ju d/b/a Sam Young Trading Co. v. Sharp** Cause #95-14940  
AG Case #95-424767

Sales Tax; Injunction Filed: 11/30/95 Period: 01/01/88- 12/31/91 Amount: \$54,068	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Steve Rodriguez  Kenneth Thomas Attorney at Law Dallas
---	---	--

Issue: Whether certain resale certificates should have been accepted by the Comptroller during the audit. Whether an injunction to suspend all collection activity should be granted.

Status: Dismissed for Want of Prosecution 05/08/02.

---

***Chevron Chemical Co. v. Rylander, et al.*** Cause #GN100963

AG Case #011431293

Franchise Tax; Refund Filed: 03/30/01 Period: 1987-1993 Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christine Monzingo  Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether inclusion of unfunded post-retirement benefits (OPEBs) in franchise tax surplus violates ERISA. Whether Comptroller violated equal protection by allowing some to deduct OPEBs. Whether OPEBs are debt and whether their treatment in Section 171.109 is discriminatory.

Status: Agreed take-nothing judgment 12/18/01.

---

***Chevron USA, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.*** Cause #96-06931

AG Case #96-538704

Natural Gas Production Tax; Refund Filed: 06/13/96 Period: 08/18/90 Amount: \$157,463	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Steve Rodriguez  Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
---	---	---

Issue: Whether tax should have been assessed on Order 94 payments.

Status: Dismissed 05/23/02 for Want of Prosecution.

---

**Copperas Cove ISD v. Rylander** Cause #GN202441  
AG Case #021647631

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal Filed: 07/26/02 Period: 2001 Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson  Ray Bonilla Randall B. Wood Ray, Wood & Bonilla Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by misapplying burden of proof and not properly valuing sample properties that involved creative financing.

Status: Agreed final judgment signed 11/07/02.

---

**D&D Recycling, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN002278  
AG Case #001339886

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment Filed: 08/09/00 Period: 1993-1996 Amount: \$38,141.72	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Scott Simmons  Curtis J. Osterloh Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
---	---	---

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's sort line (conveyor belt) is exempt manufacturing equipment. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Settlement agreement finalized. Payment schedule completed. Agreed Judgment signed 08/27/02.

---

**Dana Corp. v. Sharp, et al.** Cause #96-03598  
AG Case #96-494234

Franchise Tax; Protest Filed: 3/28/96 Period: 1988-1991 Amount: \$804,971	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt  David E. Cowling Sheryl S. Scovell Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue Dallas
--	---	--

Issue: Whether certain reserve accounts, including post-retirement benefits, are debt for franchise tax purposes. Whether Tax Code §171.109 (j)(1) is preempted by ERISA.

Status: Non-suited 05/08/02.

---

***Dekalb ISD v. Comptroller*** Cause #GV102002  
AG Case #011479961

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal & Injunction Filed: 07/25/01 Period: 2001 Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade  Kirk Swinney Harvey M. Allen McCreary, Veselka, Bragg & Allen Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and inspecting sample properties.

Status: Agreed Judgment signed 04/23/02.

---

***Denmon's H2 Safety Services, Inc. v. Sharp*** Cause #98-10165  
AG Case #98-1047269

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 09/09/98 Period: 07/01/92- 01/31/96 Amount: \$67,366	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt  Judy M. Cunningham Attorney at Law Austin
---	---	---

Issue: Whether tax is due on a charge for training employees and providing safety supervisors in hydrogen sulfide safety at well sites, where Plaintiff also rented equipment.

Status: Agreed Judgment granted 11/26/01.

---

**Dow Chemical Co. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #99-05725  
#03-00-354-CV; #01-0203  
AG Case #99-1168444

Independently Procured  
Insurance Tax; Protest  
Filed: 05/17/99  
Period: 1991-1997  
Amount: \$427,148.80

Asst. AAG Assigned:  
  
Plaintiff's Counsel:

Gene Storie  
  
Mark W. Eidman  
Ray Langenberg  
Scott, Douglass &  
McConnico  
Austin

Issue: Whether statute levying tax on independently procured insurance is unconstitutional under the *Todd Shipyards* case.

Status: Plaintiff's summary judgment motion filed. State's motion for summary judgment granted 04/06/00. Plaintiff filed notice of appeal. Dow's brief filed. Comptroller's brief filed. Argued 11/15/00. Reversed and rendered 01/25/01. Comptroller's petition filed 03/12/01. Response to petition filed 05/16/01. Comptroller's reply filed 05/31/01. Petition denied 06/07/01. Comptroller's petition for writ of certiorari filed 09/05/01. Cert. denied 10/29/01.

---

**Dow Chemical Co., The v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN002457  
AG Case #001348606

Independently Procured  
Insurance Tax; Protest  
Filed: 08/22/00  
Period: 1998 & 1999  
Amount: \$61,711.06

Asst. AAG Assigned:  
  
Plaintiff's Counsel:

Gene Storie  
  
Mark W. Eidman  
Ray Langenberg  
Scott, Douglass &  
McConnico  
Austin

Issue: Whether statute levying tax on independently procured insurance is unconstitutional under the *Todd Shipyards* case.

Status: Parties will file Agreed Judgment for plaintiff.

---

**Federal Home Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #99-06142  
AG Case #99-1173279

Retaliatory Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 05/26/99 Period: 1998 Amount: \$9,328.01	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Steve Rodriguez  Ron K. Eudy Sneed, Vine & Perry Austin
---	---	---

Issue: Whether retaliatory insurance tax was improperly assessed because there is no similar Texas insurance company licensed and actually doing business in plaintiff's home state which paid more aggregate taxes than plaintiff. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Agreed Order of Dismissal signed 06/13/02.

---

**First Colony Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #99-06143  
AG Case #99-1173287

Retaliatory Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 05/26/99 Period: 1998 Amount: \$192,371.48	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Steve Rodriguez  Ron K. Eudy Sneed, Vine & Perry Austin
---	---	---

Issue: Whether retaliatory insurance tax was improperly assessed because there is no similar Texas insurance company licensed and actually doing business in plaintiff's home state which paid more aggregate taxes than plaintiff. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Case dismissed.

---

**GATX Terminals Corp. v. Sharp, et al.** Cause #96-10815  
03-01-00537-CV  
AG Case #96-595679

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 09/06/96 Period: Amount: \$698,491	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt  Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Various real property issues, including: whether repainting operations were repair and remodeling or periodic maintenance; whether the statute of limitations ran on a refund claim, where the statute had run on the vendor; whether work on a metering system was remodeling or new construction; whether Plaintiff is entitled to a refund of city taxes paid to Houston.

Status: Trial rescheduled for 05/15/01. Court ordered judgment for defendants 05/29/01. Notice of appeal filed 09/07/01. Appellants' brief due 12/31/01. Appellees' brief filed 01/25/02. Appellants' response filed 01/25/02. Oral argument held 02/27/02. Appellants' post-submission brief filed 03/12/02. Appellees' post-submission brief filed 03/14/02. Judgment for Comptroller affirmed 04/18/02. Comptroller's Motion for Rehearing granted 05/23/02. Substituted opinion issued 05/23/02.

---

**GATX Terminals Corp. v. Sharp, et al.** Cause #98-13414  
AG Case #98-1085483

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 12/02/98 Period: 09/01/92- 06/30/96 Amount: \$125,330.40	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt  Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether certain activities are taxable real property repair and remodeling or non-taxable maintenance and, alternatively, whether penalty and interest should be waived.

Status: Consolidated with *GATX Terminals Corp. v. Sharp, et al.*, Cause No. 96-10815.

---

**GE Life and Annuity Assurance Co., f/k/a Life Insurance Co. of Virginia v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #99-06145  
AG Case #99-1173097

Retaliatory Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 05/26/99 Period: 1998 Amount: \$59,574.64	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Steve Rodriguez  Ron K. Eudy Sneed, Vine & Perry Austin
--	---	---

Issue: Whether retaliatory insurance tax was improperly assessed because there is no similar Texas insurance company licensed and actually doing business in plaintiff's home state which paid more aggregate taxes than plaintiff. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Agreed Order of Dismissal signed 06/13/02.

---

**Galleria Limited v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN002277  
AG Case #001339944

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 08/09/00 Period: 1993-1994 Amount: \$349,084.33	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson  Gerard A. Desrochers Houston
---	---	--

Issue: Whether correction of original construction defects is new construction or real property repair and remodeling. Whether Comptroller Rule 3.357 conflicts with legislative intent. Whether the Comptroller's application of the statute and rule violate due process and equal protection. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Case dismissed for want of prosecution 08/29/02.

---

**General Electric Capital Assurance Co. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #99-06144  
AG Case #99-1173295

Retaliatory Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 05/26/99 Period: 1998 Amount: \$46,658.03	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Steve Rodriguez  Ron K. Eudy Sneed, Vine & Perry Austin
--	---	---

Issue: Whether retaliatory insurance tax was improperly assessed because there is no similar Texas insurance company licensed and actually doing business in plaintiff's home state which paid more aggregate taxes than plaintiff. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Agreed Order of Dismissal signed 06/13/02.

---

**Great Northern Insured Annuity Corp. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #99-06146  
AG Case #99-1173089

Retaliatory Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 05/26/99 Period: 1998 Amount: \$8,459.31	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Steve Rodriguez  Ron K. Eudy Sneed, Vine & Perry Austin
---	---	---

Issue: Whether retaliatory insurance tax was improperly assessed because there is no similar Texas insurance company licensed and actually doing business in plaintiff's home state which paid more aggregate taxes than plaintiff. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Agreed Order of Dismissal signed 06/13/02.

---

**Harvest Life Insurance Co., The v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #99-06147  
AG Case #99-1173063

Retaliatory Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 05/26/99 Period: 1998 Amount: \$26,640.79	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Steve Rodriguez  Ron K. Eudy Sneed, Vine & Perry Austin
--	---	---

Issue: Whether retaliatory insurance tax was improperly assessed because there is no similar Texas insurance company licensed and actually doing business in plaintiff's home state which paid more aggregate taxes than plaintiff. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Agreed Order of Dismissal signed 06/13/02.

---

**Heritage Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #99-06148  
AG Case #99-1172958

Retaliatory Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 05/26/99 Period: 1998 Amount: \$10,987.86	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Steve Rodriguez  Ron K. Eudy Sneed, Vine & Perry Austin
--	---	---

Issue: Whether retaliatory insurance tax was improperly assessed because there is no similar Texas insurance company licensed and actually doing business in plaintiff's home state which paid more aggregate taxes than plaintiff. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Agreed Order of Dismissal signed 06/13/02.

---

**Heritage Numismatic Auctions, Inc. and Heritage Capital Corp. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #99-06186  
AG Case #99-1175282

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 05/27/99	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Scott Simmons
Period: 1993-1995 10/92-03/96	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Brett B. Flagg Brett B. Flagg & Associates Dallas
Amount: \$41,549.31 \$80,179.86		

Issue: Whether inter-company transactions were taxable sale. Whether some audit items were not taxable data processing services. Whether data processing services were exempt inter-company transactions.

Status: Agreed Judgment signed 11/27/02.

---

**Holzem, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.** Cause #96-01041  
AG Case #96-457827

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment Filed: 01/26/96	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Jim Cloudt
Period: 07/01/88- 03/31/92	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Leland C. De La Garza De La Garza & Clark Dallas
Amount: \$229,930		

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's activities during the audit period constituted new construction or taxable repair and remodeling. Whether Plaintiff must pre-pay the tax.

Status: Dismissed for Want of Prosecution 05/09/02.

---

**House of Lloyd, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.** Cause #95-06985  
AG Case #95-300365

Franchise Tax; Refund  
Filed: 06/05/95  
Period: 1989-1991  
Amount: \$19,825

Asst. AAG Assigned:  
Plaintiff's Counsel:

Christine Monzingo

Fred O. Marcus  
Horwood, Marcus &  
Braun  
Chicago

David E. Cowling  
Jones, Day, Reavis &  
Pogue  
Dallas

Issue: Whether the Texas franchise tax is a tax imposed on or measured by net income for purposes of Public Law 86-272; if so, Plaintiff contends that it is not subject to the Texas franchise tax. Whether Plaintiff is doing business in Texas. Whether post-retirement benefits should be included in taxable surplus.

Status: Agreed Judgment granted 05/29/02.

---

***House of Lloyd, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.*** Cause #95-06986  
AG Case #95-300338

Franchise Tax; Refund  
Filed: 06/05/95  
Period: 1992  
Amount: \$106,136

Asst. AAG Assigned:  
Plaintiff's Counsel:

Christine Monzingo

Fred O. Marcus  
Horwood, Marcus &  
Braun  
Chicago

David E. Cowling  
Jones, Day, Reavis &  
Pogue  
Austin

Issue: Whether the Texas franchise tax is a tax imposed on or measured by net income for purposes of Public Law 86-272; if so, Plaintiff contends that it is not subject to the Texas franchise tax. Whether Plaintiff is doing business in Texas. Whether post-retirement benefits should be included in taxable surplus.

Status: Agreed Judgment granted 05/23/02.

---

**Jett Racing and Sales, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.** Cause #96-04721  
AG Case #96-511242

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Jim Cloudt
Filed: 04/25/96	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Judy M. Cunningham
Period: 05/01/88- 02/29/92		James D. Blume
Amount: \$105,491		Dallas

Issue: Whether the purchase of an airplane was exempt as a sale for resale.

Status: Dismissed for Want of Prosecution.

---

**L. D. Brinkman & Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al.** Cause #95-06286  
AG Case #95-289583

Sales Tax; Protest	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Steve Rodriguez
Filed: 05/18/95	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Charles L. Perry
Period: 07/01/90- 02/28/94		Arter & Hadden
Amount: \$226,413		Dallas

Issue: Plaintiff contends that inventory samples should not have been taxed because they were ultimately sold and tax was collected. Also, whether cardboard rolls and plastic wrapping are exempt under the manufacturing exemption.

Status: Dismissed for Want of Prosecution 05/20/02.

---

**Liberty National Life Insurance Co. v. Martha Whitehead, et al.** Cause #93-08432  
AG Case #93-311009

Retaliatory Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Steve Rodriguez
Filed: 07/15/93	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Ron Eudy
Period: 1990-1992		Sneed, Vine & Perry
Amount: \$54,511		Austin

Issue: Whether art. 21.46 retaliatory tax has been properly applied to Plaintiff's tax rates in Texas and Alabama, and whether the tax violates equal taxation and equal protection. (Also Plaintiff seeks recovery under the Declaratory Judgments Act and 42 U.S.C. §1983 including attorneys' fees.)

Status: Agreed Judgment signed 06/13/02.

---

**Marathon Oil Co. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN000328  
AG Case #001261395

Gas/Oil Production Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 01/10/00 Period: 1994-1997 Amount: \$1,363,482.60	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt  Hal K. Dickenson Marathon Oil Co. Houston
---	---	---

Issue: Whether the market value of oil for the production tax must be reduced by Plaintiff's marketing and processing costs. Whether taxing oil and gas production differently violates equal protection and uniform taxation. Whether the Comptroller's policy on allowable deductions is arbitrary and denies due process. Whether the Comptroller's policy is invalid because it was not adopted as a rule.

Status: Dismissed for want of prosecution 08/19/02.

---

**Melek Corp. v. Rylander** Cause #GN002146  
AG Case #001339936

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment Filed: 07/28/00 Period: 1998 Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson  Mitzi T. Shannon Kemp Smith, P.C. El Paso
---	---	--

Issue: Plaintiff contests the suspension of its Texas Customs Broker License and disagrees with the Comptroller's policy on goods being exported.

Status: Case dismissed for want of prosecution.

---

**New Boston ISD v. Comptroller** Cause #GV102003  
AG Case #011479953

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal & Injunction Filed: 07/25/01 Period: 2001 Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade  Kirk Swinney Harvey M. Allen McCreary, Veselka, Bragg & Allen Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and inspecting sample properties.

Status: Motion for Summary Judgment hearing held 07/31/02. Defendant's Plea to the Jurisdiction granted. Judgment final.

---

**Oakwood ISD v. Comptroller** Cause #GV001432  
AG Case #001376201

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal & Injunction Filed: 06/23/00 Period: 1999 Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Nicole Galwardi  Roy L. Armstrong Robert L. Meyers McCreary, Veselka, Bragg & Allen
---	---	--

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and inspecting sample properties.

Status: Agreed Judgment signed 07/29/02.

---

**Painter, Lisa G. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN101804  
AG Case #011459179

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment Filed: 06/12/01 Period: 02/01/96- 03/31/98 Amount: \$21,074.28	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade  Mark Eidman Ray Langenberg Curtis Osterloh Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether the Comptroller improperly applied sales tax to sales made out-of-state. Plaintiff also seeks attorney's fees.

Status: Agreed judgment.

---

**Paragon Communications v. Sharp, et al.** Cause #97-10995  
AG Case #97-825189

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 09/25/97 Period: 02/01/87- 08/31/90 Amount: \$393,497	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt  Curtis J. Osterloh Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether municipal franchise fees paid by Plaintiff and passed on to its customers should be included in taxable cable services. Whether certain services, labor to lay new lines, purchased by Plaintiff were taxable repair and remodeling or were exempt new construction.

Status: Dismissed 07/03/02.

---

**Peter Piper, Inc. and L & H Pacific, L.L.C. v. Sharp, et al.** Cause #96-11750  
AG Case #96-613454

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 09/27/96 Period: 08/01/89- 06/30/92 Amount: \$155,404	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Steve Rodriguez  Richard L. Rothfelder Craig Estlinbaum Kirkendall, Isgur & Rothfelder Houston
---	---	--

Issue: Whether prizes obtained by collecting tickets from amusement machines in a restaurant are "purchased" by the customer as part of the price of the food.

Status: Dismissed 06/05/02 for want of prosecution.

---

**Principal Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #99-06141  
AG Case #99-1173105

Retaliatory Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 05/26/99 Period: 1998 Amount: \$256,577.79	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Steve Rodriguez  Ron K. Eudy Sneed, Vine & Perry Austin
--	---	---

Issue: Whether retaliatory insurance tax was improperly assessed because there is no similar Texas insurance company licensed and actually doing business in plaintiff's home state which paid more aggregate taxes than plaintiff. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Agreed Order of Dismissal signed 06/13/02.

---

**Prodigy Services Co. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #99-02693  
AG Case #99-1130410

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 03/05/99 Period: 01/01/93- 06/30/96 Amount: \$206,971.88	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson  Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr. Stahl, Martens & Bernal Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether use tax is owed on catalogs mailed from out-of-state. Whether imposition of use tax violates the commerce clause, equal protection and equal taxation. Whether taxpayer may recover attorneys' fees under the Uniform Declaratory Judgments Act.

Status: Agreed Judgment signed 07/31/02.

---

**Richland Development Corp. v. Comptroller, et al.** Cause #96-09117  
AG Case #96-573461

Franchise Tax; Protest and Declaratory Judgment Filed: 08/01/96 Period: 1989-1991 Amount: \$1,031,003	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christine Monzingo  Gerard A. Desrochers Houston
--	---	---

Issue: Whether reimbursements to a subsidiary for services procured by the sub for the parent from third parties should be included in gross receipts. Whether post-retirement benefits should be deducted from surplus.

Status: Dismissed for Want of Prosecution 03/21/02.

---

**Sam Houston Race Park, Ltd. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN001096  
AG Case #001294263

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 04/13/00 Period: 10/01/93- 04/30/95 Amount: \$43,025.00	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Blake Hawthorne  L.G. Skip Smith David H. Gilliland Clark, Thomas & Winters Austin
--	---	---

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchase of "totalizator" services, which provide betting information to accompany live pari-mutuel and simulcasts of pari-mutuel races, is not taxable as a data processing service. Whether totalizator services, if they are taxable, are exempt for resale as an integral part of Plaintiff's taxable amusement service.

Status: Non-suited 08/22/02.

---

**Schlumberger Technology Corp. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN002484  
AG Case #001348614

Franchise Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 08/23/00 Period: 1991 Amount: \$35,537	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christine Monzingo  Gerard A. Desrochers Houston
--	---	---

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's wage reserve accounts are debt for purposes of the franchise tax. Whether §171.109 is unconstitutional on its face and as applied on grounds of equal protection, equal taxation and due process. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Dismissed for Want of Prosecution 03/21/02.

---

**Schmitz Industries, Inc. v. Sharp** Cause #95-15485  
AG Case #96-436841

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 12/15/95 Period: 04/01/89- 12/31/92 Amount: \$4,418	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Steve Rodriguez  Charles E. Klein Attorney at Law Dallas
---	---	--

Issue: Plaintiff alleges that the audit assessment is wrong because some of the transactions in the sample period are not representative of Plaintiff's business, and some transactions include tax exempt molds, dies and patterns with a useful life of six months or less.

Status: Dismissed for Want of Prosecution 05/08/02.

---

**Southwest Pay Telephone Corp., Successor in Interest to Southwest Pay Telephone Systems, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.** Cause #97-00684  
AG Case #97-662434

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 01/17/97 Period: 03/01/91- 12/31/94 Amount: \$117,600	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Blake Hawthorne  Mary S. Dietz Fulbright & Jaworski Houston
--	---	---

Issue: Whether Plaintiff transferred "care, custody, and control" of telephone equipment to the customers of its public telephone service such that it could buy the equipment tax-free per Rule 3.344 (e).

Status: Dismissed for want of prosecution 06/24/02.

---

**Spaw-Glass, Inc. and Spaw Glass Construction Co. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #99-06716  
AG Case #99-1177965

Sales Tax; Protest & Refund Filed: 06/11/99 Period: 04/01/93- 03/31/96 10/01/93-06/30/96 Amount: \$134,067.87 \$34,469.19	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Scott Simmons  Jasper G. Taylor, III C. Rhett Shaver Fulbright & Jaworski Houston
--	---	--

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is not subject to sales tax because it was a lump sum contractor on the transactions at issue. Whether penalty and interest should be waived.

Status: Settlement agreement signed. Payment schedule completed. Agreed Judgment signed 03/28/02.

---

***Texas Workers' Compensation Insurance Facility v. Comptroller*** Cause #96-07940  
AG Case #96-555551

Maintenance Tax; Declaratory Judgment Filed: 07/09/96 Period: 1992-1995 Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Gene Storie  Frank Stenger-Castro Fred Lewis Texas Workers' Compensation Insurance Facility Austin
--	---	---

Issue: Plaintiff seeks a ruling that Rule 3.804(d) concerning a maintenance tax surcharge is invalid.

Status: Inactive. Dismissed.

---

***Texas Workers' Compensation Insurance Facility v. Comptroller, et al.*** Cause #97-03602  
AG Case #97-700580

Maintenance Tax; Refund Filed: 03/25/97 Period: 1992-1995 Amount: \$23,623,585	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Gene Storie  Larry Parks Long, Burner, Parks & Sealey Austin
---	---	---

Issue: Whether the Facility may recover from the State the maintenance tax surcharge which it reimbursed to insurers.

Status: Plaintiff's amended motion for summary judgment filed. Hearing on cross motions held 03/07/01. Summary Judgment granted for defendants 05/25/01. Plaintiff filed notice of appeal. Record filed. Facility's brief filed 08/24/01. Argued 11/14/01. Affirmed for Appellee 01/10/02.

---

**U.S. On-Line Cable v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #99-09021  
AG Case #99-1198896

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 08/05/99 Period: 10/01/94- 07/31/98 Amount: \$115,958.69	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Scott Simmons  James F. Martens Stahl, Martens & Bernal Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a sale for resale exemption on cable equipment it purchases from out-of-state vendors and users to provide cable service to apartment dwellers.

Status: Agreed Judgment granted 08/14/01.

---

**Union Fidelity Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #99-06149  
AG Case #99-1173006

Retaliatory Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 05/26/99 Period: 1998 Amount: \$147,554.42	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Steve Rodriguez  Ron K. Eudy Sneed, Vine & Perry Austin
---	---	---

Issue: Whether retaliatory insurance tax was improperly assessed because there is no similar Texas insurance company licensed and actually doing business in plaintiff's home state which paid more aggregate taxes than plaintiff. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Agreed Order of Dismissal signed 06/13/02.

---

**Union Pacific Resources Co. v. Sharp, et al.** Cause #95-02334  
AG Case #95-234473

Franchise Tax; Refund Filed: 02/24/95 Period: 1988-1991 Amount: \$1,432,851	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Steve Rodriguez  Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--	---	---

Issue: Whether various liabilities should be deducted from surplus as debt, including post-retirement benefits, long-term lease obligations, long-term contractual commitments, and liabilities from ongoing litigation. Also, whether the Tax Code is preempted by ERISA.

Status: Agreed Judgment granted 05/03/02.

---

**Union Standard Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al.** Cause #GN003565  
AG Case #011395308

Insurance Premium Tax; Protest Filed: 12/13/00 Period: 01/01/93- 12/31/96 Amount: \$216,572.39	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Blake Hawthorne  Jim Shawn Ron K. Eudy Sneed, Vine & Perry Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether “cash fund investments” are Texas investments under the property and casualty insurance premium tax in effect during the audit period. Whether the property and casualty insurance premium tax should be interpreted like the life insurance premium tax. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to detrimental reliance relief because its qualified investment was not challenged by the Department of Insurance. Alternatively, whether Plaintiff should recover interest because of delay by the Comptroller in reaching a decision.

Status: Agreed Judgment granted 04/15/02.

---

**United Services Automobile Association v. Sharp, et al.** Cause #97-02927  
AG Case #97-694793

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 03/10/97 Period: 02/01/91- 07/31/94 Amount: \$656,667	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt  Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether certain professional and leak detection services are taxable. Whether tax is due on material printed out-of-state and mailed directly to Texas customers.

Status: Agreed Judgment signed 06/27/02.

---

**Universal Frozen Foods Co., its Successors-in-Interest, Conagra, Inc. and Lamb Weston, Inc., and Universal Foods Corp. v. Sharp, et al.** Cause #98-01956  
03-01-00646-CV  
AG Case #98-901683

Franchise Tax; Protest Filed: 02/23/98 Period: 01/01/98- 07/31/94 Amount: \$613,229	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christine Monzingo  Ira Lipstet Mary E. Haught Jenkins & Gilchrist Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether the "Additional Tax" in §171.0011 is illegal income tax because franchise tax can be imposed only on the privilege of doing business in Texas. Whether the Additional Tax violates other constitutional provisions. Whether a gain on the sale of one Plaintiff's stock from its parent to another company was improperly included in taxable earned surplus for the purpose of calculating the Additional Tax. Whether Rule 3.557(e)(10) is beyond the scope of §171.110 and therefore exceeds the Comptroller's authority. Whether Rule 3.557 is unconstitutional.

Status: Defendants' motion for summary judgment granted and Plaintiffs' denied on 10/16/01. Judgment for Defendants/Appellees affirmed by Third Court of Appeals on 05/16/02.

---

**Waller Hotel Group, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.** Cause #98-03990  
AG Case #98-939849

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 04/16/98 Period: 03/01/91- 08/31/94 Amount: \$51,614	Asst. AAG Assigned:  Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade  Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr. Stahl, Martens & Bernal Austin  Mark Cohen Attorney at Law Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether purchases of gas and electricity at Plaintiff's hotel were exempt as residential use, based on a utility study conducted by Plaintiff's expert.

Status: Dismissed for Want of Prosecution 08/21/02.

---

***Wheelabrator Corp., The and Swindell Dressler Leasing Co. v. Sharp, et al.***

Cause #98-00942

AG Case #98-891532

Franchise Tax; Protest

Filed: 01/23/98

Period: 1990-1993

Amount: \$38,482

\$473,678

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Jim Cloudt

Mark W. Eidman  
Scott, Douglass &  
McConnico  
Austin

Issue: Whether intercompany payable account obligations should have been excluded from debt for purposes of calculating franchise tax.

Status: Discovery in progress. Deposition of plaintiff taken 01/25/01. Deposition of defendants taken 03/22-23/01. Mediation held 07/08/02. Trial held 07/26/02. Judgment granted for Comptroller.

---

***Xerox Credit Corp. v. Rylander, et al.*** Cause #99-06232

AG Case #99-1172602

Franchise Tax; Protest

Filed: 05/28/99

Period: 1992-2000

Amount: \$2,290,821.39

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Christine Monzingo

James F. Martens  
Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr.  
Stahl, Martens & Bernal  
Austin

Issue: Whether transfers of accounts receivables were sales or pledges for federal income and franchise tax apportionment purposes. Whether non-Texas capital gains were improperly offset by capital losses inconsistently with apportionment provisions of the franchise tax. Whether taxpayer had constitutional nexus with Texas. Whether taxpayer was denied equal protection. Whether interest and penalty should be waived. Taxpayer also seeks declaratory judgment and attorneys' fees.

Status: Settled. Dismissed with Prejudice 04/04/02.

---



# Index

- Additional tax
  - Rule 3.557, 130
- Administrative hearing, 93
- Aircraft
  - maintenance, repair & remodeling, 31, 65
  - purchase by common carrier pipeline, 30
  - repair & replacement parts, 67
  - sale for resale, 120
- Amusement tax
  - coin operated machines and non-coin operated games, 33, 34
  - Fitness & aerobic training services, 58
- Banks
  - conversion from state to national banks, 2
- Business loss carryforward
  - merger, 8, 9, 10
  - officer and director compensation, 1
  - trial of companion case, 11
- Cable services
  - municipal franchise fees, 123
- Catalogs
  - nexus, 59
  - nexus, taxable use, 36, 59, 60
  - use tax--printed out of state, 50, 58
- Cigarette Tax Trust Fund
  - security, 98
- Class Action
  - refund suit against vendor, 23
  - suit for tax refund against retailers, 37
- Coin operated machines and non-coin operated games
  - amusement tax v. sales tax, 33, 34
- Commercial Personal Property
  - valuation methods, 98
- Construction contract
  - lump sum or separated contract, 19, 27, 127
- Conveyor belts
  - manufacturing exemption, 107
- Country Club fees
  - sales tax, 42
- County Court Fees
  - punishment, 88
- Credit for Overpaid Tax
  - inventory or bankruptcy, 71
- Customs Broker License
  - enforcement of sanction, 48
  - export of goods, 25, 28, 44, 47, 48, 121
- Data processing, 47
  - intercompany transactions, 118
  - sale for resale, 125
- Debt
  - deduction from surplus, 129
  - intercompany transactions, 4, 131
  - post-retirement benefits, 112, 119, 125, 129
  - wage reserve accounts, 125
- Debt collection services, 47
- Detrimental reliance, 20
- Direct Sales
  - Definition and application, 62
  - nexus, 16
  - refund of tax collected from independent contractor, 27
  - taxable use, sampling, 38
- Doing Business
  - taxability, 119
- Electricity
  - insurer exemption, 40
  - processing, 24, 61, 63, 64
  - use in hotels, 70
- ERISA
  - post-retirement benefits, 112
- Estate Credits
  - claim value of pending lawsuit, 103
- Estate Values
  - taxable gifts, 86
- Export of goods
  - customs broker license, 25, 28, 44, 47, 121
- Factored Contracts
  - cash-basis accounting, 70
- Financing Lease
  - sample audit, 15
- Food Products
  - convenience store/deli, 57
  - mall vendor, 40
- Franchise fees, municipal
  - cable services, 123
- Fraud Audit, 40
- Games
  - amusement tax v. sales tax, 33, 34
- Gas and electricity purchases
  - residential use, 130
- Gross Premiums
  - internal rollover, 73, 75, 79, 80
  - paid-up additions, 78
  - renewal premiums, 78
  - workers compensation, 127
- Gross receipts
  - apportionment of satellite service receipts, 14
  - intercompany transactions, 1, 131
  - interstate telephone charges, 3, 5
  - inventory depletion, 99
  - nexus, 131

- out-of-state sales, 13
  - reimbursement for services, 125
  - Sale of stock in non-unitary business, 8
  - throwback rule, 108
- Gross Taxable Sales
  - estimated audit, 48
  - Inadequate Records, 15
- Inaccurate Certification
  - sampling method, 85, 87, 89, 92, 95, 98, 99, 100, 103, 104, 109
  - valuation methods, 101, 102, 103
- Independent contractors
  - maid service, 18
- Installment Sales
  - bad debt credit, 97
- Insurance services, 47
  - market value estimate, 82
  - out-of-state lab tests, 41
- Insurer Exemption
  - limitations, 69
- Interest Offset
  - refund to subsidiary, 66
- Internal rollover
  - gross premiums, 73, 77
  - insurance gross premiums tax, 74, 75, 77, 79
- Intraplant transportation
  - manufacturing exemption, 66
- Inventory samples
  - sale for resale, 120
- Janitorial services
  - new construction, 51
- Jeopardy Determination
  - business interference, 101
- Joint venture
  - Sales tax credits, 9, 12
- Lien
  - community liability, 48
  - mistaken identity, 96
  - personal property, 90
- Limitations
  - subsequent refund claim, 62
- Local Sales Tax
  - MTA, 53
- Lump Sum Motor Vehicle Repairs
  - Software Services, 17
- Maid services
  - real property services, 18
- Maintenance
  - aircraft owned by certificated carrier (pipeline), 31, 65
  - utility poles, 25
- Maintenance charges
  - manufacturing facility, 108
- Manufacturing exemption, 53, 54
  - alteration property, 28
  - conveyor belts, 107, 111
  - intraplant transportation, 66
  - packaging, 28, 38, 120
  - pipe, 66
- Manufacturing facility
  - management and operation, 108
- Market Value of Oil
  - processing and marketing costs, 121
- Mixed drinks
  - complimentary, sales tax, 43
- Motor Vehicle Property
  - nexus, 56
- Motor Vehicle Seller
  - bad debt collection, 89
  - liability for tax, 102
- New construction
  - drilling rigs, 64
  - janitorial services, 51
  - lump sum or separated contract, 27
  - original defects, 38, 116
  - real property repair and remodeling, 123
  - tax credits, 43
- Nexus
  - accounts receivable, 54
  - catalogs printed out of state, 36, 59, 124
  - delivering goods, 42
  - delivery and installation of goods, 45
  - licensed software, 108
  - McCarran-Ferguson Act, 113
  - on-line services, 16
  - out-of-state insurer, 92
  - promotional materials, 17, 26, 31, 32
  - regional salesman, 5
  - shipping from out of state, 49
- Occasional sales, 43
- Officer and director compensation
  - add-back to surplus, 6, 10, 11
- Oil well services, 52
- Open Courts
  - prepayment of tax, 54, 118
- Operating lease obligations
  - debt, 3
- Out-of-State Sales
  - sale from mobile location, 123
- Packaging
  - manufacturing exemption, 38, 120
  - sale for resale, 36
  - shipment out-of-state, 32
- Parking lot
  - repairs, 43
- Penalty
  - waiver, 93
- Pipe
  - manufacturing exemption, 66
- Post-retirement benefits
  - debt, 110, 112, 119
  - ERISA, 112

- taxability, 119
- Predominant use
  - electricity, 37
- Premiums
  - home warranty insurance, 83
- Prepayment of tax
  - Open Courts, 54, 118
- Printing
  - out-of-state printer, 129
- Prizes
  - amusement tax v. sales tax, 33, 34
  - cost of taxable, 70, 123
- Producer's Gross Receipts
  - Order 94 payments, 110
- Promotional materials
  - nexus, 17, 26, 31, 32
  - ownership of, 18, 26, 30
- Proof
  - burden in administrative hearing, 37
- Property Appraisal
  - valuation methods, 91
- Public Law 86-272
  - taxability, 119
- Public telephone service
  - transfer of care, custody, and control of equipment, 126
- Push-down accounting, 6
  - depreciation, 11
- Real Property Appraisal
  - burden of proof, 86, 95
- Real Property Repair and Remodeling, 49
  - finish-out work, 69
  - maintenance, new construction, 115
  - new construction, 118, 123
  - new construction, pollution control, 68
  - vs. maintenance, 25
- Real property service
  - landscaping, waste removal, 21, 34, 52
  - maid service, 18
  - taxable price, 34
- Remodeling
  - aircraft owned by certificated carrier (pipeline), 31, 65
  - ships, 60
- Rental of equipment
  - inclusion of related services in taxable price, 112
- Repair
  - parking lot, 43
- Residential Property
  - financing adjustments, 85, 90, 91, 100, 111
  - sampling method, 87, 91, 93, 94, 105, 109, 112, 122
- Retaliatory Basis, 121
  - similar insurance company, 114, 115, 116, 117, 124, 128
- Retroactivity of tax
  - earned surplus, 7, 12
- Rolling Stock
  - cranes and repair parts, 21
- Rule making
  - authority of Comptroller, 47
- Sale for resale
  - airplane, 120
  - blanket resale certificates, 29
  - cable equipment, 65
  - collection of tax, 110
  - data processing, 18
  - detrimental reliance, 24
  - double taxation, 39
  - federal contractor, 20, 29, 35, 41, 45, 46, 51, 55, 56, 57, 66, 67
  - telecommunications equipment, 128
- Sample audits
  - compliance with procedures, 33, 34
  - fraud, 100
- Sampling technique
  - validity, 34, 36, 94, 126
- School Finance
  - maintenance and operations rate, 106
- Service Charges
  - gratuities, 96
- Statute of limitations
  - tax paid to vendors, 115
- Successor liability, 51
  - business interference, 55
  - retroactive application, 19
- Surplus Lines Insurer
  - unauthorized insurance tax, 74, 76, 77, 80
- Taxable Value
  - presumption, 88
- Telecommunication Services
  - determination of tax base, 57
  - networking services, 61
  - private line services, 107
  - satellite broadcasting, 22, 23
- Telecommunications equipment
  - sale for resale, 128
  - transfer of care, custody, and control of equipment, 50
- Temporary Workers
  - computer services, 44
- Texas investments, 75
  - bank balances, 79
  - Bond & Cash Investments, 81
  - cash fund investments, 129
  - debt, 81
  - Limited Partnership Holdings, 81
  - Partnership, 81
- Third Party Administration
  - ERISA, 78
- Throwback rule, 6

P.L. 86-272, 4  
Trailers  
    fixture, 22  
Vacant Property and Rural Acreage  
    sampling method, 105  
Vehicle Storage  
    abandoned vehicle sales, 39  
Waste removal  
    sale for resale, 68  
Write-off  
    investment in subsidiaries, 13