



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

TAXATION DIVISION

**COMPTROLLER OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS
CASE LIST AND SUMMARY OF ISSUES**

JULY 2007

Table of Contents

Table of Cases xiii

Franchise Tax

7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	1
7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	1
7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	2
Anadarko Petroleum Corporation v. Combs, et al.	2
AROC (Texas), Inc. v. Combs, et al.	3
Brink's Home Security, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	3
Central Telephone Company of Texas and United Telephone Company of Texas v. Rylander, et al.	4
Chevron Chemical Company, L.L.C., as Successor to Chevron Chemical Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	5
Chevron USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	5
Chevron USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	6
Colonial Surgical Supply, Inc. and Henry Schein, Inc., as Successor-in-Interest to Colonial Surgical Supply, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	6
DaimlerChrysler Services North American, L.L.C.	7
El Paso Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.	7
El Paso Natural Gas Company v. Combs, et al.	8
El Paso Natural Gas Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	9
Fairfield Industries, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	9
Fairfield Industries, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	10
First Company v. Rylander, et al.	10
Galland Henning Nopak, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	11
Gulf Chemical & Metallurgical Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.	11
Home Interiors & Gifts, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	12
Kellwood Company, The v. Strayhorn, et al.	13
Millennium Inorganic Chemicals, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	13
Owens Corning v. Strayhorn, et al.	14
Saudi Refining, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	14
Southwestern Bell Telephone Company v. Rylander, et al.	15
Texaco Refining & Marketing (East), Inc. v. Combs, et al.	15
TGS-NOPEC Geophysical Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	16
Tyson Foods, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	17
Tyson Fresh Meats, Inc. formerly known as IBP, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	17

Viacom International, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	18
York International Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.	18

Sales Tax

7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	21
7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	21
AccuTel of Texas, L.P. v. Rylander, et al.	22
Alcatel Network Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	22
Alcatel Network Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	23
Alcoa, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	23
Allegiance Telecom of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	24
Alumax Mill Products, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	24
Amerada Hess Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.	25
Anderson Merchandisers Holding, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	25
Aramis Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	26
Aramis Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	26
Ardsey, Inc. dba Noche Caliente Nightclub v. Strayhorn, et al.	27
AT&T Corporation; Teleport Communications of Houston, Inc.; TCG of Dallas, Inc.; AT&T Network Procurement, L.P.; AT&T Communications of Texas, L.P.; and AT&T Communications of the Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	27
Austin Engineering Co., Inc. v. Combs, et al.	28
Awad, Mike v. Strayhorn, et al.	29
Bell Bottom Foundation Company v. Rylander, et al.	29
Bell Helicopter Textron, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	30
Bell Helicopter Textron, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	30
Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	31
Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	31
Boeing North America, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	32
Boeing North America, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	32
Bonart, Richard C., DVM v. Strayhorn, et al.	33
Broadwing Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.	33
Burns, Kevin D. v. Strayhorn, et al.	34
C & T Stone Company v. Rylander, et al.	34
Cashiola, James v. Strayhorn, et al.	35
CEC Entertainment, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	35
Cellular City Ltd. v. Strayhorn, et al.	36
Central Power & Light Company v. Sharp, et al.	36

Centreport Partners, L.P. v. Combs, et al.	37
Chapal Zenray, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	37
Chevron Pipe Line Company and West Texas Gulf Pipe Line Company v. Combs, et al.	38
Chevron USA, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	39
Chevron USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	39
Church & Dwight Company, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	40
Cingular Wireless of Austin, LP, formerly known as GTE Mobilnet of Austin, LP; GTE Mobilnet of South Texas, LP; GTE Mobilnet of Texas RSA #17, LP; et al. v. Strayhorn, et al.	40
City of Webster and the Webster Economic Development Corporation v. Strayhorn	41
Clear Lake City Community Association, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	42
Clinique Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	42
Clinique Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	43
Clinique Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	43
Coca-Cola Company, The v. Strayhorn, et al.	44
Colonial Surgical Supply, Inc. & Henry Schein, Inc., as Successor-in-Interest to Colonial Surgical Supply, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	44
Cosmair, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	45
Crown Central Petroleum Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.	46
Day Cruises Maritime, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	46
Day Cruises Maritime, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	47
Delta Air Lines, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	47
Design Masterpiece Landscaping, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	48
Dupont Photomasks, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	48
Ebrahim, Suleiman S. v. Strayhorn, et al.	49
EFW, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	49
EFW, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	50
El Paso Merchant Energy-Petroleum Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	51
ELC Beauty, L.L.C., as a Successor-in-Interest to Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	51
ELC Beauty, L.L.C., as Successor-in-Interest to Aramis Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	52
ELC Beauty, L.L.C., as Successor-in-Interest to Origins Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	52
Embassy Equity Development Corporation, et al. v. Strayhorn, et al.	53
Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	54
Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	54
Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	55
Ethicon, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	55

ExxonMobil Oil Corporation v. Combs, et al.	56
F M Express Food Mart, Inc., and Fouad Hanna Mekdessi v. Rylander, et al.	56
Garza, Lawrence v. Sharp, et al.	57
General Dynamics Corporation v. Rylander, et al.	57
General Dynamics Corporation v. Rylander, et al.	58
Gift Box Corporation of America, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	58
Graybar Electric Company, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	59
Grocers Supply-Institutional-Convenience, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	59
GSC Enterprises, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	60
GTE Mobilnet of the Southwest, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	60
GTE Mobilnet of the Southwest, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	61
GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	61
GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	62
GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	62
GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	63
GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	63
GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	64
GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	64
Herndon Marine Products, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	65
Home & Garden Party, Ltd. v. Strayhorn, et al.	65
Home Depot, USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	66
Houston Wire & Cable Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	66
I-Ball Corp., dba The Gatsby Social Club v. Combs, et al.	67
J.C. Penney Company, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	67
J.C. Penney Company, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	68
Jerman Cookie Company v. Rylander, et al.	69
Kroger Company, The v. Strayhorn, et al.	69
La Frontera Lodging Partners, L.P., Tex-Air Investment Company, John Q. Hammons Hotels Two, L.P. and John Q. Hammons Hotels, L.P. v. Strayhorn, et al.	70
Laredo Coca-Cola Bottling Company, and Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	70
Laredo Coca-Cola Bottling Company, and Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	71
Laredo Pizza, Inc., and Samuel L. Alford, and L & H Pacific, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	72
Lee Construction and Maintenance Company v. Rylander, et al.	72
Levy, Tara, et al. v. OfficeMax, Inc. and Best Buy Stores, L.P.	73
Liberty Vending Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	73
Local Neon Company, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	74

Lockheed Corporation v. Rylander, et al.	74
Lockheed Martin Corporation v. Rylander, et al.	75
Lockheed Martin Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.	75
Lockheed Martin Corporation, as Successor to Lockheed Martin Vought Systems Corporation and Loral Vought Systems Corporation v. Rylander, et al.	76
Lockheed Martin Corporation, Successor to Lockheed Martin Vought Systems Corporation v. Rylander, et al.	76
Lockheed Martin Kelly Aviation Center, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	77
Lone Star Steel Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	78
Macy's TX I, LP, Successor in Interest to the May Department Stores Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	78
Mars, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	79
Maxus Energy Corporation as Successor in Interest to Maxus Corporate Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	79
Mitchell, Christia Parr v. Rylander, et al.	80
Northrop Grumman Systems Corporation (Successor to Northrop Grumman Corporation and Vought Aircraft Company) v. Rylander, et al.	80
Northwestern Resources Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	81
Office Depot, Inc., Successor to Office Depot Business Services Division (aka Office Depot Business Services, Inc.) and Office Depot of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	81
Office Depot, Inc., Successor to Office Depot Business Services Division (aka Office Depot Business Services, Inc.) and Office Depot of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	82
Olarnpunsagoon, Suchon v. Combs, et al.	83
Reynolds Metals Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	83
Roadway Express, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	84
Roark Amusement & Vending, L.P. v. Strayhorn, et al.	84
Roark Amusement & Vending, L.P. v. Strayhorn, et al.	85
Robbins & Myers, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	86
Rockwell Collins, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	86
Rollins & Rollins Enterprises, Inc. , dba Country Kwik Stop v. Rylander, et al.	87
Sabine Mining Company, The v. Combs, et al.	87
San Antonio Spurs, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	88
SC Kiosks, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	88
Sharper Image Corporation v. Rylander, et al.	89
Sharper Image Corporation v. Rylander, et al.	89
Southern Plastics, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	90
Southern Union Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	90

Southwest Food Processing & Refrigerated Services, aka Southwest Refrigerated Warehousing Services v. Rylander, et al.	91
Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P. v. Strayhorn, et al.	91
Southwestern Bell Yellow Pages, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	92
Spacenet Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	92
Stantrans Partners, L.P. v. Strayhorn, et al.	93
Stantrans Partners, L.P. v. Strayhorn, et al.	94
Steamatic of Austin, Inc., et al. v. Rylander, et al.	94
Sysco Food Services of Austin, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	95
Sysco Food Services of Houston, L.P. (fka Sysco Food Service of Houston, Inc.) v. Rylander, et al.	95
Sysco Food Services of Houston, L.P. (fka Sysco Food Services of Houston, Inc.) v. Strayhorn, et al.	96
Target Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.	96
TDI-Halter, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	97
Texaco Grand Prix of Houston, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	97
Texas Gulf, Inc. v. Bullock, et al.	98
Texas Westmoreland Coal Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	98
The Kroger Company v. Combs, et al.	99
Tree of Life, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	99
Tyler Holding Company, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	100
United Scaffolding, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	101
United Space Alliance, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	101
United Space Alliance, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	102
United Space Alliance, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	102
Uretek U.S.A., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	103
USCOC of Texahoma, Inc., Successor to USCOC of Corpus Christi, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	103
V.H. Salas & Associates, Inc. v. Comptroller	104
Verizon North, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	104
Watson Sysco Food Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	105
West Texas Pizza, Limited Partnership v. Sharp, et al.	105
White Swan, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	106
White Swan, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	106
Williams, Duane Everett v. Comptroller	107
Wireless Now, L.P. v. Combs, et al.	107
World Fitness Centers, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	108

Wyndham International Operating Partnership, LP v. Strayhorn, et al.	108
Zale Delaware, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	109
Zale Delaware, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	109
Zimmerman Sign Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	110

Insurance Tax

Allstate County Mutual Insurance Company; Allstate Insurance Company; Allstate Indemnity Company; Allstate Texas Lloyds; and Allstate Property and Casualty Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	111
American International Specialty Lines Insurance Company v. Rylander, et al.	111
AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	112
Fireman’s Fund Insurance Company of Ohio v. Rylander, et al.	112
First American Title Insurance Company v. Combs, et al.	113
First American Title Insurance Company v. Combs, et al.	114
First American Title Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	115
First American Title Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	115
First American Title Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	115
Lexington Insurance Company, Landmark Insurance Company v. Rylander, et al.	116
Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, et al. v. A.W. Pogue, et al.	117
Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, et al. v. Combs, et al.	117
New York Life Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	118
Old Republic National Title Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	119
Old Republic National Title Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	119
Old Republic National Title Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	120
Old Republic National Title Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	120
Old Republic Title Insurance Company v. Combs, et al.	121
Old Republic Title Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	121
Prudential Insurance Company, The v. Strayhorn, et al.	122
St. Paul Surplus Lines Company v. Rylander, et al.	122
STP Nuclear Operating Company v. Combs, et al.	123
STP Nuclear Operating Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	124
STP Nuclear Operating Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	124
STP Nuclear Operating Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	125
Warranty Underwriters Insurance Company v. Rylander, et al.	125

Other Taxes

Arnold, Jessamine J., Estate of, Deceased, and Jim Arnold, Jr., Independent Executor v. Rylander, et al.	127
--	-----

Beadles, Joe Haven v. Strayhorn	127
Bryan ISD v. Strayhorn	128
CarMax Auto Superstores, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	128
ConocoPhillips Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	129
Culberson County-Allamoore ISD v. Strayhorn	129
Cypress-Fairbanks ISD, et al. v. Troy G. Rountree, et al.	130
Daingerfield-Lone Star ISD v. Strayhorn	130
Dickens, Larry & Mary and Kevin & Jennifer Zaputil v. Combs and Connie Perry, Grimes County Tax Assessor and Collector	131
El Paso Natural Gas Company v. Sharp	131
El Paso Natural Gas Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	132
El Paso Natural Gas Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	132
El Paso Natural Gas Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	133
El Paso Natural Gas Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	134
Evercom Systems, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	135
Fort Worth's PR's, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	135
JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A. v. Combs, et al.	136
Kendrick Oil Company v. Combs, et al.	136
Lake Austin Spa Investors, Ltd. v. Rylander, et al.	137
Mabank ISD v. Comptroller	137
Malakoff ISD v. Comptroller	138
MFC Finance Company of Texas v. Combs, et al.	138
Mineral Wells ISD v. Strayhorn	139
Mirage Real Estate, Inc., et al. v. Richard Durbin, et al.	139
Nextel of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	140
Phenomenom v. Strayhorn, et al.	140
Point Isabel ISD v. Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts	141
Preston Motors by George L. Preston, Owner v. Sharp, et al.	141
Ranger Fuels & Maintenance, L.L.C. v. Rylander, et al.	142
Ranger Fuels & Maintenance, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	142
San Felipe-Del Rio CISD v. Strayhorn	143
Stuart, Robert T. Jr., Estate of v. Strayhorn, et al.	143
Texaco Exploration & Production, Inc.	144
Texas RSA 15B2 Limited Partnership v. Strayhorn, et al.	144
That's Entertainment - San Antonio, L.L.C. dba Park Place v. Strayhorn, et al.	145
TPI Petroleum, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	145

Vinson Oil Distribution v. Strayhorn, et al.	146
Closed Cases	
Advanta Business Services Corporation v. Rylander, et al.	149
American Fidelity Assurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	149
Apollo Paint & Body Shop, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	150
Creative Closets, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	150
Dillard Department Stores, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	151
Dillard's, Inc., aka Dillard Department Stores, Inc., and Dillard Texas Operating Limited Partnership v. Rylander, et al.	151
Dillard's, Inc., aka Dillard Department Stores, Inc., and Dillard Texas Operating Limited Partnership v. Strayhorn, et al.	152
ITS Engineered Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	152
JBI, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	153
Nix Family Limited Partnership, a Texas Limited Partnership v. TWC and Texas CPA	154
North American Intelecom, Inc., et al. v. Sharp, et al.	154
Reliant Energy Corporation (formerly Houston Industries, Inc.) v. Rylander, et al.	154
Sanford, Gerald L. and Clara Krueger Sanford dba Gerald's Manufacturing, a Sole Proprietorship v. Strayhorn	155
Index	157

Table of Cases

7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	1
7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	1
7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	2
7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	21
7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	21
AccuTel of Texas, L.P. v. Rylander, et al.	22
Advanta Business Services Corporation v. Rylander, et al.	149
Alcatel Network Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	22
Alcatel Network Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	23
Alcoa, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	23
Allegiance Telecom of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	24
Allstate County Mutual Insurance Company; Allstate Insurance Company; Allstate Indemnity Company; Allstate Texas Lloyds; and Allstate Property and Casualty Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	111
Alumax Mill Products, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	24
Amerada Hess Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.	25
American Fidelity Assurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	149
American International Specialty Lines Insurance Company v. Rylander, et al.	111
Anadarko Petroleum Corporation v. Combs, et al.	2
Anderson Merchandisers Holding, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	25
Apollo Paint & Body Shop, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	150
Aramis Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	26
Aramis Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	26
Ardsey, Inc. dba Noche Caliente Nightclub v. Strayhorn, et al.	27
Arnold, Jessamine J., Estate of, Deceased, and Jim Arnold, Jr., Independent Executor v. Rylander, et al.	127
AROC (Texas), Inc. v. Combs, et al.	3
AT&T Corporation; Teleport Communications of Houston, Inc.; TCG of Dallas, Inc.; AT&T Network Procurement, L.P.; AT&T Communications of Texas, L.P.; and AT&T Communications of the Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	27
Austin Engineering Co., Inc. v. Combs, et al.	28
Awad, Mike v. Strayhorn, et al.	29
AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	112
Beadles, Joe Haven v. Strayhorn	127
Bell Bottom Foundation Company v. Rylander, et al.	29
Bell Helicopter Textron, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	30
Bell Helicopter Textron, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	30
Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	31
Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	31
Boeing North America, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	32

Boeing North America, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	32
Bonart, Richard C., DVM v. Strayhorn, et al.	33
Brink's Home Security, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	3
Broadwing Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.	33
Bryan ISD v. Strayhorn	128
Burns, Kevin D. v. Strayhorn, et al.	34
C & T Stone Company v. Rylander, et al.	34
CarMax Auto Superstores, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	128
Cashiola, James v. Strayhorn, et al.	35
CEC Entertainment, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	35
Cellular City Ltd. v. Strayhorn, et al.	36
Central Power & Light Company v. Sharp, et al.	36
Central Telephone Company of Texas and United Telephone Company of Texas v. Rylander, et al.	4
Centreport Partners, L.P. v. Combs, et al.	37
Chapal Zenray, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	37
Chevron Chemical Company, L.L.C., as Successor to Chevron Chemical Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	5
Chevron Pipe Line Company and West Texas Gulf Pipe Line Company v. Combs, et al.	38
Chevron USA, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	39
Chevron USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	5
Chevron USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	6
Chevron USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	39
Church & Dwight Company, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	40
Cingular Wireless of Austin, LP, formerly known as GTE Mobilnet of Austin, LP; GTE Mobilnet of South Texas, LP; GTE Mobilnet of Texas RSA #17, LP; et al. v. Strayhorn, et al.	40
City of Webster and the Webster Economic Development Corporation v. Strayhorn	41
Clear Lake City Community Association, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	42
Clinique Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	42
Clinique Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	43
Clinique Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	43
Coca-Cola Company, The v. Strayhorn, et al.	44
Colonial Surgical Supply, Inc. & Henry Schein, Inc., as Successor-in-Interest to Colonial Surgical Supply, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	44
Colonial Surgical Supply, Inc. and Henry Schein, Inc., as Successor-in-Interest to Colonial Surgical Supply, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	6
ConocoPhillips Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	129
Cosmair, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	45
Creative Closets, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	150
Crown Central Petroleum Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.	46
Culberson County-Allamoore ISD v. Strayhorn	129
Cypress-Fairbanks ISD, et al. v. Troy G. Rountree, et al.	130
DaimlerChrysler Services North American, L.L.C.	7

Daingerfield-Lone Star ISD v. Strayhorn	130
Day Cruises Maritime, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	46
Day Cruises Maritime, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	47
Delta Air Lines, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	47
Design Masterpiece Landscaping, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	48
Dickens, Larry & Mary and Kevin & Jennifer Zaputil v. Combs and Connie Perry, Grimes County Tax Assessor and Collector	131
Dillard Department Stores, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	151
Dillard's, Inc., aka Dillard Department Stores, Inc., and Dillard Texas Operating Limited Partnership v. Rylander, et al.	151
Dillard's, Inc., aka Dillard Department Stores, Inc., and Dillard Texas Operating Limited Partnership v. Strayhorn, et al.	152
Dupont Photomasks, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	48
Ebrahim, Suleiman S. v. Strayhorn, et al.	49
EFW, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	49
EFW, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	50
El Paso Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.	7
El Paso Merchant Energy-Petroleum Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	51
El Paso Natural Gas Company v. Combs, et al.	8
El Paso Natural Gas Company v. Sharp	131
El Paso Natural Gas Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	9
El Paso Natural Gas Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	132
El Paso Natural Gas Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	132
El Paso Natural Gas Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	133
El Paso Natural Gas Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	134
ELC Beauty, L.L.C., as a Successor-in-Interest to Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	51
ELC Beauty, L.L.C., as Successor-in-Interest to Aramis Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	52
ELC Beauty, L.L.C., as Successor-in-Interest to Origins Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	52
Embassy Equity Development Corporation, et al. v. Strayhorn, et al.	53
Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	54
Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	54
Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	55
Ethicon, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	55
Evercom Systems, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	135
ExxonMobil Oil Corporation v. Combs, et al.	56
F M Express Food Mart, Inc., and Fouad Hanna Mekdessi v. Rylander, et al.	56
Fairfield Industries, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	9
Fairfield Industries, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	10
Fireman's Fund Insurance Company of Ohio v. Rylander, et al.	112
First American Title Insurance Company v. Combs, et al.	113
First American Title Insurance Company v. Combs, et al.	114
First American Title Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	115
First American Title Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	115

First American Title Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	115
First Company v. Rylander, et al.	10
Fort Worth's PR's, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	135
Galland Henning Nopak, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	11
Garza, Lawrence v. Sharp, et al.	57
General Dynamics Corporation v. Rylander, et al.	57
General Dynamics Corporation v. Rylander, et al.	58
Gift Box Corporation of America, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	58
Graybar Electric Company, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	59
Grocers Supply-Institutional-Convenience, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	59
GSC Enterprises, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	60
GTE Mobilnet of the Southwest, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	60
GTE Mobilnet of the Southwest, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	61
GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	61
GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	62
GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	62
GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	63
GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	63
GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	64
GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	64
Gulf Chemical & Metallurgical Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.	11
Herndon Marine Products, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	65
Home & Garden Party, Ltd. v. Strayhorn, et al.	65
Home Depot, USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	66
Home Interiors & Gifts, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	12
Houston Wire & Cable Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	66
I-Ball Corp., dba The Gatsby Social Club v. Combs, et al.	67
ITS Engineered Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	152
J.C. Penney Company, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	67
J.C. Penney Company, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	68
JBH, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	153
Jerman Cookie Company v. Rylander, et al.	69
JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A. v. Combs, et al.	136
Kellwood Company, The v. Strayhorn, et al.	13
Kendrick Oil Company v. Combs, et al.	136
Kroger Company, The v. Strayhorn, et al.	69
La Frontera Lodging Partners, L.P., Tex-Air Investment Company, John Q. Hammons Hotels Two, L.P. and John Q. Hammons Hotels, L.P. v. Strayhorn, et al.	70
Lake Austin Spa Investors, Ltd. v. Rylander, et al.	137
Laredo Coca-Cola Bottling Company, and Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	70
Laredo Coca-Cola Bottling Company, and Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	71
Laredo Pizza, Inc., and Samuel L. Alford, and L & H Pacific, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	72
Lee Construction and Maintenance Company v. Rylander, et al.	72

Levy, Tara, et al. v. OfficeMax, Inc. and Best Buy Stores, L.P.	73
Lexington Insurance Company, Landmark Insurance Company v. Rylander, et al.	116
Liberty Vending Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	73
Local Neon Company, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	74
Lockheed Corporation v. Rylander, et al.	74
Lockheed Martin Corporation v. Rylander, et al.	75
Lockheed Martin Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.	75
Lockheed Martin Corporation, as Successor to Lockheed Martin Vought Systems Corporation and Loral Vought Systems Corporation v. Rylander, et al.	76
Lockheed Martin Corporation, Successor to Lockheed Martin Vought Systems Corporation v. Rylander, et al.	76
Lockheed Martin Kelly Aviation Center, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	77
Lone Star Steel Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	78
Mabank ISD v. Comptroller	137
Macy's TX I, LP, Successor in Interest to the May Department Stores Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	78
Malakoff ISD v. Comptroller	138
Mars, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	79
Maxus Energy Corporation as Successor in Interest to Maxus Corporate Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	79
Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, et al. v. A.W. Pogue, et al.	117
Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, et al. v. Combs, et al.	117
MFC Finance Company of Texas v. Combs, et al.	138
Millennium Inorganic Chemicals, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	13
Mineral Wells ISD v. Strayhorn	139
Mirage Real Estate, Inc., et al. v. Richard Durbin, et al.	139
Mitchell, Christia Parr v. Rylander, et al.	80
New York Life Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	118
Nextel of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	140
Nix Family Limited Partnership, a Texas Limited Partnership v. TWC and Texas CPA	154
North American Intelcom, Inc., et al. v. Sharp, et al.	154
Northrop Grumman Systems Corporation (Successor to Northrop Grumman Corporation and Vought Aircraft Company) v. Rylander, et al.	80
Northwestern Resources Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	81
Office Depot, Inc., Successor to Office Depot Business Services Division (aka Office Depot Business Services, Inc.) and Office Depot of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	81
Office Depot, Inc., Successor to Office Depot Business Services Division (aka Office Depot Business Services, Inc.) and Office Depot of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	82
Olarnpunsagoon, Suchon v. Combs, et al.	83
Old Republic National Title Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	119
Old Republic National Title Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	119
Old Republic National Title Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	120
Old Republic National Title Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	120
Old Republic Title Insurance Company v. Combs, et al.	121

Old Republic Title Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	121
Owens Corning v. Strayhorn, et al.	14
Phenomenom v. Strayhorn, et al.	140
Point Isabel ISD v. Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts	141
Preston Motors by George L. Preston, Owner v. Sharp, et al.	141
Prudential Insurance Company, The v. Strayhorn, et al.	122
Ranger Fuels & Maintenance, L.L.C. v. Rylander, et al.	142
Ranger Fuels & Maintenance, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	142
Reliant Energy Corporation (formerly Houston Industries, Inc.) v. Rylander, et al.	154
Reynolds Metals Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	83
Roadway Express, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	84
Roark Amusement & Vending, L.P. v. Strayhorn, et al.	84
Roark Amusement & Vending, L.P. v. Strayhorn, et al.	85
Robbins & Myers, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	86
Rockwell Collins, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	86
Rollins & Rollins Enterprises, Inc. , dba Country Kwik Stop v. Rylander, et al.	87
Sabine Mining Company, The v. Combs, et al.	87
San Antonio Spurs, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	88
San Felipe-Del Rio CISD v. Strayhorn	143
Sanford, Gerald L. and Clara Krueger Sanford dba Gerald's Manufacturing, a Sole Proprietorship v. Strayhorn	155
Saudi Refining, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	14
SC Kiosks, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	88
Sharper Image Corporation v. Rylander, et al.	89
Sharper Image Corporation v. Rylander, et al.	89
Southern Plastics, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	90
Southern Union Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	90
Southwest Food Processing & Refrigerated Services, aka Southwest Refrigerated Warehousing Services v. Rylander, et al.	91
Southwestern Bell Telephone Company v. Rylander, et al.	15
Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P. v. Strayhorn, et al.	91
Southwestern Bell Yellow Pages, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	92
Spacenet Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	92
St. Paul Surplus Lines Company v. Rylander, et al.	122
Stantrans Partners, L.P. v. Strayhorn, et al.	93
Stantrans Partners, L.P. v. Strayhorn, et al.	94
Steamatic of Austin, Inc., et al. v. Rylander, et al.	94
STP Nuclear Operating Company v. Combs, et al.	123
STP Nuclear Operating Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	124
STP Nuclear Operating Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	124
STP Nuclear Operating Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	125
Stuart, Robert T. Jr., Estate of v. Strayhorn, et al.	143
Sysco Food Services of Austin, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	95

Sysco Food Services of Houston, L.P. (fka Sysco Food Service of Houston, Inc.) v. Rylander, et al.	95
Sysco Food Services of Houston, L.P. (fka Sysco Food Services of Houston, Inc.) v. Strayhorn, et al.	96
Target Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.	96
TDI-Halter, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	97
Texaco Exploration & Production, Inc.	144
Texaco Grand Prix of Houston, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	97
Texaco Refining & Marketing (East), Inc. v. Combs, et al.	15
Texas Gulf, Inc. v. Bullock, et al.	98
Texas RSA 15B2 Limited Partnership v. Strayhorn, et al.	144
Texas Westmoreland Coal Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	98
TGS-NOPEC Geophysical Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	16
That's Entertainment - San Antonio, L.L.C. dba Park Place v. Strayhorn, et al.	145
The Kroger Company v. Combs, et al.	99
TPI Petroleum, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	145
Tree of Life, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	99
Tyler Holding Company, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	100
Tyson Foods, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	17
Tyson Fresh Meats, Inc. formerly known as IBP, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	17
United Scaffolding, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	101
United Space Alliance, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	101
United Space Alliance, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	102
United Space Alliance, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	102
Uretek U.S.A., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	103
USCOC of Texahoma, Inc., Successor to USCOC of Corpus Christi, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	103
V.H. Salas & Associates, Inc. v. Comptroller	104
Verizon North, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	104
Viacom International, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	18
Vinson Oil Distribution v. Strayhorn, et al.	146
Warranty Underwriters Insurance Company v. Rylander, et al.	125
Watson Sysco Food Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	105
West Texas Pizza, Limited Partnership v. Sharp, et al.	105
White Swan, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	106
White Swan, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	106
Williams, Duane Everett v. Comptroller	107
Wireless Now, L.P. v. Combs, et al.	107
World Fitness Centers, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	108
Wyndham International Operating Partnership, LP v. Strayhorn, et al.	108
York International Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.	18
Zale Delaware, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	109
Zale Delaware, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	109
Zimmerman Sign Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	110

Franchise Tax

7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN501845

AG Case #: 052154382

Filed: 5/23/2005

Franchise Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$203,117.59	1994 - 1996

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Monzingo, Christine OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether the franchise tax requirement under Tax Code §171.110 to add back officer and director compensation to the tax base without voter approval is unconstitutional. Plaintiff claims disparate tax treatment based on the number of shareholders within a corporation, and violation of equal and uniform taxation and the Equal Protection Clause. Whether the provision also discriminates unconstitutionally between banks and other corporations and should be limited to officers with significant authority.

Status: Discovery in progress.

7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN501854

AG Case #: 052154390

Filed: 5/23/2005

Franchise Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$169,857.71	1997 - 1999

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Monzingo, Christine OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Langenberg, Ray
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether the franchise tax requirement under Tax Code §171.110 to add back officer and director compensation to the tax base without voter approval is unconstitutional. Plaintiff claims disparate tax treatment based on the number of shareholders within a corporation, and violation of equal and uniform taxation and the Equal Protection Clause. Whether the provision also discriminates unconstitutionally between banks and other corporations and should be limited to officers with significant authority.

Status: Motion granted 11/07/06 to consolidate into case styled 7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al., Cause #GN501845.

7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-002389 AG Case #: 062380316

Filed: 6/29/2006

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$169,847.71	1997 - 1999

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Monzingo, Christine OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Langenberg, Ray

Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether add-back of officer compensation is a personal income tax requiring voter approval. Whether Section §171.110 and Rule 3.558 violate equal protection. Alternatively, whether the amount of add-back is overstated.

Status: Motion granted 11/07/06 to consolidate into case styled 7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al., Cause #GN501845.

Anadarko Petroleum Corporation v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-07-000670 AG Case #: 072441751

Filed: 3/6/2007

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$3,100,129.00	1995 - 2002

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Monzingo, Christine OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Whether Plaintiff may include proved reserves when computing impairment for long-lived assets. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to use an alternative GAAP method of computing accumulated depreciation and net pension liabilities. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a franchise tax credit for tax paid on property used in manufacturing. Plaintiff requests that penalty and interest be waived.

Status: Answer filed.

AROC (Texas), Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-07-000882 AG Case #: 072445745

Filed: 3/23/2007

Franchise Tax; Protest & Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$241,435.17	01/01/01 - 12/31/02
\$114,245.78	01/01/01 - 12/31/02

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Tourtellotte, Tom Hance Scarborough Wright Woodward &
Weisbart, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether debts of the Plaintiff are inter-company debts or equity infusions, causing the debts to be treated as equity and therefore taxable. Plaintiff claims its assets had been collateralized to a third party lender in return for funding.

Status: Answer filed.

Brink's Home Security, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-004615 AG Case #: 062430392

Filed: 12/14/2006

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$91,372.00	2000

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Bernal, Jr., Gilbert J. Stahl, Bernal & Davies / Austin
Sewell, David J.

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's gross receipts should include those receipts for services apportioned outside of the State. Plaintiff claims the Comptroller has misapplied the statutes and rules at issue and imposition of tax against Plaintiff is unconstitutional. Plaintiff claims violation of the Commerce Clause.

Status: Discovery commenced further to settlement.

Central Telephone Company of Texas and United Telephone Company of Texas v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: GN100332

AG Case #: 011409646

Filed: 2/1/2001

Franchise Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$300,772.95	1988 - 1994
\$204,616.25	1988 - 1994

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Whether inclusion of access charges in Texas' gross receipts violates Comptroller rules on franchise tax treatment of interstate telephone receipts. Whether inclusion of the charges violates equal protection.

Status: Discovery stayed pending appeal of Southwestern Bell case.

Chevron Chemical Company, L.L.C., as Successor to Chevron Chemical Company v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-000789 AG Case #: 062297486

Filed: 3/6/2006

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$559,579.09	1994 - 1995

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Wolfe, Susan OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether the Comptroller correctly applied Plaintiff's business loss carry-forward on earned surplus during years when the earned surplus surtax was computed at zero.

Status: Answer filed.

Chevron USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN401579

AG Case #: 041972456

Filed: 5/17/2004

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$44,063,913.00	1987 - 1999

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Monzingo, Christine OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray
Hagenswold, R. Eric

Issue: Whether Plaintiff may compute surplus using an alternative GAAP method of calculating impairment. Whether Plaintiff may use business loss carry-forward as a deduction to taxable earned surplus. Whether the Comptroller incorrectly calculated Plaintiff's pushdown

adjustments. Whether environmental reserves should be calculated as taxable capital surplus. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to the manufacturing credit.

Status: Inactive.

Chevron USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN500170

AG Case #: 052091378

Filed: 1/18/2005

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$5,000,000.00	1988 - 1991, 1995, 1996, 1999

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Monzingo, Christine OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Whether abandonment costs of oil and gas properties can be excluded from surplus as contra-asset accounts for depreciation, depletion and amortization under GAAP guidelines. Whether Plaintiff may change its accounting methods used to calculate surplus within a four year period. Plaintiff also claims violation of equal and uniform taxation and equal protection.

Status: Inactive.

Colonial Surgical Supply, Inc. and Henry Schein, Inc., as Successor-in-Interest to Colonial Surgical Supply, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-07-001968 AG Case #: 072458797

Filed: 6/29/2007

Franchise Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$122,419.77	1997 - 2003

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Monzingo, Christine OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Lyda, Kirk Jones Day / Dallas
Gall, Maryann B. Jones Day / Columbus, OH

Mansfield, Douglas M.
Shambaugh, Phyllis J.

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's out-of-state mail order business meets the "substantial nexus" requirement to justify franchise tax liability. Whether Plaintiff's attendance at educational conferences in Texas exceeded the limitations set forth in Tax Code Section 171.084. Plaintiff claims violation of the Commerce Clause of the U.S. Constitution, Due Process Clause, Equal Protection Clause, and Tax Code Section 171.001(c). Plaintiff also requests waiver of penalty and attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

DaimlerChrysler Services North American, L.L.C.

Cause Number: GN401380

AG Case #: 041965591

Filed: 4/30/2004

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$2,123,382.74	1988 - 1991

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Monzingo, Christine OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray
Meese, Matthew J.

Issue: How should proceeds from the sale of accounts receivables, including retail and wholesale, be calculated for franchise tax apportionment purposes. Whether Plaintiff's accounts receivables are capital assets or investments. Plaintiff claims that the Comptroller's use of the net gain method instead of the gross receipts method in calculating Plaintiff's total gross receipts for franchise tax apportionment purposes violates the Texas Tax Code, the Comptroller's rules, Comptroller policy, and the constitutional requirements of equal protection and equal and uniform taxation.

Status: Answer filed.

El Paso Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN304213

AG Case #: 031879356

Filed: 10/28/2003

Franchise Tax; Protest

Claim Amount Reporting Period
\$2,278,308.75 1999 - 2001

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Monzingo, Christine OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Whether severance pay and merger expenses were improperly included in Plaintiff's apportionment factor. Whether other income was improperly sourced or included. Whether certain deductions were erroneously disallowed. Plaintiff also seeks waiver of all penalty and interest.

Status: Answer filed.

El Paso Natural Gas Company v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: GN301003 AG Case #: 031778939 Filed: 3/28/2003
#03-05-00144-CV
#06-05-00059-CV

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount Reporting Period
\$3,000,000.00 1989 - 1991

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Whether Plaintiff may use the successful efforts method of accounting. Whether revenue should be recognized when it is billed rather than when it is booked. Whether unamortized loss on reacquired debt may be expensed. Whether certain accounts should be removed from surplus because they had zero balances. Whether Plaintiff's apportionment factor should be reduced for receipts from gas not picked up or delivered in Texas. Whether Plaintiff's refund claims were timely filed and whether some claims were precluded by an earlier hearings decision.

Status: Summary Judgment hearing held 08/24/04. Judgment entered 02/24/05; both motions granted in part and denied in part. Cross-notice of appeal filed 03/08/05. Appeals transferred from Third Court of Appeals to Sixth Court of Appeals in Texarkana, Texas by Texas Supreme Court on 04/04/05. Cross-appellants' briefs filed 05/09/05 and 05/10/05. Cross-appellees' briefs filed 06/20/05. Cross-appellants' reply briefs filed 07/08/05 and 07/11/05. Submitted on Oral Argument 04/18/06. Opinion issued 10/18/06. Partial summary judgment in favor of El Paso reversed; partial summary judgment in favor of Comptroller affirmed; one issued remanded. Motion for Rehearing filed 11/06/06. Order entered 11/28/06. Comptroller's response filed 12/08/06. Appellant's reply filed 12/12/06. Motion for Rehearing overruled 12/19/06. Petition for Review due 06/04/07. Case settled 06/04/07.

El Paso Natural Gas Company v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN500963

AG Case #: 052132248

Filed: 3/30/2005

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$446,836.60	1988

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W.

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Langenberg, Ray

Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether unamortized loss on reacquired debt may be expensed. Whether Plaintiff's apportionment factor should be reduced for receipts from gas not picked up or delivered in Texas.

Status: Case settled 06/04/07.

Fairfield Industries, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN503289

AG Case #: 052214558

Filed: 9/13/2005

Franchise Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,107,256.04	2002 - 2004

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Monzingo, Christine OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

McBride, James Thomas Shook, Hardy & Bacon, L.L.P. / Houston

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's gross receipts should be treated as receipts from intangibles apportioned based on the location of the payor or the location of the alleged use of data. Whether the transfer of seismic data is a "license" or the transfer of an intangible for franchise tax apportionment purposes. Plaintiff also requests that penalties be waived and recovery of attorneys' fees.

Status: Inactive.

Fairfield Industries, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-000797 AG Case #: 062296884

Filed: 3/7/2006

Franchise Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$769,839.19 1999 - 2001

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Monzingo, Christine OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

White, John D. Jones, Walker, Waechter, Poitevent, Carrère &
Denégre, L.L.P. / The Woodlands

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's gross receipts should be treated as receipts from intangibles apportioned based on the location of the payor or the location of the alleged use of data. Whether the transfer of seismic data is a "license" or the transfer of an intangible for franchise tax apportionment purposes. Plaintiff also requests that penalties be waived and recovery of attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress.

First Company v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: GN200229 AG Case #: 021556980

Filed: 1/24/2002

Franchise Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$1,919,109.00 1996 - 1999

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Monzingo, Christine OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Martens, James F. Martens & Associates / Austin

Mondrik, Christina A. Mondrik & Associates / Austin

Issue: Whether the throwback rule is unconstitutional and violates P.L. 86-272. Whether apportionment under the throwback rule, when compared to a separate accounting method, creates such a gross disparity in taxable income as to be unconstitutional. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory judgment and attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery suspended. Motion to Retain granted.

Galland Henning Nopak, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-001409 AG Case #: 062312129

Filed: 4/21/2006

Franchise Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$16,751.35	1995 - 2004

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Kinkade, Jana K. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Davidson, William C. Law Offices of Minter Joseph & Thornhill, P.C. /
Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff had sufficient nexus in Texas to be assessed taxes under both the taxable capital component and the earned surplus component of the Texas Franchise Tax.

Status: Answer filed.

Gulf Chemical & Metallurgical Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-004636 AG Case #: 062430582

Filed: 12/15/2006

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$245,571.02	1997 - 2000

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Monzingo, Christine OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: How should processing fees and metals credit be calculated for franchise tax apportionment purposes. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a refund resulting from the elimination of the addback for officer and director compensation.

Status: Answer filed.

Home Interiors & Gifts, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: GN303185

AG Case #: 031842420

Filed: 8/25/2003

#03-04-00660-CV

#05-0939

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$16,085,391.00 1992 - 1999

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Monzingo, Christine OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Butcher, Daniel L. Strasburger & Price / Dallas

Katz, Farley P. Strasburger & Price / San Antonio

Issue: Whether the Texas throwback provision, Tax Code §171.1032, is unconstitutional in violation of the Due Process, Commerce, Supremacy, and Equal Protection Clauses.

Status: Hearing on Cross-Motions for Summary Judgment held 09/21/04. Defendants' Motion granted 09/30/04. Notice of Appeal filed 10/20/04. Appellant's brief filed 01/24/05. Appellees' brief filed 03/25/05. Appellants' reply brief filed 04/28/05. Appellee's response to reply brief filed 05/23/05. Submitted on Oral Argument 05/25/05. Appellant filed post-submission brief 06/03/05. Motion granted 06/14/05 for Appellant to file post-submission brief. Appellees filed letter of authority 06/21/05. Appellant filed letter of authority 06/23/05. Opinion issued 07/28/05 reversing and rendering judgment for Appellants. Motion for Rehearing filed by Appellant 08/09/05. Motion for Rehearing filed by Appellee 08/15/05; denied 09/22/05.

Petition for Review filed by State in Tx. Supreme Court 01/06/06. Response from Home Interiors filed 03/03/06. Briefing on the merits requested 04/26/06. Petitioners' brief on the merits filed 06/26/06. Respondent's brief on the merits filed 07/28/06. Petitioners' reply brief filed 08/14/06. Petition for Review denied 03/09/07. Motion for Rehearing filed 03/26/07. Response requested by Court 04/17/07. Response filed by Respondent 05/02/07. Motion for Rehearing denied 06/01/07. Final Order of the Supreme Court sent to the 3COA 06/07/07. Case stored 06/12/07. Petition for Certiorari due 08/29/07. Appeal handled by Solicitor General's Office, OAG.

Kellwood Company, The v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN500508

AG Case #: 052102654

Filed: 2/16/2005

Franchise Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$129,355.44	2001 - 2003

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Kinkade, Jana K.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Flaherty, Jason

Jenkins & Gilchrist / Austin

Issue: How should pension reversion gain be allocated for franchise tax apportionment purposes. Is the pension reversion gain non-unitary or unitary earned surplus income. Whether Plaintiff's pension reversion gain should be calculated with Plaintiff's Texas gross receipts. What methodology the Comptroller should apply to not distort the amount of taxable earned surplus apportionable to Texas. Plaintiff also claims violation of the Due Process and Commerce Clauses of the US Constitution and the Due Course of Law provision of the Texas Constitution.

Status: Answer filed.

Millennium Inorganic Chemicals, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-000655

AG Case #: 062295894

Filed: 2/23/2006

Franchise Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$2,862,261.31	1996 - 1999

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Monzingo, Christine

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray
Hagenswold, R. Eric

Issue: Whether Plaintiff may deduct from its surplus the pre-acquisition negative retained earnings of a subsidiary's subsidiary. Whether Plaintiff may write-down subsidiary's investments in subsidiaries. Whether the Comptroller correctly determined Plaintiff's original cost basis in its subsidiary.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Owens Corning v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN503923 AG Case #: 052240819 Filed: 10/28/2005

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$90,980.34	1992 - 1993

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Monzingo, Christine OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a franchise tax credit. Whether deferred tax liabilities can be offset by deferred tax assets.

Status: Answer filed.

Saudi Refining, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: 99-04227 AG Case #: 99-1155755 Filed: 4/9/1999

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$502,834.84	1994 - 1995
\$190,000.58	1994 - 1995

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cause Number: D-1-GN-07-000346 AG Case #: 072439326

Filed: 2/6/2007

Franchise Tax; Bill of Review

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,028,616.15	1994

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Monzingo, Christine	OAG Taxation / Austin
---------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W.	Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray	
Sigel, Doug	

Issue: Plaintiff did not receive notice of the Court's intent to dismiss Plaintiff's prior protest/refund suit or of the final Order of Dismissal. (See AG#991249228, Cause #99-14555, attorney: Chris Jackson, closed 05/31/06 due to Order of Dismissal signed 06/15/05.) Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a franchise tax credit for sales tax paid on qualifying manufacturing equipment purchased by a joint venture that it co-owned.

Status: Defendants did not oppose Plaintiff's motion to reinstate and the original case was reinstated.

TGS-NOPEC Geophysical Company v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN500637

AG Case #: 052114220

Filed: 3/1/2005

Franchise Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$390,471.26	1997 - 2000
\$1,422,008.76	2001 - 2003

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Monzingo, Christine	OAG Taxation / Austin
---------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

McBride, James Thomas	Shook, Hardy & Bacon, L.L.P. / Houston
-----------------------	--

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's gross receipts should be treated as receipts from intangibles apportioned based on the location of the payor or the location of the alleged use of data.

Whether the transfer of seismic data is a "license" or the transfer of an intangible for franchise tax apportionment purposes. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Hearing on Defendants' motions set 06/07/07; hearing passed. Hearing on Cross-Motions for Summary Judgment set 07/16/07.

Tyson Foods, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN302279

AG Case #: 031818966

Filed: 6/27/2003

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$4,462,424.56 1992 - 1997

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Monzingo, Christine

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W.

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Whether Plaintiff may re-state asset values for franchise tax purposes by using straight-line depreciation after it used accelerated depreciation to reduce asset values for federal income and franchise tax purposes before report year 1992. Whether penalty and interest should have been waived because Plaintiff's affiliates had overpayments during the audit period that could have been credited to Plaintiff's deficiencies. Amended Petition: Whether the throw-back statute violates the Commerce Clause; whether officer-director compensation add-back is constitutional.

Status: Hearing on Cross-Motions for Partial Summary Judgment held 07/19/06. On 07/26/06 the district court granted Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment and denied Plaintiff's on the depreciation/basis issue.

Tyson Fresh Meats, Inc. formerly known as IBP, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-07-000139

AG Case #: 072435753

Filed: 1/18/2007

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$3,100,934.20 1993 - 1996 (tax)

\$306,626.75 1993 - 1996 (penalty)

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Monzingo, Christine OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether Plaintiff had sufficient nexus in Texas to be assessed taxes under both the taxable capital component and the earned surplus component of the Texas Franchise Tax. Whether the throw-back statute violates the Commerce Clause.

Status: Answer filed.

Viacom International, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN402433 AG Case #: 041999269 Filed: 7/30/2004
Franchise Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$754,178.16	1997 - 1999

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Gilliland, David H. Clark, Thomas & Winters / Austin

Issue: Whether revenue received from third-party cable television system operators is revenue earned from licensing or from the service of producing, creating, editing, packaging and transmitting 24-hour-per-day network programming performed out-of-state. Should revenue from providing these services be considered Texas receipts for franchise tax purposes. Plaintiff also claims violation of Due Process and the Commerce Clause.

Status: Discovery in progress. Settlement negotiations in progress.

York International Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN600153 AG Case #: 062275193 Filed: 1/13/2006
Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$362,337.18	1993 - 1996

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Wolfe, Susan OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to record the assets and liabilities of previously acquired entities at their historical book values for purposes of determining taxable capital under Tax Code Section 171.109(b). Whether the Comptroller incorrectly calculated Plaintiff's push-down adjustments under Tax Code Section 171.109(m). Whether the Comptroller used the proper accounting method to value transferred assets. Whether Plaintiff's claim is barred as a second refund.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Sales Tax

7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN403369

AG Case #: 042046367

Filed: 10/8/2004

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$299,328.98	04/01/93 - 09/30/96

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Kinkade, Jana K. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Whether the purchase of bookkeeping software installed on computers located out-of-state and subsequently shipped to stores in-state qualifies for the sale for resale exemption.

Status: Discovery in progress. Settlement negotiations in progress. Hearing on cross-motions for summary judgment and defendants' plea to the jurisdiction set 09/13/07.

7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-002424

AG Case #: 062380290

Filed: 6/30/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$615,638.45	04/01/93 - 09/30/96

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Kinkade, Jana K. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Whether Plaintiff purchased non-taxable programming services rather than taxable software.

Status: Settlement negotiations in progress.

AccuTel of Texas, L.P. v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: GN300091

AG Case #: 031735236

Filed: 1/10/2003

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$45,658.15	06/01/97 - 11/30/00

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Maloney, Natalie A. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Malish, Christopher Foster & Malish / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff should have been assessed interest and penalty.

Status: Answer filed.

Alcatel Network Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-000104

AG Case #: 062271143

Filed: 1/10/2006

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$908,670.54	05/01/93 - 10/31/95

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Langenberg, Ray

Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether purchases of software licenses qualify as tangible personal property with a useful life in excess of six months and used or consumed in or during the manufacturing, processing, or fabrication of tangible personal property for ultimate sale so as to be exempt from sales tax. Whether display items and/or the materials used to make them are exempt from sales tax.

Status: Motion for Summary Judgment filed by Plaintiff. Discovery in progress.

Alcatel Network Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-003731 AG Case #: 062412861

Filed: 9/29/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$908,670.54	05/01/93 - 10/31/95

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Masters, Paul H.	OAG Taxation / Austin
------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Langenberg, Ray Sigel, Doug	Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
---	--

Issue: Whether purchases of tangible personal property with a useful life in excess of six months and used or consumed in or during the manufacturing, processing, or fabrication of tangible personal property for ultimate sale are exempt from sales tax. Whether display items and/or the materials used to make them are exempt from sales tax.

Status: Answer filed.

Alcoa, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-004268 AG Case #: 062426663

Filed: 11/9/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$767,652.06	04/01/91 - 12/31/94

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Monzingo, Christine	OAG Taxation / Austin
---------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Langenberg, Ray Sigel, Doug	Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
---	--

Issue: Whether parts, equipment and repair services that Plaintiff purchased for draglines used in its coal mining operations are exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing exemption.

Status: Answer filed.

Allegiance Telecom of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-000056 AG Case #: 062269030

Filed: 1/6/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$2,660,546.29	10/01/97 - 12/31/00

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Storie, Gene OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray
Hagenswold, R. Eric

Issue: Whether equipment purchased by Plaintiff is exempt from sales tax as tangible personal property used in manufacturing and processing. Whether freight charges are exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing exemption.

Status: Answer filed.

Alumax Mill Products, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-07-000165 AG Case #: 072435746

Filed: 1/22/2007

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$78,359.28	07/01/98 - 06/30/02

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether industrial solid waste removal is exempt as a real property service. Whether

Plaintiff's purchases of repair and replacement parts for and repair services performed on rolling stock equipment are exempt from sales and use tax as services performed on exempt tangible personal property.

Status: Answer filed.

Amerada Hess Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN402614

AG Case #: 042005314

Filed: 8/13/2004

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$44,500.00	01/01/90 - 12/31/95

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Whether submersible pumps, motors, separators, couplings and related down-hole equipment are exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing exemption. Whether certain benefits of a membership fee cause the fee to be taxable.

Status: Answer filed.

Anderson Merchandisers Holding, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN400421

AG Case #: 041921966

Filed: 2/11/2004

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$28,353.00	07/01/94 - 03/31/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Maloney, Natalie A. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether industrial solid waste removal is exempt as a real property service.

Status: Answer filed.

Aramis Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: 0000384

AG Case #: 001273051

Filed: 2/11/2000

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$281,676.36	04/01/94 - 12/31/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Wolfe, Susan OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Cowling, David E. Jones Day / Dallas
Lyda, Kirk

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed. Whether Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid and whether the Comptroller has authority to change its long-standing policy. Alternatively, whether penalty should be waived.

Status: Court sent Notice of DWOP for 08/23/02. Plaintiff filed Motion to Retain; granted 02/27/03. Court DWOP the case 06/15/05. Plaintiff filed Motion to Reinstate 07/12/05. Defendants filed first amended answer, plea to the jurisdiction, special exceptions and motion for attorneys' fees 11/17/06.

Aramis Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.

Cause Number: 98-03527

AG Case #: 98930349

Filed: 4/3/1998

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$291,196.00	04/01/90 - 03/31/94

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Wolfe, Susan OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Cowling, David E. Jones Day / Dallas
Lyda, Kirk

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed.

Status: Court sent Notice of DWOP for 12/20/00. Plaintiff filed Motion to Retain 12/15/00; granted 01/25/01. Court sent DWOP notice for 07/22/02. Plaintiff filed Motion to Retain 07/15/02; granted 01/16/03. Defendants filed Motion to Dismiss 05/11/04; set for 05/20/04. Hearing passed by agreement.

Ardsey, Inc. dba Noche Caliente Nightclub v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-004768 AG Case #: 072431349

Filed: 12/28/2006

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment & Injunction

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$343,876.21	03/01/02 - 08/31/05 -Sales Tax
\$39,699.43	03/01/02 - 08/31/05 -Mixed Beverage Gross Receipts

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Martens, James F. Martens & Associates / Austin

Seay, Michael B.

Issue: Whether Plaintiff should be assessed sales tax on door receipts collected by bands. Whether excess fees above an agreed dollar amount collected at the door and paid to Plaintiff are royalty rentals and real property rentals and not door receipts, which would be taxable sales. Plaintiff seeks injunction and attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

AT&T Corporation; Teleport Communications of Houston, Inc.; TCG of Dallas, Inc.; AT&T Network Procurement, L.P.; AT&T Communications of Texas, L.P.; and AT&T Communications of the Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-002080 AG Case #: 062365986

Filed: 6/7/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$21,934,496.00	01/01/95 - 07/31/04
\$1,484,356.00	01/01/00 - 07/31/04
\$1,391,152.00	01/01/00 - 07/31/04

\$22,827,857.00 01/01/00 - 07/31/04
\$4,435,506.00 01/01/99 - 07/31/04
\$4,435,506.00 01/01/00 - 07/31/04

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Storie, Gene OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether purchases of electricity used in a manufacturing process are exempt from sales tax. Whether the manufacturing process used by Plaintiff results in a physical change to tangible personal property being resold. Whether electricity purchased and used to process tangible personal property for sale as tangible personal property is exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing and processing exemption. Whether Plaintiffs' purchases and/or leases of tangible personal property directly used or consumed in or during a manufacturing process are exempt from sales tax.

Status: Answer filed.

Austin Engineering Co., Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-07-000565 AG Case #: 072440159

Filed: 2/23/2007

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$53,654.00	01/01/00 - 12/31/03

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Wolfe, Susan OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Mondrik, Christina A. Mondrik & Associates / Austin

Issue: Whether fees that Plaintiff received for erosion control services, environmental construction services and utility construction services are exempt from sales and use tax. Whether services performed by Plaintiff to exempt entities are exempt from sales and use tax. Whether Plaintiff's transactions with its customers qualify as non-taxable or exempt services,

or included the sale of tangible personal property, thus making certain items taxable. Plaintiff claims the Comptroller erroneously assessed tax on purchases which were non-taxable or exempt, or on which the sales and use tax had already been paid. Plaintiff claims violation of equal protection, equal and uniform taxation, and the Commerce clause.

Status: Answer filed.

Awad, Mike v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-003807 AG Case #: 062419668

Filed: 10/6/2006

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$196,853.60	07/01/00 - 12/31/03

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Monzingo, Christine OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Roberts, William A. The Roberts Law Firm / Dallas
Coleman, Kyle

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's business products are exempt as "sale for resale" items or taxable. Whether the Comptroller erred by misapplying burden of proof and whether the requirement is constitutional. Whether Tax Code §112.108 is constitutional. Plaintiff claims violation of due process, that all penalties and interest be waived, and attorneys' fees.

Status: Jurisdictional plea, motion to dismiss and counterclaim filed.

Bell Bottom Foundation Company v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: 99-01092

AG Case #: 991112186

Filed: 1/29/1999

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$81,571.73	01/01/91 - 12/31/94

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Maloney, Natalie A. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Trickey, Timothy M. The Trickey Law Firm / Austin

Issue: Whether taxpayer's sub-contract was a separated contract since the general contractor's construction contract was separated.

Status: Case dismissed for want of prosecution 06/17/03. Motion to Reinstate granted.
Negotiating an agreed scheduling order. Motion to Retain filed 11/29/06.

Bell Helicopter Textron, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: GN200525 AG Case #: 021567755 Filed: 2/15/2002

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$7,280,079.00	01/01/90 - 06/30/93
	07/01/93 - 06/30/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Bernal, Jr., Gilbert J. Stahl, Bernal & Davies / Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees and a declaration that the Comptroller disregarded controlling federal law, violated equal protection or imposed tax on the U.S. government.

Status: Trial set 09/08/08.

Bell Helicopter Textron, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN204437 AG Case #: 041927062 Filed: 12/11/2002

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$3,000,000.00	07/01/97 - 05/31/02

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Bernal, Jr., Gilbert J. Stahl, Bernal & Davies / Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees and a declaration that the Comptroller disregarded controlling federal law, violated equal protection or imposed tax on the U.S. government. Plaintiff also seeks recovery of attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN401955

AG Case #: 041988023

Filed: 6/21/2004

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$3,750,000.00	12/01/88 - 05/31/95

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert.

Status: Answer filed.

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-000787 AG Case #: 062296876

Filed: 3/6/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$3,029,344.00	06/01/95 - 12/31/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W.
Langenberg, Ray
Sigel, Doug

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Answer filed.

Boeing North America, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: GN203340

AG Case #: 021676804

Filed: 9/13/2002

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$343,487.00	01/01/95 - 12/31/96

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Gilliland, David H. Clark, Thomas & Winters / Austin

Issue: Plaintiff claims a sale for resale exemption on items resold to the federal government. Plaintiff also claims a denial of equal protection and an exemption under §151.3111.

Status: Answer filed.

Boeing North America, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN304372

AG Case #: 031884471

Filed: 11/10/2003

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$500,000.00	01/01/95 - 12/31/99

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Langenberg, Ray
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Plaintiff claims a sale for resale exemption on items resold to the federal government. Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Answer filed.

Bonart, Richard C., DVM v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN400552

AG Case #: 041928532

Filed: 2/20/2004

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$50.00	01/01/02 - 12/31/02

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Maloney, Natalie A. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Pro Se

Issue: Whether microchips implanted in animals are exempt as health care supplies and as a therapeutic appliance or device. Plaintiff also claims a denial of equal and uniform protection.

Status: Answer filed.

Broadwing Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-003733 AG Case #: 062412879

Filed: 9/29/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$217,355.92	01/01/99 - 04/30/02

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Wolfe, Susan OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Osterloh, Curtis J.

Issue: Whether finish-out work or improvements to real property is subject to tax when a part of the structure and leased space had been previously used and occupied.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Burns, Kevin D. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN504208

AG Case #: 052253457

Filed: 11/28/2005

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,300,000.00	01/01/96 - 10/31/00

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Kinkade, Jana K. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Cunningham, Judy M. Attorney at Law / Austin

Issue: Whether the transfer of certain tangible personal property from customers to Plaintiff to be leased back to customers with a purchase option are non-taxable financing transactions. Whether sales taxes previously submitted are binding within Plaintiff's bankruptcy plan. Plaintiff claims violation of equal and uniform taxation, and also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Hearing on Defendants' Motion to Compel held 05/30/07.

C & T Stone Company v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: GN002428

AG Case #: 001344233

Filed: 8/18/2000

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$207,454.40	04/01/94 - 12/31/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Peckham, William T. Attorney at Law / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes sales tax on its sales of limestone to third parties under

§151.311(a). Whether Plaintiff detrimentally relied on advice from the Comptroller's Office. Whether exemption certificates covered some sales that were assessed tax. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to the manufacturing exemption under §151.318(g). Whether penalty and interest should be waived.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Cashiola, James v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-004629 AG Case #: 072434863

Filed: 12/15/2006

Sales Tax; Administrative Appeal

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,112,768.76	11/21/01 - 12/31/03

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Grimsinger, William O. Chamberlain, Hrdlicka, White, Williams & Martin / Houston

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes sales tax under successor liability. Plaintiff claims the Comptroller audited the acquired company for the same telecommunications consulting services and previously found no sales tax liability due. Plaintiff claims debts were created without his knowledge and the exercise of reasonable diligence would not have revealed the intention to create a tax debt.

Status: No Evidence Motion filed by Plaintiff. Discovery to commence.

CEC Entertainment, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-004594 AG Case #: 062430368

Filed: 12/12/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$244,808.38	01/01/02 - 09/30/04

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Kinkade, Jana K. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Tourtellotte, Tom

Hance Scarborough Wright Woodward &
Weisbart, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Plaintiff claims that paying sales tax on prizes awarded to successful contestants of coin-operated and non-coin operated games and on the admission price of non-coin operated games, in addition to annual occupational taxes, would be double taxation. Plaintiff claims violation of equal and uniform taxation, and due process.

Status: Answer filed.

Cellular City Ltd. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-004410 AG Case #: 062427919

Filed: 11/21/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$352,932.44	09/01/00 - 06/30/04

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether telephones purchased by Plaintiff, and subsequently sold to customers who contract for telephone service with a carrier associated with the Plaintiff, are exempt from sales tax under the sale for resale exemption.

Status: Answer filed.

Central Power & Light Company v. Sharp, et al.

Cause Number: 96-11455

AG Case #: 96602037

Filed: 9/20/1996

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$32,788.00	07/01/86 - 12/31/89

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Kinkade, Jana K. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Smith, L. G. (Skip)

Clark, Thomas & Winters / Austin

Issue: Whether utility pole replacement services are non-taxable maintenance or taxable repair labor.

Status: Inactive.

Centreport Partners, L.P. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-07-000152 AG Case #: 072435795

Filed: 1/19/2007

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$14,095.15	07/01/00 - 06/30/04

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Masters, Paul H.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Bonilla, Ray

Ray, Wood & Bonilla, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether certain amenity and consumable items such as shampoo, stationery and similar items resold to hotel guests are exempt from sales tax as sales for resale.

Status: Answer filed.

Chapal Zenray, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: GN204506

AG Case #: 031729197

Filed: 12/16/2002

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$210,943.91	01/01/94 - 12/31/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Kinkade, Jana K.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W.

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Langenberg, Ray

Osterloh, Curtis J.

Issue: Whether items such as boxes, foam pads and twist ties are not subject to tax pursuant to Tex. Tax Code §151.011 (f)(2) and Rule 3.346 (c)(l)(c) when purchased by a person who uses the items to secure jewelry for shipment out-of-state.

Status: Discovery in progress. Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment filed 03/21/07.

Chevron Pipe Line Company and West Texas Gulf Pipe Line Company v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: GN304712

AG Case #: 031899016

Filed: 12/12/2003

#03-05-00449-CV

#07-0044

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$683,979.99	07/01/91 - 09/30/97
\$220,773.61	01/01/92 - 09/30/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W.

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Langenberg, Ray

Meese, Matthew J.

Issue: Whether installation of cathodic protection devices was new construction or maintenance. Whether excavation and back-filling were non-taxable unrelated services. Whether pipe replacement and recoating was non-taxable maintenance.

Status: Trial held 03/23/05. Judgment for the Comptroller. Findings and Conclusions filed 06/17/05. Notice of Appeal filed by Chevron 07/12/05. Appellant's brief filed 10/26/05. Appellees' brief filed 12/07/05. Oral Argument denied. Set on briefs 12/13/05. Appellants' reply brief filed 01/27/06. Submitted on briefs 01/30/06. Opinion issued 08/04/06 affirming district court's judgment. Motion for Rehearing filed 08/21/06. Response from Appellee filed 09/21/06. Motion for Rehearing denied 10/26/06. Substituted Opinion issued 10/26/06 affirming trial court's judgment. Motion for Rehearing filed 11/09/06; overruled 12/08/06. Petition for Review filed in Tx. Supreme Court 01/22/07. Respondent filed waiver of response 01/29/07. Court requested response; due 04/02/07. Response filed by Respondent 03/30/07. Reply response filed by Petitioner 04/05/07. Birefing on the Merits requested by the Court 05/07/07. Petitioner's brief on the merits filed 06/06/07. Respondents' brief on the merits filed

06/26/07. Petitioner's reply brief due 07/11/07.

Chevron USA, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-07-000292 AG Case #: 072453475

Filed: 12/6/2004

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
	01/01/93 - 06/30/96

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether tax paid on discounted portions of Plaintiff's purchases should be refunded.
Whether tax paid at an incorrect tax rate should be refunded.

Status: Case severed from original case styled Chevron USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al., Cause #GN403978.

Chevron USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN403978 AG Case #: 042071324
#03-07-00127-CV

Filed: 12/6/2004

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$10,000,000.00	01/01/93 - 06/30/96

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether charges of contractors for erecting, maintaining and dismantling scaffolding are

exempt from sales and use tax as a non-taxable service, or taxable as rental of tangible personal property.

Status: Discovery in progress. Hearing on cross-motions for summary judgment held 06/28/06. Chevron's motion for partial summary judgment granted; Comptroller's motion denied. Hearing for judgment held 01/31/07. Chevron's motion to sever granted; final judgment entered. State's Notice of Appeal filed 02/28/07. Clerk's Record filed 03/20/07. Court Reporter's Record filed 03/29/07. Appellants' brief filed 05/17/07; Oral Argument requested. Appellee's brief filed 06/15/07; Oral Argument requested. Appellants' reply brief filed 07/23/07.

Church & Dwight Company, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: GN000525

AG Case #: 001258201

Filed: 1/12/2000

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$64,868.50	10/01/90 - 12/31/93

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Benesh, W. Stephen Bracewell & Patterson / Austin
Sampson, Jr., Phillip L.

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes use tax on promotional materials shipped from out-of-state. Whether the Comptroller's imposition of use tax is invalid because Plaintiff made no use of the materials in Texas. Whether Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid. Whether the tax violates the Commerce and Due Process Clauses of the United States Constitution.

Status: Plaintiff waiting for outcome of Estee Lauder Services, Inc. cases. Case dismissed for want of prosecution 06/15/05. Case re-opened. Reinstated by bill of review 11/22/05.

Cingular Wireless of Austin, LP, formerly known as GTE Mobilnet of Austin, LP; GTE Mobilnet of South Texas, LP; GTE Mobilnet of Texas RSA #17, LP; et al. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN502649

AG Case #: 052186616

Filed: 7/29/2005

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$10,177,377.49	01/01/93 - 12/31/96

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Storie, Gene OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether purchases of telecommunications equipment qualify as tangible personal property for ultimate sale as tangible personal property that are exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing and processing exemption. Whether electricity purchased and used in telecommunications is exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing and processing exemption.

Status: Answer filed.

City of Webster and the Webster Economic Development Corporation v. Strayhorn

Cause Number: D-1-GV-06-001823 AG Case #: 062409446

Filed: 9/15/2006

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$502,620.70	05/01/02 - 01/31/06

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Maloney, Natalie A. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Feldman, David M. Feldman & Rogers, L.L.P. / Houston
Cowan, Robert W.
Gregg, Jr., Dick H. Gregg & Gregg, P.C. / Houston

Issue: Whether the Comptroller's repayment request violates the procedural and substantive due course of law provisions of the Texas Constitution. Whether the Comptroller should have granted Plaintiffs notice or a hearing prior to making the repayment request. Whether the Comptroller's interpretation of Tax Code §321.002(a)(3) is constitutional. Plaintiffs also request attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Defendants' Plea to the Jurisdiction filed 02/14/07. Original Plea

in Intervention & Third Party Petition filed 04/18/07 by cities of Denton, Humble, Lewisville, Mesquite, North Richland Hills, and Plano, and Denton County Transportation Authority and Fort Worth Transportation Authority. Original Answer filed by City of Grand Prairie, third party defendant, on 05/29/07.

Clear Lake City Community Association, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-004281 AG Case #: 062425582 Filed: 11/13/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$83,936.63	08/01/00 - 10/31/04

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Maloney, Natalie A. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Knobelsdorf II, John C. Attorney at Law / Houston

Issue: Whether Plaintiff, as an exempt organization, is an exempt consumer of taxable real property services and not a seller of such services. Whether waste hauling service provided to association homeowners and paid for by Plaintiff is exempt from sales tax.

Status: Answer filed.

Clinique Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: GN000376 AG Case #: 001273069 Filed: 2/11/2000

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$650,361.82	04/01/94 - 03/31/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Wolfe, Susan OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Cowling, David E. Jones Day / Dallas
Lyda, Kirk

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into

Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed. Whether Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid and whether the Comptroller has authority to change its long-standing policy. Alternatively, whether penalty should be waived.

Status: Court sent Notice of DWOP for 08/23/02. Plaintiff filed Motion to Retain; granted 02/27/03. Court DWOP on 06/15/05. Plaintiff filed Motion to Reinstate 07/12/05; granted 07/12/05. Defendants filed first amended answer, plea to the jurisdiction, special exceptions and motion for attorneys' fees 11/17/06.

Clinique Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.

Cause Number: 98-03533

AG Case #: 98930330

Filed: 4/3/1998

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$519,192.00	04/01/90 - 03/31/94

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Wolfe, Susan OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Cowling, David E. Jones Day / Dallas
Lyda, Kirk

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed.

Status: Court sent Notice of DWOP for 12/20/00. Plaintiff filed Motion to Retain 12/15/00; granted 01/24/01. Court sent Notice of DWOP for 07/22/02. Plaintiff filed Motion to Retain 07/15/02; granted 01/16/03. Plaintiff filed Motion to Retain; granted 03/27/06.

Clinique Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN500049

AG Case #: 052085933

Filed: 1/6/2005

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$654,245.96	04/01/98 - 03/31/02

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Wolfe, Susan OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Cowling, David E.
Lyda, Kirk

Jones Day / Dallas

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed. Whether Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid and whether the Comptroller has authority to change its long-standing policy. Alternatively, whether penalty should be waived. Plaintiff also claims violation of rights under the Commerce and Due Process Clauses, and right to equal and uniform taxation. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Coca-Cola Company, The v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN504213

AG Case #: 052253473

Filed: 11/28/2005

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$2,060,883.03	07/01/97 - 03/31/02

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Kinkade, Jana K. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Hagenswold, R. Eric
Osterloh, Curtis J.

Issue: Whether replacement parts and the repair of fountain drink machines leased to customers by Plaintiff are exempt from sales tax as manufacturing equipment and the sale for resale exemption.

Status: Answer filed.

Colonial Surgical Supply, Inc. & Henry Schein, Inc., as Successor-in-Interest to Colonial Surgical Supply, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-07-001967 AG Case #: 072458896

Filed: 6/29/2007

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$2,122,997.61	01/01/97 - 09/30/04

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Monzingo, Christine OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Lyda, Kirk Jones Day / Dallas
Gall, Maryann B. Jones Day / Columbus, OH
Mansfield, Douglas M.
Shambaugh, Phyllis J.

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's out-of-state mail order business meets the "substantial nexus" requirement to justify franchise tax liability. Whether Plaintiff's attendance at educational conferences in Texas exceeded the limitations set forth in Tax Code Section 171.084. Plaintiff claims violation of the Commerce Clause of the U.S. Constitution, Due Process Clause, Equal Protection Clause, and Tax Code Section 171.001(c). Plaintiff also requests waiver of penalty and attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Cosmair, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN302009 AG Case #: 031816135 Filed: 6/9/2003

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,322,536.67	07/01/96 - 12/31/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Wolfe, Susan OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Cowling, David E. Jones Day / Dallas
Lyda, Kirk

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes use tax on items transferred free of charge that are subsequently brought into Texas. Plaintiff specifically challenges whether: 1) "use" includes distribution; 2) use was only out-of-state where control transferred; 3) longstanding policy may be changed; 4) Rule 3.346 does not support tax on promotional materials; 5) use tax applies without title or possession; 6) no consideration for transfer; 7) Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid; 8) tax is bared by Commerce, Due Process and Equal Protection Clauses; and 9) resale exemption applies. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Crown Central Petroleum Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN504190

AG Case #: 052260197

Filed: 11/22/2005

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$136,903.16	12/01/96 - 12/31/99

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Kinkade, Jana K. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether charges of contractors for erecting, moving and dismantling scaffolding are exempt from sales and use tax as a non-taxable service, or taxable as rental of tangible personal property. Whether certain work performed by contractors is new construction under a lump sum contract and thus not taxable.

Status: Answer filed.

Day Cruises Maritime, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-063567

AG Case #: 062410139

Filed: 9/21/2006

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$243,910.85	12/01/01 - 12/31/03

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Beam, Patrick L. Attorney at Law / Aransas Pass

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's charter of a vessel is leased property subject to sales and use tax. Whether the vessel was used or received within the state. Plaintiff claims that the Comptroller does not have legal authority to collect the assessed tax.

Status: Answer filed.

Day Cruises Maritime, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-004734 AG Case #: 072432578

Filed: 12/27/2006

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$243,910.85	12/01/01 - 12/31/03

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Beam, Patrick L. Attorney at Law / Aransas Pass

Issue: Plaintiff filed suit 09/21/06 under protest questioning the assessed tax based on whether Plaintiff's charter of a vessel is leased property subject to sales and use tax, and whether the vessel was used or received within the State. Plaintiff now seeks judgment that the tax in question is unconstitutional and may not be legally demanded or collected by the Comptroller. Plaintiff requests jury trial.

Status: Answer filed.

Delta Air Lines, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN400439

AG Case #: 041925868

Filed: 2/13/2004

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,642,267.15	02/01/93 - 12/31/96

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Wolfe, Susan OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchases of janitorial and building maintenance services being resold under a lease agreement are exempt under the sale for resale exemption. Whether Plaintiff's purchases of mechanical maintenance services were exempt as taxable services

purchased in the performance of a real property contract for an exempt entity.

Status: On dismissal docket. Motion to Retain filed.

Design Masterpiece Landscaping, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-001691 AG Case #: 062337985

Filed: 5/12/2006

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$68,630.03	06/01/99 - 12/31/02

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Kinkade, Jana K. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Tourtellotte, Tom Hance Scarborough Wright Woodward &
Weisbart, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether landscaping services sold under lump-sum contracts by Plaintiff to homeowners are exempt as real property services. Whether a homeowner can contract with a homebuilder and still act as a contractor. Plaintiff requests that interest be waived. Plaintiff also claims violation of due process, equal protection, and equal and uniform taxation.

Status: Answer filed.

Dupont Photomasks, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: GN303695 AG Case #: 031855117

Filed: 9/12/2003

#03-04-00822-CV
#07-0350

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$299,987.35	01/01/96 - 10/31/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Patterson, Jennifer K. Attorney at Law / Austin

York, Larry F. York, Keller & Field / Austin

Gusky, Susan F.

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchase of a cleanroom should have been an exempt sale for resale. Whether the lease of the cleanroom was incidental to the lease of the building in which it was housed and whether Rule 3.294(k)(1) is invalid. Whether the Comptroller's final decision is arbitrary and violates due process, equal and uniform taxation, and equal protection. Whether Rider 11 is unconstitutional as: (1) an amendment to substantive law; (2) a violation of due process, equal protection and open courts; and (3) an unconstitutional taking. Plaintiff seeks attorney's fees and demands a jury trial.

Status: Motion for Summary Judgment hearing held 09/23/04. Rule upheld. Both Motions denied. Trial Judgment entered 11/29/04. Notice of Appeal filed by Plaintiff 12/17/04. Appellant's brief filed 03/07/05. Appellees' brief filed 04/13/05. Appellant's reply brief filed 05/03/05. Oral Argument held 09/14/05. Letter brief filed by Appellant 09/15/05. Post-submission brief filed by Appellee 09/16/05. Response from District Clerk requested by 10/26/06; received by Court 11/08/06. Opinion issued 12/20/06 affirming trial court's judgment. Motion to supplement record filed 01/19/07; granted 03/21/07. Letter filed by Appellee 01/29/07. Motion for Rehearing filed 01/19/07; overruled 03/21/07. Petition for Review filed in Texas Supreme Court 06/06/07. Response to Petition for Review waived by Respondent 06/12/07. Case forwarded to Court 06/19/07.

Ebrahim, Suleiman S. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN500567

AG Case #: 052113388

Filed: 2/22/2005

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$43,847.15	01/01/96 - 02/25/02

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Kinkade, Jana K. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Butler, Lynn Hamilton Brown McCarroll, L.L.P. / Austin
Spurck, Robert L.

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is liable for sales tax assessed against his father's business. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Counterclaim filed.

EFW, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: GN200906

AG Case #: 021579578

Filed: 3/19/2002

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount Reporting Period
\$123,440.25 04/01/94 - 03/31/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray
Sigel, Doug
Osterloh, Curtis J.

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

EFW, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-000058 AG Case #: 062269022 Filed: 1/9/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount Reporting Period
\$600,000.00 04/01/98 - 08/31/04

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray
Sigel, Doug
Osterloh, Curtis J.

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert.

Status: Answer filed.

El Paso Merchant Energy-Petroleum Company v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-003071 AG Case #: 062403696

Filed: 8/23/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,416,604.28	01/01/92 - 06/30/96

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray
Hagenswold, R. Eric

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a refund of sales and use tax on services provided by contract labor, certain manufacturing equipment, services performed on manufacturing equipment, materials needed for machinery and equipment used in the manufacturing process, maintenance of real property, new construction, non-taxable services, programming services, manufacturing equipment with a useful life of six months or less, property shipped out-of-state, repair of real or tangible personal property resulting in a casualty loss, hazardous and industrial waste removal services, safety supplies, items and materials used for quality control purposes, pollution control equipment, and other non-taxable items.

Status: Answer filed.

ELC Beauty, L.L.C., as a Successor-in-Interest to Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN500048

AG Case #: 052085990

Filed: 1/6/2005

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$586,255.47	07/01/99 - 06/30/01

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Wolfe, Susan OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Cowling, David E. Jones Day / Dallas

Lyda, Kirk

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed. Whether Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid and whether the Comptroller has authority to change its long-standing policy. Alternatively, whether penalty should be waived. Plaintiff also claims violation of rights under the Commerce and Due Process Clauses, and right to equal and uniform taxation. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

ELC Beauty, L.L.C., as Successor-in-Interest to Aramis Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: GN203514

AG Case #: 021681226

Filed: 9/26/2002

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$284,508.69	01/01/98 - 12/31/00

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Wolfe, Susan OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Cowling, David E. Jones Day / Dallas
Lyda, Kirk

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed. Whether Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid and whether the Comptroller has authority to change its long-standing policy. Alternatively, whether penalty should be waived.

Status: Answer filed.

ELC Beauty, L.L.C., as Successor-in-Interest to Origins Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN500047

AG Case #: 052085966

Filed: 1/6/2005

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$750,946.09	03/01/98 - 06/30/01

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Wolfe, Susan OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Cowling, David E. Jones Day / Dallas
Lyda, Kirk

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed. Whether Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid and whether the Comptroller has authority to change its long-standing policy. Alternatively, whether penalty should be waived. Plaintiff also claims violation of rights under the Commerce and Due Process Clauses, and right to equal and uniform taxation. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Embassy Equity Development Corporation, et al. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-004267 AG Case #: 062425566

Filed: 11/9/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$11,487.10	01/01/96 - 12/31/98
	06/01/97 - 05/31/01
\$10,494.52	01/01/95 - 12/31/98
\$17,485.53	12/01/98 - 03/31/02
\$2,615.82	01/01/98 - 12/31/00
\$4,190.26	09/01/94 - 06/30/97
\$1,658.68	09/01/94 - 05/31/98
\$2,894.76	09/01/94 - 03/31/98
\$4,044.05	07/01/95 - 12/31/98
	01/01/99 - 05/31/02
\$1,440.73	09/01/94 - 08/31/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Bonilla, Ray

Ray, Wood & Bonilla, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether certain amenity and consumable items such as shampoo, stationery and similar items resold to hotel guests are exempt from sales tax as sales for resale.

Status: Answer filed.

Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: GN101312

AG Case #: 011439874

Filed: 5/1/2001

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$614,814.78	04/01/96 - 06/30/99

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Wolfe, Susan

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Cowling, David E.

Jones Day / Dallas

Lyda, Kirk

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed.

Status: Answer filed.

Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.

Cause Number: 98-03525

AG Case #: 98930358

Filed: 4/3/1998

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$472,225.00	01/01/89 - 09/30/92

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Wolfe, Susan

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Cowling, David E.

Jones Day / Dallas

Lyda, Kirk

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed.

Status: Court sent Notice of DWOP for 12/20/00. Plaintiff filed Motion to Retain 12/15/00; granted 01/24/01. Court sent Notice of DWOP for 07/22/02. Plaintiff filed Motion to Retain 06/15/02; granted 02/03/03. See *Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.*, Cause #98-03524.

Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.

Cause Number: 98-03524

AG Case #: 98930367

Filed: 4/3/1998

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$748,773.00	10/01/92 - 03/31/96

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Wolfe, Susan

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Cowling, David E.

Jones Day / Dallas

Lyda, Kirk

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed.

Status: Court sent Notice of DWOP for 12/20/00. Plaintiff filed Motion to Retain 12/15/00; granted 01/24/01. Court sent Notice of DWOP for 07/22/02. Plaintiff filed Motion to Retain 07/15/02; granted 02/03/03. Numerous scheduling orders have been entered in this case since 2003; the latest being 11/2006. Discovery in progress. Trial set to begin the week of 04/11/08.

Ethicon, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN304779

AG Case #: 041904616

Filed: 12/18/2003

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$52,616.94	01/01/96 - 12/31/99
	01/01/94 - 12/31/95

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Maloney, Natalie A.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W.
Sigel, Doug

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff leased real property not subject to the sales and use tax.

Status: Motion for Summary Judgment hearing held 04/19/06. Settlement negotiations in progress.

ExxonMobil Oil Corporation v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-07-001398 AG Case #: 072452881

Filed: 5/11/2007

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$679,291.64	01/01/92 - 12/31/95

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Kinkade, Jana K.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Taylor, III, Jasper G.
Chadha, Jayash M.

Fulbright & Jaworski / Houston

Issue: Whether tangible personal property with an operator is non-taxable as leased equipment. Whether shipping and freight charges paid directly by Plaintiff are non-taxable. Whether charges to maintain real property during a "turnaround" are taxable. Plaintiff requests that penalty be waived.

Status: Answer filed.

F M Express Food Mart, Inc., and Fouad Hanna Mekdessi v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: GN002724

AG Case #: 001353960

Filed: 9/15/2000

Sales Tax; Injunction

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$360,671.05	12/01/90 - 11/30/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Masters, Paul H.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Isgitt, Percy L. "Wayne" Law Offices of Percy L. "Wayne" Isgitt, P.C. /
Houston

Issue: Whether Comptroller's "estimated audit" is invalid. Whether Plaintiffs are entitled to an injunction of collection and of cancellation of their sales tax permits. Whether Tax Code §§112.051, 112.052, 112.101 and 112.108 are unconstitutional violations of the open courts provision. Plaintiffs seek a re-audit and a refund of money paid under protest in excess of the re-audited amount.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Garza, Lawrence v. Sharp, et al.

Cause Number: 98-07607 AG Case #: 981001886 Filed: 7/17/1998

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$83,910.00	01/01/93 - 09/30/95

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Kinkade, Jana K. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Dillon, Stephen P. Lindeman & Dillon / Houston

Issue: Whether the Comptroller used the proper sampling procedure and whether Plaintiff was correctly notified of the procedure to be used.

Status: Trial setting passed by agreement. Inactive. DWOP signed 11/30/06.

General Dynamics Corporation v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: GN201322 AG Case #: 021598057 Filed: 4/22/2002

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$7,000,000.00	09/01/88 - 11/30/91

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W.

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert.

Status: Answer filed.

General Dynamics Corporation v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: GN201323

AG Case #: 021598073

Filed: 4/22/2002

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$4,500,000.00	12/01/91 - 02/28/93

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W.

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert.

Status: Answer filed.

Gift Box Corporation of America, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: GN102934

AG Case #: 011492865

Filed: 9/5/2001

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$359,929.22	10/1991 - 03/1997

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Masters, Paul H.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Lipstet, Ira A.

DuBois Bryant Campbell & Schwartz, L.L.P. /
Austin

Issue: Whether additional resale certificates should have been accepted for Plaintiff's sales of boxes and packaging materials.

Status: Case reinstated. Plaintiff to make settlement offer.

Graybar Electric Company, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.

Cause Number: 97-01795

AG Case #: 97682966

Filed: 2/13/1997

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$107,667.00	01/01/88 - 12/31/91

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Maloney, Natalie A. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Whether the sample audit resulted in a correct assessment.

Status: Settlement negotiations in progress. Unopposed Motion to Retain filed 09/25/06 by Plaintiff; granted 02/26/07.

Grocers Supply-Institutional-Convenience, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: GN300904

AG Case #: 031782931

Filed: 3/20/2003

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$79,688.23	06/01/95 - 05/31/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Wolfe, Susan OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Cunningham, Judy M. Attorney at Law / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchase of electricity used to lower the temperature of food

products is exempt as electricity used in processing.

Status: Discovery in progress.

GSC Enterprises, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN501091

AG Case #: 052132271

Filed: 4/7/2005

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$241,656.28	02/01/97 - 04/30/00

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Wolfe, Susan OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Cunningham, Judy M. Attorney at Law / Austin

Issue: Whether electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt as electricity used in processing. Whether the Comptroller violated the rules of statutory construction. Plaintiff claims violation of equal and uniform taxation. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress.

GTE Mobilnet of the Southwest, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN501921

AG Case #: 052163441

Filed: 5/27/2005

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$130,801.55	10/01/91 - 12/31/94

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Kinkade, Jana K. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Whether Plaintiff used the proper sampling method to determine the amount of credit/reimbursement due on bad debt deductions. Plaintiff seeks waiver of penalty assessed in the audit. Plaintiff also claims violation of due course of law, due process, equal and uniform

taxation, equal rights, equal protection, and other provisions of the Texas Tax Code, Rules, Texas and U.S. Constitutions.

Status: Answer filed.

GTE Mobilnet of the Southwest, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-000649 AG Case #: 062295480

Filed: 2/23/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,193,519.44	10/01/91 - 12/31/94

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Storie, Gene	OAG Taxation / Austin
--------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W.	Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray	
Sigel, Doug	

Issue: Whether tangible personal property used or consumed in providing telecommunications is exempt from sales tax. Whether electricity is exempt because of use in a manufacturing area.

Status: Answer filed.

GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-07-000058 AG Case #: 072433519

Filed: 1/8/2007

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$260,313.96	01/01/96 - 02/28/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Storie, Gene	OAG Taxation / Austin
--------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W.	Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray	
Sigel, Doug	

Storie, Gene

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W.

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Langenberg, Ray

Osterloh, Curtis J.

Issue: Whether equipment purchased by Plaintiff to provide customers-subscribers telecommunications products is exempt as tangible personal property used in manufacturing and processing or as tangible personal property that was resold. Whether penalty should be waived because Plaintiff had substantial overpayment during the audit period.

Status: Answer filed.

GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN502330

AG Case #: 052177326

Filed: 7/6/2005

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$2,615,825.26 05/01/91 - 02/28/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Storie, Gene

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W.

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Langenberg, Ray

Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether equipment purchased by Plaintiff to provide customers-subscribers telecommunications products is exempt as tangible personal property used in manufacturing and processing or as tangible personal property that was resold. Whether penalty should be waived because Plaintiff had substantial overpayment during the audit period.

Status: Answer filed.

GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN504191

AG Case #: 052252699

Filed: 11/22/2005

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$260,489.27 01/01/96 - 02/28/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Storie, Gene OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Langenberg, Ray

Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether electricity purchased by Plaintiff to perform telecommunications services is exempt as tangible personal property that was resold. Whether tangible personal property used or consumed in providing telecommunications is exempt from sales tax. Whether electricity is exempt because of use in a manufacturing area.

Status: Answer filed.

Herndon Marine Products, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.

Cause Number: 91-14786

AG Case #: 91164788

Filed: 10/18/1991

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$62,465.00	01/01/87 - 03/31/90

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Bell, John D. Wood, Boykin & Wolter / Corpus Christi

Issue: Whether predominant use of electricity from Plaintiff's meter is exempt. Whether burden of proof in administrative hearing should be clear and convincing evidence or preponderance of the evidence.

Status: Special exceptions and answer filed.

Home & Garden Party, Ltd. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-001392 AG Case #: 062311402

Filed: 4/21/2006

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$791,634.49	01/01/98 - 05/31/04

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Maloney, Natalie A. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Brophy, Jr., Richard E. Beard Kultgen Brophy Bostwick & Dickson,
L.L.P. / Waco

Hobbs, Mark C.

Issue: Whether packaging materials and supplies used in the manufacturing of tangible personal property for sale are exempt under the sale for resale exemption. Plaintiff claims unconstitutional administrative discrimination and violation of due process and equal protection under the U.S. and Texas Constitutions.

Status: Answer filed.

Home Depot, USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-002463 AG Case #: 062380324

Filed: 7/6/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$2,595,000.00	01/01/95 - 12/31/99

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether Plaintiff may take bad debt credit under private label credit agreement.

Status: Answer filed.

Houston Wire & Cable Company v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN500581 AG Case #: 052113057
#03-07-00006-CV

Filed: 2/23/2005

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount Reporting Period
\$160,596.03 08/01/97 - 12/31/01

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Kinkade, Jana K. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Starkey, Jerry L. Attorney at Law / Houston

Issue: Whether wire, cable and reels purchased, customized and sold to wholesalers as non-returnable are exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing exemption and sale-for-resale exemption.

Status: Trial held 10/09/06. Final Judgment signed 11/06/06 in favor of State. Notice of Appeal filed by Plaintiff 01/04/07. Clerk's Record filed 02/01/07. Court Reporter's Record filed 03/26/07. Appellant's brief filed 06/19/07; Oral Argument requested. Appellees' brief filed 07/18/07; Oral Argument requested. Supplemental Clerk's Record filed 07/20/07. Appellants' reply brief due 08/07/07.

I-Ball Corp., dba The Gatsby Social Club v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-07-001100 AG Case #: 072449465

Filed: 4/13/2007

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount Reporting Period
\$81,872.57 07/01/00 - 09/30/03

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Monshaugen, Ronald A. Monshaugen & Van Huff, P.C. / Houston

Van Huff, Albert T.

Gaunt, Deborah L.

Issue: Whether the Plaintiff is liable for sales tax on admission/cover fees into its facility for promotional events held by a contracted third party.

Status: Plaintiff to post bond. Record reviewed and being finalized for filing.

J.C. Penney Company, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN300883

AG Case #: 031770613

Filed: 3/19/2003

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$951,802.17	01/01/91 - 03/31/93

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Cowling, David E. Jones Day / Dallas
Lochridge, Robert

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes use tax on paper, ink and the printing of catalogs printed out-of-state. Whether local use tax in McAllen, Texas applies to Plaintiff's aircraft. Alternatively, whether the printing service is performed outside Texas. Whether a sales and use tax on the catalogs violates the Commerce Clause, due process or equal protection. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

J.C. Penney Company, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-002496 AG Case #: 062381678

Filed: 7/7/2006

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$4,007,735.00	04/01/93 - 06/30/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Cowling, David E. Jones Day / Dallas
Lyda, Kirk
Schenck, David J.

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes sales or use tax on paper, ink and printing labor of catalogs printed out-of-state; on unidentified transactions used in the CAMS sample; on duplicated software licenses distributed to users outside of Texas; on catalogs and promotional materials mailed and distributed into Texas; and wrapping and packaging supplies used to package

goods for delivery to customers. Plaintiff claims violation of the Commerce Clause and the Due Process Clause, and equal and uniform protection. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Jerman Cookie Company v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: GN101492

AG Case #: 011451598

Filed: 5/16/2001

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$43,121.45	12/01/92 - 03/31/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Maloney, Natalie A. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Williard, Steve M. Meyer, Knight & Williams / Houston
Knight, L. Don

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's sale of cookies and brownies is taxable under Tax Code §151.314 and Comptroller Rule 3.293. Plaintiff also seeks review under the Administrative Procedures Act and the UDJA, and seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Amended Petition filed. Discovery in progress. Plaintiff's Motion to Retain filed 07/13/05; granted 10/03/05. Trial set 08/13/07. Settlement negotiations in progress.

Kroger Company, The v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN403582

AG Case #: 042058032

Filed: 10/28/2004

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$366,142.79	01/01/94 - 06/30/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Kinkade, Jana K. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Cunningham, Judy M. Attorney at Law / Austin

Issue: Whether electricity used in a manufacturing process is exempt from sales tax. Whether

the manufacturing process used by Plaintiff results in a physical change to tangible personal property being resold.

Status: Discovery in progress.

La Frontera Lodging Partners, L.P., Tex-Air Investment Company, John Q. Hammons Hotels Two, L.P. and John Q. Hammons Hotels, L.P. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-004633 AG Case #: 062430566

Filed: 12/15/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$6,958.18	07/01/00 - 06/30/04
\$5,591.87	07/01/00 - 06/30/04
\$31,330.82	07/01/00 - 06/30/04
\$21,811.57	07/01/00 - 06/30/04

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Bonilla, Ray Ray, Wood & Bonilla, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether certain amenity and consumable items such as shampoo, stationery and similar items resold to hotel guests are exempt from sales tax as sales for resale.

Status: Answer filed.

Laredo Coca-Cola Bottling Company, and Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN300575

AG Case #: 031759657

Filed: 2/21/2003

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$6,726.00	05/01/93 - 06/30/96
	10/01/91 - 06/30/96
\$591,086.00	01/01/90 - 12/31/92
	07/01/91 - 06/30/96

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray
Osterloh, Curtis J.

Issue: Whether post-mix machines qualify for manufacturing tax exemption. Whether some of the machines also qualify for the sale for resale exemption, because Plaintiff received consideration even if not valued in money.

Status: Plaintiff filed a Motion for Summary Judgment 04/23/05. Plaintiff to withdraw Motion for Summary Judgment and refile.

Laredo Coca-Cola Bottling Company, and Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN401379

AG Case #: 041964941

Filed: 4/30/2004

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$18,579.66	05/01/93 - 06/30/96
	10/01/91 - 06/30/96
\$443,299.77	01/01/90 - 12/31/92
	07/01/91 - 06/30/96

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray
Osterloh, Curtis J.

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes sales tax on the purchase of money validators due to the integration of the validators into the final product, the vending machine.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Laredo Pizza, Inc., and Samuel L. Alford, and L & H Pacific, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN401507

AG Case #: 041971482

Filed: 5/12/2004

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$34,965.35	07/01/92 - 08/31/95

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Maloney, Natalie A. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Rothfelder, Richard L. Rothfelder & Falick, L.L.P. / Houston
Falick, Michael C.

Issue: Whether prizes awarded by Plaintiff to successful contestants of amusement machines were purchased for resale and exempt from sales tax. Whether the sale of food, beverage and party packages is taxable as food and beverage or non-taxable as amusement services. Whether assets transferred from one subsidiary to another are exempt from sales tax as an "occasional sale."

Status: Defendants' First Amended Original Answer, Plea to the Jurisdiction and Special Exception filed 06/27/05.

Lee Construction and Maintenance Company v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: 99-01091

AG Case #: 991112160

Filed: 1/29/1999

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$31,830.47	01/01/92 - 12/31/95

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Maloney, Natalie A. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Trickey, Timothy M. The Trickey Law Firm / Austin

Issue: Various issues, including credits for bad debts, tax paid, tax on new construction and tax paid in Louisiana, resale exemptions and waiver of penalty and interest.

Status: Settlement negotiations pending. Trial to be reset. Motion to Retain filed by Plaintiff 11/29/06.

Levy, Tara, et al. v. OfficeMax, Inc. and Best Buy Stores, L.P.

Cause Number: GN201252 AG Case #: 041926635 Filed: 1/1/1901
#03-06-00391-CV

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$0.00	N/A

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Storie, Gene OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Perlmutter, Mark L. Perlmutter & Schuelke, L.L.P. / Austin
Schuelke, C. Brooks

Issue: Plaintiff claims a refund for the class of persons who paid sales tax on rebates. Plaintiff seeks declaratory judgment interpreting Texas Tax Code Sections pertaining to cash discounts and exemption from sales tax.

Status: Class-action suit. Comptroller named defendant. Comptroller's Plea to the Jurisdiction and Plaintiffs' Motion for Declaratory Judgment heard 10/19/04. Plea granted. Court requested briefs to address whether any part of case survives the Amended Order dismissing all claims against the Comptroller. Court signed order of severance and Notice of Appeal filed by Plaintiffs 07/06/06 to include all parties. Clerk's Record filed 08/07/06. Appellants' brief due 10/30/06. Appellees' brief due 11/29/06. Appellant filed amended docketing statement 10/20/06 excluding Comptroller from appeal. Oral argument held 03/07/07. Affirmed in part, reversed in part.

Liberty Vending Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN502836 AG Case #: 052198108 Filed: 8/11/2005

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$9,000.00	10/01/98 - 06/30/02

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Martens, James F. Martens & Associates / Austin
Mondrik, Christina A. Mondrik & Associates / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is liable for sales and use tax on sales of food items, soft drinks and candy sold through contracted vending machines located at exempt locations. Whether the Comptroller improperly categorized certain food item purchases as taxable. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief and release of all state tax liens. Plaintiff claims violation of constitutional rights and equal protection and equal taxation. Plaintiff also claims violation of the Commerce Clause and the Supremacy Clause.

Status: Answer filed.

Local Neon Company, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: 99-15042 AG Case #: 001254036 Filed: 12/31/1999
#03-04-00261-CV

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$34,390.24	01/01/88 - 03/31/95

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Sigel, Doug Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff was doing business in Texas by delivering and installing its signs that were sold under contract negotiated outside of Texas. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to declaratory judgment and attorneys' fees.

Status: Plea to the Jurisdiction granted to State 04/07/04. Notice of Appeal filed 04/29/04. Appellant's brief filed 07/01/04. Appellees' brief filed 08/02/04. Submitted on briefs 12/06/04. Opinion issued 06/16/05 affirming trial court's Judgment in part, reversing the Judgment in part, and remanding the case. State's Motion for Rehearing filed 06/30/05. Court requested response 08/01/05. Appellant's response filed 08/11/05. Appellees' response filed 08/19/05. Motion for Rehearing overruled 11/01/05. Motion to dismiss filed.

Lockheed Corporation v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: GN201000 AG Case #: 021583745 Filed: 3/26/2002
D-1-GN-02-001000

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$7,000,000.00	03/01/93 - 01/31/96

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert.

Status: Plaintiff filed Motion to Retain; granted 05/23/07.

Lockheed Martin Corporation v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: GN200999

AG Case #: 021583737

Filed: 3/26/2002

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$3,500,000.00	01/01/96 - 09/30/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert.

Status: Answer filed.

Lockheed Martin Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN300420

AG Case #: 031751118

Filed: 2/10/2003

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount Reporting Period
\$2,837,000.00 07/01/97 - 07/31/01

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Case settled.

Lockheed Martin Corporation, as Successor to Lockheed Martin Vought Systems Corporation and Loral Vought Systems Corporation v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: GN103525 AG Case #: 011523446 Filed: 10/24/2001

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount Reporting Period
\$2,680,000.00 09/01/92 - 11/30/95

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Case settled.

Lockheed Martin Corporation, Successor to Lockheed Martin Vought Systems Corporation v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: GN201725 AG Case #: 021620414 Filed: 5/23/2002

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,857,000.00	12/01/95 - 06/30/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray
Sigel, Doug
Osterloh, Curtis J.

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert.

Status: Case settled.

Lockheed Martin Kelly Aviation Center, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN400625 AG Case #: 041928870 Filed: 2/26/2004

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,025,000.00	01/01/99 - 12/31/00

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert.

Status: Answer filed.

Lone Star Steel Company v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-000500 AG Case #: 062286174

Filed: 2/9/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$350,000.00	12/01/97 - 11/30/01

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Kinkade, Jana K. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Gilliland, David H. Clark, Thomas & Winters / Austin
Smith, L. G. (Skip)

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's horizontal rollers used to alter steel strips qualify for the manufacturing exemption. Whether the horizontal rollers are consumed and become an ingredient or component part of the steel strip during the production process and exempt under the sale for resale exemption. Whether the Comptroller used the proper calculation method for interest applied to tax overpayments.

Status: Answer filed.

Macy's TX I, LP, Successor in Interest to the May Department Stores Company v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-003122 AG Case #: 062403712

Filed: 8/24/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$275,000.00	04/01/96 - 03/31/99

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Maloney, Natalie A. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a refund of tax on industrial solid waste removal services,

purchases of wrapping and packaging supplies, installation labor, purchases for sale for resale, and temporary storage of tangible personal property.

Status: Answer filed.

Mars, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN401349

AG Case #: 041965336

Filed: 4/29/2004

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$726,024.00	01/01/94 - 09/30/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Wolfe, Susan OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray
Hagenswold, R. Eric

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchases of certain equipment and related items are exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing exemption. Whether Plaintiff's purchases of installation labor are exempt as purchases of non-taxable stand-alone installation services.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial set 01/22/08.

Maxus Energy Corporation as Successor in Interest to Maxus Corporate Company v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN404187

AG Case #: 052082260

Filed: 12/27/2004

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,794,780.29	09/01/95 - 12/31/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Wolfe, Susan OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Cowling, David E. Jones Day / Dallas
Lochridge, Robert

Issue: Whether items purchased by Plaintiff to be exported outside of the U.S. by a freight consolidator and not invoiced individually are exempt from sales and use tax. Whether the Comptroller's auditing techniques can assess tax on transactions previously audited and non-assessed. Whether Plaintiff "purchased" or "rented" software, and whether services provided to implement the software are taxable. Whether services performed on tangible personal property provided by a third party are exempt from sales and use tax. Plaintiff claims violation of equal and uniform taxation, and due process. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Mitchell, Christia Parr v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: GN201330

AG Case #: 021604541

Filed: 4/22/2002

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$160,870.48	01/01/95 - 12/31/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Maloney, Natalie A.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Pro Se

Issue: Whether Plaintiff may recover a sales tax refund for taxes paid by a corporation controlled by her ex-husband when the liability was paid pursuant to orders of the court in which the divorce was granted.

Status: Inactive.

Northrop Grumman Systems Corporation (Successor to Northrop Grumman Corporation and Vought Aircraft Company) v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: GN201344

AG Case #: 021607155

Filed: 5/1/2002

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,600,000.00	09/01/92 - 11/30/95

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Bernal, Jr., Gilbert J.

Stahl, Bernal & Davies / Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*. Plaintiff claims that collection of the tax violates the Supremacy Clause as a tax on the U.S. government and that the Comptroller violated the constitutional requirements of equal protection and equal taxation by denying the refund claim. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Northwestern Resources Company v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN500768

AG Case #: 052118247

Filed: 3/11/2005

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$825,300.33	10/01/97 - 03/31/01

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Monzingo, Christine

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W.

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Whether parts, consumables and repair services that Plaintiff purchased for draglines used in its coal mining operations are exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing exemption. Plaintiff claims that the use of a dragline is to remove overburden, which results in a physical change. Plaintiff also claims violation of equal and uniform taxation, Equal Rights Clause, Equal Protection Clause, due course of law and Due Process Clause.

Status: Answer filed.

Office Depot, Inc., Successor to Office Depot Business Services Division (aka Office Depot Business Services, Inc.) and Office Depot of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN503442

AG Case #: 052217601

Filed: 9/22/2005

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,552,785.55	01/01/94 - 07/31/98
	01/01/94 - 12/31/95
	07/01/92 - 12/31/93

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Kinkade, Jana K.	OAG Taxation / Austin
------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W.	Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray	
Sigel, Doug	

Issue: Whether transactions for which customer identities are unavailable are taxable. Whether the Comptroller used the proper sampling procedure. Whether the proper error rate for assessed sales transactions with missing customer information was used. Plaintiff also claims violation of equal and uniform taxation, the Equal Rights Clause, the Equal Protection Clause, due course of law and Due Process Clause.

Status: Answer filed.

Office Depot, Inc., Successor to Office Depot Business Services Division (aka Office Depot Business Services, Inc.) and Office Depot of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-000041 AG Case #: 062269014

Filed: 1/5/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,552,785.55	01/01/94 - 07/31/98
	01/01/94 - 12/31/95
	07/01/92 - 12/31/93

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Kinkade, Jana K.	OAG Taxation / Austin
------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W.	Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
-----------------	--

Langenberg, Ray
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether transactions for which customer identities are unavailable are taxable. Whether the Comptroller improperly extrapolated the error rate associated with tax-exempt copier lease payments over an under-valued population base.

Status: Answer filed.

Olarnpunsagoon, Suchon v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-07-000134 AG Case #: 072436124

Filed: 1/18/2007

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$57,808.30	10/01/00 - 03/31/04

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Wolfe, Susan OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Tourtellotte, Tom Hance Scarborough Wright Woodward &
Weisbart, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Plaintiff claims the estimating method used by the Comptroller's office resulted in a significantly large amount of tax due to the State. Plaintiff claims if actual records were used for the audit little, if any, tax would be owed. Plaintiff also claims the methodology used did not allow credits.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Reynolds Metals Company v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN401468

AG Case #: 041970799

Filed: 5/7/2004

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$828,614.08	03/01/94 - 12/31/00

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W.
Sigel, Doug

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether conveyors and weigh-ometers are exempt as manufacturing equipment or taxable as intraplant transportation. Whether repair and replacement parts for the conveyors are exempt from sales tax as purchases of pollution control equipment used in manufacturing and purchases of environmental repairs. Whether ship unloaders qualify as rolling stock and exempt from sales tax. Plaintiff also claims violation of equal and uniform taxation and equal protection.

Status: Partial MSJ hearing held 12/19/06. Partial judgment granted for State.

Roadway Express, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: GN002831

AG Case #: 001357631

Filed: 9/25/2000

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$713,686.05	04/01/88 - 05/31/92
\$206,053.87	04/01/88 - 05/31/92

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Cowling, David E.

Jones Day / Dallas

Lochridge, Robert

Issue: Whether various equipment used by the Plaintiff with its trucks is exempt from use tax as tangible personal property sold to a common carrier for use outside the state. Alternatively, whether the equipment had been taxed as vehicle components under the interstate motor carrier tax and could not be taxed as "accessories." Alternatively, whether taxing 100% of the value of the equipment violates the Commerce Clause because of a lack of substantial nexus and of fair apportionment. Whether all tax was paid on Plaintiff's repair and remodeling contracts and capital assets. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Trial setting passed. Discovery in progress.

Roark Amusement & Vending, L.P. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-004726 AG Case #: 072431166

Filed: 12/22/2006

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount Reporting Period
\$1,027,105.00 10/01/00 - 02/29/04

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Kinkade, Jana K. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Martens, James F. Martens & Associates / Austin
Seay, Michael B.

Issue: Whether toys purchased for crane machines are tax exempt as sale for resale. Whether the service provided by crane machines is tax exempt as part of a taxable service. Whether the unsuccessful operation of a crane machine can include possession of a toy by the operator and constitute a legal rental. Whether operation of a crane machine results in the care, custody and control of the machine being transferred to the operator. Whether Plaintiff owes tax on rental payments of equipment located out-of-state. Plaintiff claims the Comptroller has erroneously applied statutes and rules, unconstitutionality of Comptroller Rule 3.301 and Tex. Tax Code §151.151, double taxation, violation of equal protection, due process, equal and uniform taxation, and seeks declaratory relief.

Status: Answer filed.

Roark Amusement & Vending, L.P. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-004725 AG Case #: 072431158

Filed: 12/22/2006

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount Reporting Period
\$443,221.70 10/01/00 - 02/29/04

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Kinkade, Jana K. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Martens, James F. Martens & Associates / Austin
Seay, Michael B.

Issue: Whether toys purchased for crane machines are tax exempt as sale for resale. Whether the service provided by crane machines is tax exempt as part of a taxable service. Whether the unsuccessful operation of a crane machine can include possession of a toy by the operator and constitute a legal rental. Whether operation of a crane machine results in the care, custody and

control of the machine being transferred to the operator. Whether Plaintiff owes tax on rental payments of equipment located out-of-state. Plaintiff claims the Comptroller has erroneously applied statutes and rules, unconstitutionality of Comptroller Rule 3.301 and Tex. Tax Code §151.151, double taxation, violation of equal protection, due process, equal and uniform taxation, and seeks declaratory relief.

Status: Answer filed.

Robbins & Myers, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN301171

AG Case #: 031786551

Filed: 4/11/2003

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$23,492.41	06/01/95 - 07/31/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Kinkade, Jana K. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Binder, Henry Porter & Hedges / Houston

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is required to pay additional tax after the Comptroller's administrative order became final. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to the manufacturing exemption for down-hole drilling equipment and whether completion of Plaintiff's facility was new construction.

Status: Answer filed.

Rockwell Collins, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: GN203339

AG Case #: 021676788

Filed: 9/13/2002

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$591,028.39	01/01/97 - 12/31/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Gilliland, David H. Clark, Thomas & Winters / Austin

Issue: Plaintiff claims a sale for resale exemption on items resold to the federal government.

Plaintiff also claims a denial of equal protection and an exemption under §151.3111.

Status: Answer filed.

Rollins & Rollins Enterprises, Inc. , dba Country Kwik Stop v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: GN202097

AG Case #: 021640651

Filed: 6/28/2002

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$45,059.74	08/01/97 - 07/31/00

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Maloney, Natalie A. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Peckham, William T. Attorney at Law / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is liable for tax on food sold from its convenience store area. Whether the Comptroller applied proper percentages for loss and waste.

Status: Case dismissed for want of prosecution 02/28/07.

Sabine Mining Company, The v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: GN401382

AG Case #: 041964867

Filed: 4/30/2004

#03-06-00293-CV

#13-06-00330-CV

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$905,468.12	10/01/97 - 09/30/01

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Monzingo, Christine OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Whether replacement parts and repair services for draglines qualify as manufacturing equipment and exempt from sales tax. Plaintiff claims that the draglines directly make or cause a chemical or physical change to formations, falling within the exempt manufacturing

process. Plaintiff also claims violation of equal and uniform taxation, equal rights, equal protection, due course of law and due process.

Status: Trial held 04/10/06. District court rendered judgment in favor of State. Notice of Appeal filed 05/25/06. Clerk's Record filed 08/02/06. Exhibits filed 08/25/06. Court Reporter's Record filed 08/25/06. Appellant's brief filed 10/27/06; Oral Argument requested. Appellees' brief filed 12/27/06; Oral Argument not requested. Oral Argument denied 01/30/07. Appellant's reply brief filed 02/02/07.

San Antonio Spurs, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN403429

AG Case #: 042050401

Filed: 10/15/2004

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$913,435.03	06/01/97 - 06/30/00

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Wolfe, Susan OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Osterloh, Curtis J.

Issue: Whether suite rental fees are exempt from sales tax as non-taxable rentals or licenses for the use of real property.

Status: Answer filed.

SC Kiosks, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN500795

AG Case #: 052126810

Filed: 3/15/2005

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$146,909.55	November 2004 Filing Period
\$66,251.85	December 2004 Filing Period
\$59,268.75	January 2005 Filing Period

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray
Osterloh, Curtis J.

Issue: Whether telephones purchased by Plaintiff, and subsequently sold to customers who contract for telephone service with a carrier associated with the Plaintiff, are exempt from sales tax under the sale for resale exemption.

Status: Answer filed.

Sharper Image Corporation v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: GN203645 AG Case #: 021686779 Filed: 10/9/2002
Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$264,355.46	07/01/94 - 11/30/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eisenstein, Martin I. Brann & Isaacson / Lewiston, ME
Beal, Kevin J.
Bernal, Jr., Gilbert J. Stahl, Bernal & Davies / Austin

Issue: Whether use tax imposed on catalogs shipped from out-of-state is unlawful because: (1) Plaintiff never used the catalogs in Texas; (2) the tax violates the Commerce Clause; and, (3) Rule 3.346 is unconstitutional. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial to be reset.

Sharper Image Corporation v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: GN203821 AG Case #: 021696851 Filed: 10/22/2002
Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$258,205.20	12/01/97 - 03/31/01

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eisenstein, Martin I. Brann & Isaacson / Lewiston, ME
Beal, Kevin J.

Bernal, Jr., Gilbert J. Stahl, Bernal & Davies / Austin

Issue: Whether use tax imposed on catalogs shipped from out-of-state is unlawful because: (1) Plaintiff never used the catalogs in Texas; (2) the tax violates the Commerce Clause; and, (3) Rule 3.346 is unconstitutional. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial to be reset.

Southern Plastics, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-000047 AG Case #: 062270459

Filed: 1/6/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$4,872.78	11/01/99 - 10/31/02

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Maloney, Natalie A. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Bonilla, Ray Ray, Wood & Bonilla, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether Petitioner's waste from its manufacturing plant qualifies as industrial solid waste and thus exempt from sales tax when removed as industrial solid waste.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Southern Union Company v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-004637 AG Case #: 062430574

Filed: 12/15/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$747,733.01	07/01/93 - 06/30/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Maloney, Natalie A. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray
Hagenswold, R. Eric

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchases of gas pipes, valves and meters are exempt from sales and use tax as tangible personal property under the sale for resale exemption.

Status: Answer filed.

Southwest Food Processing & Refrigerated Services, aka Southwest Refrigerated Warehousing Services v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: GN103390

AG Case #: 011509668

Filed: 10/15/2001

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$188,477.57	01/01/96 - 12/31/99

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Mott, H. Christopher Krafur Gordon Mott / El Paso

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes tax on electricity used to freeze food items.

Status: Settlement analysis in review.

Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN402300

AG Case #: 041998360

Filed: 7/22/2004

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$291,516,385.00	06/01/05 - 12/31/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Storie, Gene

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W.

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Langenberg, Ray

Hagenswold, R. Eric

Osterloh, Curtis J.

Issue: Whether equipment used in telecommunications is exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing and processing exemption. Whether payphones purchased by Plaintiff to perform taxable telecommunications services qualify for the sale for resale exemption. Whether electricity purchased and resold as an integral part of other tangible personal property and used to perform taxable telecommunications services is exempt from sales tax. Whether stand-alone installation labor provided directly to a customer by a vendor or by a third-party installer is taxable.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Southwestern Bell Yellow Pages, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-004500 AG Case #: 062428495

Filed: 12/1/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$6,917,047.67 10/01/03 - 12/31/05

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W.

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Langenberg, Ray

Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes use tax on printing charges for directories printed out-of-state but ultimately distributed within Texas. Plaintiff claims the directories were "manufactured" rather than "purchased" outside of Texas, resulting in the printing operations occurring outside of Texas and used and consumed outside of Texas.

Status: Motion for Summary Judgment due 09/10/07. Reply due 09/27/07. MSJ hearing set 10/02/07.

Spacenet Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-002437 AG Case #: 062380332

Filed: 7/3/2006

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$650,940.41	09/01/95 - 12/31/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Wolfe, Susan	OAG Taxation / Austin
--------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W.	Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray	
Sigel, Doug	

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes no tax because it accepted resale certificates in good faith. Whether all penalty and interest should be waived.

Status: Settlement negotiations in progress.

Stantrans Partners, L.P. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN502648

AG Case #: 052186624

Filed: 7/29/2005

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$326,813.49	07/01/93 - 06/30/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Kinkade, Jana K.	OAG Taxation / Austin
------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W.	Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray	
Sigel, Doug	

Issue: Whether purchases of tangible personal property for ultimate sale as tangible personal property are exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing and processing exemption. Whether gas and electricity purchased and used to process tangible personal property for sale as tangible personal property are exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing and processing exemption.

Status: Answer filed.

Stantrans Partners, L.P. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-004583 AG Case #: 062430343

Filed: 12/11/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$273,088.45	07/01/99 - 03/31/03

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Kinkade, Jana K. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether purchases of tangible personal property for ultimate sale as tangible personal property are exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing and processing exemption. Whether gas and electricity purchased and used to process tangible personal property for sale as tangible personal property are exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing and processing exemption.

Status: Answer filed.

Steamatic of Austin, Inc., et al. v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: GN200631

AG Case #: 021567771

Filed: 2/25/2002

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$103,335.27	04/01/91 - 04/30/94

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Maloney, Natalie A. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a tax refund for repairs to tangible personal property on

the grounds that such repairs were for casualty losses exempt under the Comptroller's Rules 3.357 and 3.310. Whether the claim is barred by limitations. Whether the Comptroller improperly changed the rule on casualty losses.

Status: Motion for summary judgment filed. Response filed. Partial summary judgment on limitations granted for Plaintiff 04/07/04. Case dismissed for want of prosecution 02/28/07.

Sysco Food Services of Austin, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN400465

AG Case #: 041925850

Filed: 2/17/2004

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$92,357.48	05/01/98 - 04/30/01

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Osterloh, Curtis J.

Issue: Whether electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt as electricity used in processing.

Status: Discovery in progress. Hearing on Motion to Exclude set 11/07/06 passed. Waiting for Plaintiff to provide date for deposition.

Sysco Food Services of Houston, L.P. (fka Sysco Food Service of Houston, Inc.) v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: GN100633

AG Case #: 011420734

Filed: 3/1/2001

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$196,492.74	01/01/94 - 12/31/96

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Cunningham, Judy M. Attorney at Law / Austin

Blume, James

Blume & Studdard / Dallas

Issue: Whether electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt as electricity used in processing. Whether equipment is exempt for the same reason.

Status: Pending Sysco Food Services of Austin, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al., Cause #GN400465.

Sysco Food Services of Houston, L.P. (fka Sysco Food Services of Houston, Inc.) v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN302075

AG Case #: 031816119

Filed: 6/13/2003

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$270,401.80	07/01/94 - 06/30/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Cunningham, Judy M. Attorney at Law / Austin

Blume, James Blume & Studdard / Dallas

Issue: Whether electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt as electricity used in processing. Whether equipment is exempt for the same reason.

Status: Pending Sysco Food Services of Austin, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al., Cause #GN400465.

Target Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN502440

AG Case #: 052184538

Filed: 7/14/2005

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$591,242.98	02/01/96 - 07/31/99

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Whether charges for labor under separated contracts and charges under lump sum contracts constitute non-taxable new construction. Whether charges for assembly and installation of display items in retail stores are non-taxable third party installation services. Whether components purchased outside the state and used outside the state to construct other items, including assembly labor charges, are taxable. Whether installation charges for purchases of tangible personal property are non-taxable as separable charges.

Status: Answer filed.

TDI-Halter, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: GN100339

AG Case #: 011409653

Filed: 2/1/2001

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$475,000.00	01/01/93 - 06/30/96

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Maloney, Natalie A. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Langenberg, Ray

Hagenswold, R. Eric

Issue: Whether conversion of drilling rigs to self-propelled, deep water rigs is manufacturing under the statute and Comptroller rules. Whether dredging is non-taxable maintenance of real property. Alternatively, whether interest should be waived.

Status: DWOP notice sent by court 03/29/05. Order retaining case entered 08/04/05. Discovery in progress. Scheduling order entered. Non-jury trial set 01/20/08. Settlement negotiations pending.

Texaco Grand Prix of Houston, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-002510 AG Case #: 062381686

Filed: 7/10/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$48,129.01	1998 - 2001

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Whether rental furniture and similar items provided in hospitality suites are exempt under the sale for resale exemption. Whether additional parking space provided in a parking lot for motorcoaches is taxable as motor vehicle parking and storage service or exempt as real property.

Status: Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment filed. Discovery commenced.

Texas Gulf, Inc. v. Bullock, et al.

Cause Number: 485,228 AG Case #: 90311185 Filed: 6/5/1990

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$294,000.00	01/01/85 - 06/30/88

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Kinkade, Jana K. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Lipstet, Ira A. DuBois Bryant Campbell & Schwartz, L.L.P. /
Austin

Issue: Are pipes exempt as manufacturing equipment or taxable as intra-plant transportation.

Status: Inactive.

Texas Westmoreland Coal Company v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-001312 AG Case #: 062309455 Filed: 4/14/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,007,126.65	04/01/01 - 12/31/02

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Monzingo, Christine OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W.
Langenberg, Ray

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether replacement parts and repair services for draglines and bucketwheels qualify as manufacturing equipment and exempt from sales tax. Plaintiff claims that the draglines and bucketwheels directly make or cause a chemical or physical change to lignite by removing the overburden, falling within the exempt manufacturing process.

Status: Answer filed.

The Kroger Company v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-07-000175 AG Case #: 072435787

Filed: 1/22/2007

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$3,049,056.93	01/01/94 - 06/30/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Maloney, Natalie A.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W.
Langenberg, Ray

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Hagenswold, R. Eric

Issue: Whether paper and plastic bags, refrigeration units, refrigerant, freezers and other various supplies and equipment purchased by Plaintiff are exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing exemption. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a refund of tax on industrial solid waste removal services. Whether purchases of services to restore and repair real property damaged in natural disasters, services to construct new improvements, and non-enumerated services are exempt from sales and use tax. Whether leased property donated for use by a charitable organization is exempt from sales and use tax.

Status: Answer filed.

Tree of Life, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-002103 AG Case #: 062367701

Filed: 6/9/2006

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount Reporting Period
\$200,000.00 01/01/97 - 12/31/00

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Wolfe, Susan OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Cunningham, Judy M. Attorney at Law / Austin

Issue: Whether electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt as electricity used in processing. Whether the process causes a physical change to the products. Whether packing supplies and replacement parts of processing equipment qualify as manufacturing equipment and exempt from sales tax. Whether the Comptroller violated the rules of statutory construction. Plaintiff claims violation of equal and uniform taxation. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Tyler Holding Company, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-004608 AG Case #: 062430350

Filed: 12/13/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount Reporting Period
\$47,129.21 10/01/96 - 12/31/99

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether purchases of tangible personal property by Plaintiff's predecessor were exempt from sales and use tax under the manufacturing exemption. Whether charges of contractors for erecting, dismantling and moving scaffolding are exempt from sales and use tax as a non-taxable service, or taxable as rental of tangible personal property.

Status: Answer filed.

United Scaffolding, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-002270 AG Case #: 062375514

Filed: 6/21/2006

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$897,633.51	10/01/97 - 04/30/01

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Ohlenforst, Cynthia M. Hughes & Luce / Dallas
Villa, Richard D. Hughes & Luce / Austin

Issue: Whether scaffolding services provided by Plaintiff are taxable rentals of tangible personal property in regard to certain lump sum contracts, or exempt as non-taxable services. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

United Space Alliance, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN401174

AG Case #: 041954488

Filed: 4/14/2004

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$975,000.00	07/01/99 - 07/31/03

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Answer filed.

United Space Alliance, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN501793

AG Case #: 052151891

Filed: 5/17/2005

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$881,264.71	03/01/00 - 06/30/03

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Discovery in progress.

United Space Alliance, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN504467

AG Case #: 062267356

Filed: 12/16/2005

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$297,739.30	03/01/00 - 06/30/03

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Kinkade, Jana K. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether security services provided to Plaintiff in connection with services to the federal government qualify for the sale for resale exemption. Whether tax on tangible personal property should be refunded pursuant to the *Raytheon* case. Whether electricity used to

produce software qualifies for the manufacturing and processing exemption. Whether certain software maintenance is a non-taxable service.

Status: Answer filed.

Uretek U.S.A., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-003268 AG Case #: 062405964

Filed: 8/31/2006

Sales Tax; Protest & Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$35,436.95	07/01/02 - 10/31/05
\$21,939.96	01/01/99 - 06/30/02

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Smith, L. G. (Skip) Clark, Thomas & Winters / Austin

Wethekam, Marilyn A. Horwood Marcus & Berk Chartered / Chicago, IL

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to an exemption on drill bits because the bits are incorporated into realty for exempt organizations. Whether consumable supplies and equipment qualify as tangible personal property used in the performance of a contract to improve real property and, therefore, tax exempt. Whether tangible personal property purchased outside of Texas, temporarily stored in Texas, and then used in the performance of contracts located outside of Texas are tax exempt. Plaintiff seeks waiver of all penalty and interest.

Status: Answer filed.

USCOC of Texahoma, Inc., Successor to USCOC of Corpus Christi, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-002388 AG Case #: 062380266

Filed: 6/29/2006

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$110,668.91	01/01/97 - 06/30/01

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W.
Langenberg, Ray
Sigel, Doug

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes use tax on telecommunications equipment components shipped out-of-state by a vendor and manufactured into site base stations which are then shipped back into Texas.

Status: Answer filed.

V.H. Salas & Associates, Inc. v. Comptroller

Cause Number: GN403975

AG Case #: 042071365

Filed: 12/6/2004

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$66,543.64	08/01/98 - 04/30/02

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Kinkade, Jana K.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Lopez, Diego A.

The Law Offices of Diego A. Lopez / San Antonio

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes sales tax on purchased equipment used in the manufacturing of wood and metal products. Whether Plaintiff owes sales tax on electricity used to operate the equipment. Whether Plaintiff was denied due process of law and the right to equal protection of the law. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Verizon North, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-001295

AG Case #: 062309349

Filed: 4/13/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,116,225.00	06/01/96 - 02/29/00

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Masters, Paul H.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W.
Langenberg, Ray
Sigel, Doug

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether purchases of software licenses qualify as tangible personal property. Whether some portion of the software license not stored, used or consumed in or during the manufacturing, processing, or fabrication of tangible personal property for ultimate sale is exempt from sales tax.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Watson Sysco Food Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-002879 AG Case #: 062397849

Filed: 8/10/2006

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$63,720.38	04/01/01 - 07/31/04

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Hagenswold, R. Eric Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Osterloh, Curtis J.

Issue: Whether electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt as electricity used in processing.

Status: Discovery in progress.

West Texas Pizza, Limited Partnership v. Sharp, et al.

Cause Number: 96-11751

AG Case #: 96611633

Filed: 9/27/1996

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$35,247.00	06/01/88 - 06/30/92

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Maloney, Natalie A. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Rothfelder, Richard L. Rothfelder & Falick, L.L.P. / Houston
Magee, Milissa M.

Issue: Whether prizes obtained by collecting tickets from amusement machines in a restaurant are “purchased” by the customer as part of the price of the food.

Status: Inactive.

White Swan, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN304767 AG Case #: 041904608 Filed: 12/18/2003

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$415,185.61	10/01/93 - 12/31/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Wolfe, Susan OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Cunningham, Judy M. Attorney at Law / Austin

Issue: Whether the purchase of electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt under Tax Code Sections 151.317 and 151.318. Whether the process causes a physical change to the products. Whether the decision of the Comptroller violated the statute and long-standing Comptroller policy.

Status: Discovery in progress.

White Swan, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-002987 AG Case #: 062398086 Filed: 8/17/2006

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$219,297.54	01/01/98 - 12/31/00

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Wolfe, Susan OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Cunningham, Judy M. Attorney at Law / Austin

Issue: Whether the purchase of electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt under Tax Code Sections 151.317 and 151.318. Whether the process causes a physical change to the products. Whether the purchases of packing supplies and repairs to and replacement parts of processing are exempt from sales tax. Whether the decision of the Comptroller violated the rules of statutory construction and long-standing Comptroller policy. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Williams, Duane Everett v. Comptroller

Cause Number: GN304667 AG Case #: 031899222 Filed: 12/10/2003

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$50,000.00	2002

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Cooper, Michael R. Attorney at Law / Salado

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's civil rights were violated by the Comptroller's audit and whether the audit assessment should be set aside for lack of substantial evidence.

Status: Answer filed.

Wireless Now, L.P. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-07001038 AG Case #: 072447469 Filed: 4/6/2007

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$29,431.70	09/01/01 - 08/31/05

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Langenberg, Ray

Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether telephones purchased by Plaintiff, and subsequently sold to customers who contract for telephone service with a carrier associated with the Plaintiff, are exempt from sales tax under the sale for resale exemption. Index: Sale for Resale; Sub-Index: telecommunications equipment.

Status: Answer filed.

World Fitness Centers, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: GN201795

AG Case #: 021626239

Filed: 5/30/2002

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$273,005.56	09/01/94 - 05/31/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Maloney, Natalie A. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Bonilla, Ray Ray, Wood & Bonilla, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes sales tax on the discount and reserve amounts of its factored contracts when Plaintiff is a cash-basis taxpayer.

Status: Case dismissed for want of prosecution 02/28/07.

Wyndham International Operating Partnership, LP v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-004260 AG Case #: 062425574

Filed: 11/9/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$31,283.31	01/01/99 - 09/30/02

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Bonilla, Ray Ray, Wood & Bonilla, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether certain amenity and consumable items such as shampoo, stationery and similar

items resold to hotel guests are exempt from sales tax as sales for resale.

Status: Answer filed.

Zale Delaware, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: GN202030

AG Case #: 021640669

Filed: 6/24/2002

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$333,602.57	08/01/92 - 02/28/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is liable for tax on items temporarily stored in Texas. Whether tax on services purchased by Plaintiff should be reduced to reflect the out-of-state benefit of those services. Whether Plaintiff should get a refund or credit for tax paid on inventory. Whether the Comptroller should be barred from off-setting debts in the period between the filing of Plaintiff's bankruptcy petition and the confirmation of its reorganization plan.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Zale Delaware, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN301725

AG Case #: 031806045

Filed: 5/27/2003

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,170,404.64	08/01/92 - 02/28/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to exemption on items of inventory temporarily stored in-state. Whether tax was improperly assessed on services performed outside the state. Whether installation services on counters and software were readily separable from taxable tangible property. Whether the Comptroller should be enjoined from taking offsets pursuant to Plaintiff's bankruptcy plea.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Zimmerman Sign Company v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN500612

AG Case #: 052113065

Filed: 2/28/2005

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$105,046.66	01/01/95 - 04/30/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Maloney, Natalie A. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Whether certain equipment, machinery, parts, supplies and consumables purchased to manufacture exterior signs are exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing exemption. Whether or not Plaintiff is a "contractor" to qualify for the manufacturing exemption.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Insurance Tax

***Allstate County Mutual Insurance Company; Allstate Insurance Company;
Allstate Indemnity Company; Allstate Texas Lloyds; and Allstate Property and
Casualty Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN300968

AG Case #: 031778947

Filed: 3/26/2003

Insurance Premium Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$174,386.15	1995 - 1998
\$10,529.48	1995 - 1998
\$4,013.24	1995 - 1998
\$11,858.40	1995 - 1998
\$7,306.09	1995 - 1998
\$208,093.27	Total of All Above

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Maloney, Natalie A. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Werkenthin, Fred B. Jackson Walker, L.L.P. / Austin

Moore, Steven D.

Issue: Whether Plaintiffs owe gross premiums tax on defaulted auto insurance premiums that are not received.

Status: Discovery in progress.

American International Specialty Lines Insurance Company v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: GN002666

AG Case #: 001351998

Filed: 9/8/2000

Insurance Premium Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$362,975.97	1995

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Storie, Gene

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Hollingsworth, Cynthia

Gardere Wynne & Sewell / Dallas

Frisbie, Jr., Curtis L.

Gordon, Randy D.

Joyner, Samuel E.

Issue: Whether an authorized surplus lines insurer is required to pay unauthorized insurance tax when the Comptroller is unable to verify payment of tax by the agent. Whether the Comptroller wrongfully relied on another hearings decision as precedent. Plaintiff also seeks injunctive and declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Case consolidated into Lexington Insurance Company and Landmark Insurance Company v. Rylander, et al., Cause #GN100569.

AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN501095

AG Case #: 052135712

Filed: 4/7/2005

Gross Premium & Maintenance Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$57,166.00	2004
\$28,583.00	2005
\$849.00	2004 (Maintenance Tax)

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Storie, Gene

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Werkenthin, Fred B.

Jackson Walker, L.L.P. / Austin

Small, Edward C.

Moore, Steven D.

Fitzgerald, Pat

Issue: Whether dividends retained and applied to reduce premiums be included in gross premiums subject to tax under Article 4.11 and Article 4.17. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Stayed by agreement pending final decision in Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., et al. v. A.W. Pogue, et al., Cause No. 484,745.

Fireman's Fund Insurance Company of Ohio v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: GN101899

AG Case #: 011464476

Filed: 6/20/2001

Insurance Premium Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$439,074.12 1992 - 1998

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Storie, Gene

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Alexander, Ricky

Cantey & Hanger / Austin

Welborn, Amy

Issue: Whether Plaintiff, an authorized surplus lines insurer, is liable for unauthorized insurance premiums tax. Whether the Comptroller lacks authority to determine that Plaintiff is an unauthorized insurer, and whether the Texas Department of Insurance is required to make that determination. Whether the Comptroller engaged in selective and improper enforcement. Whether the assessment violates Due Process and the McCarran-Ferguson Act. Alternatively, whether penalty should be waived. Plaintiff also seeks injunctive relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Case was to be dismissed by court unless retained. Plaintiff filed unopposed motion to retain; granted. Inactive until Lexington Insurance is decided. Trial set 08/13/07.

First American Title Insurance Company v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: GN301692

AG Case #: 031806011

Filed: 5/23/2003

#03-04-00342-CV

#05-0541

Retaliatory Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$1,432,580.76 1998 - 2002

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Monzingo, Christine

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eudy, Ron K.

Sneed, Vine & Perry / Austin

Zim, Matthew J.

Step toe & Johnson, L.L.P. / Washington, DC

Issue: Whether the Comptroller properly used "split" premiums in calculating the retaliatory

tax of a foreign title insurance company. Whether the Comptroller's interpretation of the title insurance tax statutes violates the Equal Protection Clause. Whether the Comptroller's policy change violated Due Process and the APA. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: State's Motion for Summary Judgment granted 05/18/04; Plaintiff's denied. Notice of Appeal filed 06/17/04. Motion to consolidate cases granted 07/29/04 (Old Republic National Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al., Cause #GN401630). Appellants' brief filed 08/30/04. Appellees' brief filed 10/26/04. Appellants' reply brief filed 11/15/04. Submitted on Oral Argument 01/19/05. Appellees' supplemental brief filed 02/01/05. Appellants' supplemental brief filed 02/15/05. Opinion issued 06/03/05 affirming trial court's judgment in favor of Comptroller. Petition for Review filed in the Tx. Supreme Court 07/14/05. Respondent filed Waiver to Respond 07/28/05. Case forwarded to Court 08/02/05. Court requested response 08/29/05; response filed 09/28/05. Petitioner's reply filed 10/13/05. Briefing on the merits requested 12/19/05. Petitioners' brief filed 02/17/06. Respondents' brief filed 03/09/06. Petitioners' reply brief filed 03/24/06. Amicus Curiae brief received by Court 04/11/06. Petition for Review denied 09/01/06. Motion for Rehearing filed 10/16/06. Amicus Curiae brief received by Court 10/16/06. Response to Motion for Rehearing filed by Respondent 12/08/06. Petitioner's reply filed 12/22/06. Motion for Rehearing granted 03/09/07. Motion to participate pro hac vice filed by Petitioner 03/29/07; granted 04/04/07. Submitted on Oral Argument 04/11/07. Post-submission brief filed by Respondent 04/19/07. Response to Petition for Review filed by Petitioner 04/27/07. Response to Amicus Curiae brief filed by Petitioner 04/27/07. Post-submission brief filed by Respondent 05/02/07. Response filed by Petitioner 05/07/07. Motion for Leave to file brief filed 05/07/07; granted 05/10/07.

First American Title Insurance Company v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-07-001503 AG Case #: 072452949

Filed: 5/22/2007

Retaliatory Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,219,341.64	2006

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Monzingo, Christine OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eudy, Ron K. Sneed, Vine & Perry / Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller properly used "split" premiums in calculating the retaliatory tax of a foreign title insurance company. Whether the Comptroller's interpretation of the title insurance tax statutes violates the Equal Protection Clause. Whether the Comptroller's policy change violated Due Process and the APA.

Status: Answer filed.

First American Title Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN401631

AG Case #: 041976440

Filed: 5/21/2004

Retaliatory Tax; Protest

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$1,490,029.00 2003

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Monzingo, Christine

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eudy, Ron K.

Sneed, Vine & Perry / Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller properly used “split” premiums in calculating the retaliatory tax of a foreign title insurance company. Whether the Comptroller’s interpretation of the title insurance tax statutes violates the Equal Protection Clause. Whether the Comptroller’s policy change violated Due Process and the APA.

Status: Answer filed.

First American Title Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN501795

AG Case #: 052153855

Filed: 5/17/2005

Retaliatory Tax; Protest

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$2,140,952.88 2004

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Monzingo, Christine

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eudy, Ron K.

Sneed, Vine & Perry / Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller properly used “split” premiums in calculating the retaliatory tax of a foreign title insurance company. Whether the Comptroller’s interpretation of the title insurance tax statutes violates the Equal Protection Clause. Whether the Comptroller’s policy change violated Due Process and the APA.

Status: Answer filed.

First American Title Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-001853 AG Case #: 062359823

Filed: 5/24/2006

Retaliatory Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,020,476.26	2005

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Monzingo, Christine	OAG Taxation / Austin
---------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Eudy, Ron K.	Sneed, Vine & Perry / Austin
--------------	------------------------------

Issue: Whether the Comptroller properly used “split” premiums in calculating the retaliatory tax of a foreign title insurance company. Whether the Comptroller’s interpretation of the title insurance tax statutes violates the Equal Protection Clause. Whether the Comptroller’s policy change violated Due Process and the APA.

Status: Answer filed.

Lexington Insurance Company, Landmark Insurance Company v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: GN100569

AG Case #: 011417896

Filed: 2/22/2001

#03-03-00169-CV

#04-0429

Insurance Premium Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,596,196.63	1992 - 1995
\$36,174.92	1992 - 1995

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Storie, Gene	OAG Taxation / Austin
--------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Hollingsworth, Cynthia	Gardere Wynne & Sewell / Dallas
Frisbie, Jr., Curtis L.	
Martin, Jeremy	

Issue: Whether an authorized surplus lines insurer is required to pay unauthorized insurance

tax when the Comptroller is unable to verify payment of tax by the agent. Whether the Comptroller wrongfully relied on another hearing's decision as precedent. Plaintiff also seeks injunctive and declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Summary Judgment hearing held 08/01/02; Summary Judgment granted for insurers. Notice of Appeal filed 03/21/03. Appellants' brief filed 08/15/03. Appellee's brief filed 11/10/03. Appellants' reply brief filed 12/05/03. Oral argument held 01/07/04. Third Court of Appeals reversed and remanded trial court's judgment 02/20/04. Appellees filed Motion for Consideration En Banc and Motion for Rehearing 03/08/04; overruled 03/25/04. Petition for Review filed 06/24/04. Waiver of Response filed 07/06/04. Case forwarded to Court 07/13/04. Response to Petition for Review filed by Respondent 08/26/04. Petitioner's Reply filed 09/17/04. Court requested briefs on the merits. Petitioners' brief filed 11/18/04. Respondents' brief filed 01/07/05. Amicus Curiae posted 01/18/05. Petitioner's reply brief on the merits filed 01/27/05. Court requested reply from Respondents; reply brief filed 03/17/05. Lexington filed a motion on 03/23/05 to strike and/or seal the Amicus Brief of Varco Int'l. Response filed 04/13/05 at the Court's request. Petition granted 05/27/05. Motion to Strike Amicus Brief denied and Motion to Seal granted 05/27/05. Submitted on Oral Argument 09/28/05. Amicus Curiae posted 10/18/05 and 10/21/05. Opinion issued 12/01/06 affirming Court of Appeal's judgment. Case remanded to trial court. Parties to determine amount for final judgment. Third party filed motion to unseal court records. Hearing on motion held 04/30/07. Motion granted. Settlement negotiations in progress.

Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, et al. v. A.W. Pogue, et al.

Cause Number: 484,796

AG Case #: 90304503

Filed: 5/23/1990

Maintenance Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,616,497.00	1989 - 1991

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Storie, Gene

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Werkenthin, Fred B.

Jackson Walker, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether Tex. Ins. Code art. 21.07-6 is preempted by ERISA.

Status: One Plaintiff has submitted documentation supporting a refund. Case will be concluded in accordance with NGS v. Barnes, 998 F.2d 296 (5th Cir. 1993). Severance and final judgment entered for Metropolitan. Awaiting documentation from other Plaintiffs.

Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, et al. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: 484,745

AG Case #: 90304512

Filed: 5/24/1990

Opposing Counsel

Werkenthin, Fred B. Jackson Walker, L.L.P. / Austin
Small, Edward C.
Moore, Steven D.
Fitzgerald, Pat

Issue: Whether dividends retained and applied to reduce premiums be included in gross premiums subject to tax under Article 4.11 and Article 4.17. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Stayed by agreement pending final decision in Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., et al. v. A.W. Pogue, et al., Cause No. 484,745.

Old Republic National Title Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN401630 AG Case #: 041976416 Filed: 5/21/2004

Retaliatory Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$289,403.85	2003

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Monzingo, Christine OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eudy, Ron K. Sneed, Vine & Perry / Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller properly used "split" premiums in calculating the retaliatory tax of a foreign title insurance company. Whether the Comptroller's interpretation of the title insurance tax statutes violates the Equal Protection Clause. Whether the Comptroller's policy change violated Due Process and the APA. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Old Republic National Title Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN501794 AG Case #: 052151883 Filed: 5/17/2005

Retaliatory Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$234,970.95	2004

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Monzingo, Christine OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eudy, Ron K. Sneed, Vine & Perry / Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller properly used “split” premiums in calculating the retaliatory tax of a foreign title insurance company. Whether the Comptroller’s interpretation of the title insurance tax statutes violates the Equal Protection Clause. Whether the Comptroller’s policy change violated Due Process and the APA.

Status: Answer filed.

Old Republic National Title Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN503918 AG Case #: 052240827 Filed: 10/28/2005

Retaliatory Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$247,928.29	01/01/01 - 12/31/04

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Monzingo, Christine OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eudy, Ron K. Sneed, Vine & Perry / Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller properly used “split” premiums in calculating the retaliatory tax of a foreign title insurance company. Whether the Comptroller’s interpretation of the title insurance tax statutes violates the Equal Protection Clause. Whether the Comptroller’s policy change violated Due Process and the APA.

Status: Answer filed.

Old Republic National Title Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-001854 AG Case #: 062359823 Filed: 5/24/2006

Retaliatory Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$255,144.50	2005

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Monzingo, Christine OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eudy, Ron K.

Sneed, Vine & Perry / Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller properly used "split" premiums in calculating the retaliatory tax of a foreign title insurance company. Whether the Comptroller's interpretation of the title insurance tax statutes violates the Equal Protection Clause. Whether the Comptroller's policy change violated Due Process and the APA.

Status: Answer filed.

Old Republic Title Insurance Company v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-07-001502 AG Case #: 072452923

Filed: 5/22/2007

Retaliatory Tax; Protest

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$268,130.28 2006

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Monzingo, Christine

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eudy, Ron K.

Sneed, Vine & Perry / Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller properly used "split" premiums in calculating the retaliatory tax of a foreign title insurance company. Whether the Comptroller's interpretation of the title insurance tax statutes violates the Equal Protection Clause. Whether the Comptroller's policy change violated Due Process and the APA.

Status: Answer filed.

Old Republic Title Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN301693

AG Case #: 031806029

Filed: 5/23/2003

#03-04-00347-CV

Retaliatory Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$219,626.40 2002

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Monzingo, Christine

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eudy, Ron K.

Sneed, Vine & Perry / Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller properly used “split” premiums in calculating the retaliatory tax of a foreign title insurance company. Whether the Comptroller’s interpretation of the title insurance tax statutes violates the Equal Protection Clause. Whether the Comptroller’s policy change violated Due Process and the APA. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys’ fees.

Status: The State’s Motion for Summary Judgment granted 05/17/04 and Plaintiff’s Motion denied. Notice of Appeal filed 06/17/04; dismissed 07/29/04 due to Motion for Consolidation. Case consolidated into First American Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al., Cause #GN301692, #03-04-00342-CV.

Prudential Insurance Company, The v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN501093

AG Case #: 052137189

Filed: 4/7/2005

Gross Premium & Maintenance Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$230,578.00	2004
\$115,289.00	2005
\$3,426.00	2004 (Maintenance Tax)

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Storie, Gene

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Werkenthin, Fred B.

Jackson Walker, L.L.P. / Austin

Small, Edward C.

Moore, Steven D.

Fitzgerald, Pat

Issue: Whether dividends retained and applied to reduce premiums be included in gross premiums subject to tax under Article 4.11 and Article 4.17. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys’ fees.

Status: Stayed by agreement pending final decision in Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., et al. v. A.W. Pogue, et al., Cause No. 484,745.

St. Paul Surplus Lines Company v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: GN102788

AG Case #: 011490877

Filed: 8/24/2001

Insurance Premium Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount Reporting Period
\$163,021.27 01/01/95 - 12/31/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Storie, Gene OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Jones, Michael W. Thompson, Coe, Cousins & Irons / Austin

Lee, Kevin F.

Geiger, Richard S. Thompson, Coe, Cousins & Irons / Dallas

Issue: Whether Plaintiff, an eligible surplus lines insurer, is liable for unauthorized insurance tax. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: To be determined by Lexington Insurance Co., Landmark Insurance Co., et al. v. Strayhorn, et al. Dismissal notice has been received from the court.

STP Nuclear Operating Company v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: GN302053

AG Case #: 031808371

Filed: 6/11/2003

#03-06-00428-CV

#07-0482

Insurance Premium Tax; Protest

Claim Amount Reporting Period
\$115,287.80 2002
\$125,848.14 2003

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Storie, Gene OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Newton, Howard P. Cox Smith Matthews Inc. / San Antonio

Ruiz, Rene D.

Issue: Whether the independently procured insurance tax may be collected from a Texas corporation despite the decisions in Todd Shipyards and Dow Chemical. Whether imposition of the tax violates equal protection or equal taxation.

Status: Due to order consolidating cases entered 06/27/05, STP Nuclear Operating Co. v.

Strayhorn, et al., Cause No. GN501910, consolidated into this case. Hearing on cross-motions for summary judgment held 04/17/06. Judgment granted for Plaintiff on grounds of McCarran-Ferguson Act and for Defendants on issue of pre-emption. Judgment signed 06/20/06. State filed Notice of Appeal 07/18/06; docketing statement filed 07/21/06. Clerk's Record filed 08/30/06. Appellants' brief filed 10/20/06. Appellee's brief filed 12/04/06. Submitted on Oral Argument 01/10/07. Letter brief filed by State 02/06/07. Letter brief filed by Appellee 02/15/07. Letter brief filed by State 02/27/06. Opinion issued 05/01/07 reversing the trial court's judgment and rendering judgment in favor of the Comptroller. Petition for Review filed in the Texas Supreme Court 06/15/07. Waiver of Response filed 07/06/07.

STP Nuclear Operating Company v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN501910

AG Case #: 052155728

Filed: 5/27/2005

Insurance Premium Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
--------------	------------------

\$154,235.67	2004
--------------	------

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Storie, Gene

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Newton, Howard P.

Cox Smith Matthews Inc. / San Antonio

Ruiz, Rene D.

Issue: Whether the independently procured insurance tax may be collected from a Texas corporation despite the decisions in Todd Shipyards and Dow Chemical. Whether imposition of the tax violates equal and uniform protection or is pre-empted by federal law governing the operation of nuclear plants.

Status: Order to consolidate cases entered 06/27/05. This case consolidated into STP Nuclear Operating Co. v. Strayhorn, et al., Cause No. GN302053.

STP Nuclear Operating Company v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN503375

AG Case #: 052214509

Filed: 9/19/2005

Insurance Premium Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
--------------	------------------

\$529,071.60	1998 - 2001
--------------	-------------

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Storie, Gene

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Newton, Howard P.

Cox Smith Matthews Inc. / San Antonio

Ruiz, Rene D.

Issue: Whether the independently procured insurance tax may be collected from a Texas corporation despite the decisions in Todd Shipyards and Dow Chemical. Whether imposition of the tax violates equal and uniform protection or is pre-empted by federal law governing the operation of nuclear plants.

Status: Inactive. Pending resolution of companion STP case.

STP Nuclear Operating Company v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-002569 AG Case #: 062382932

Filed: 7/14/2006

Insurance Premium Tax; Protest

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$166,950.77 2005

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Storie, Gene

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Newton, Howard P.

Cox Smith Matthews Inc. / San Antonio

Ruiz, Rene D.

Figueroa, Rodrigo J.

Issue: Whether the independently procured insurance tax may be collected from a Texas corporation despite the decisions in Todd Shipyards and Dow Chemical. Whether imposition of the tax violates equal and uniform protection or is pre-empted by federal law governing the operation of nuclear plants.

Status: Answer filed.

Warranty Underwriters Insurance Company v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: 99-12271

AG Case #: 991226739

Filed: 10/20/1999

Insurance Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$416,462.73 1993 - 1997

\$214,893.74 1993 - 1997

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

White, Raymond E. Akin, Gump, Strauss, Hauer & Feld / Austin
Micciche, Daniel

Issue: Whether the Comptroller improperly included amounts not received by Plaintiff in Plaintiff's gross premiums tax base. Whether any maintenance tax is payable on Plaintiff's business of home warranty insurance. Whether the Comptroller is bound by the prior actions and determinations of the Texas Department of Insurance. Whether the assessments of tax violate due process and equal taxation. Whether penalty and interest should have been waived.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial set 11/05/07. Settlement offer pending.

Other Taxes

Arnold, Jessamine J., Estate of, Deceased, and Jim Arnold, Jr., Independent Executor v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: GN203255

AG Case #: 021670484

Filed: 9/9/2002

Inheritance Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$161,956.00	N/A

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Wolfe, Susan OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Martens, James F. Martens & Associates / Austin

Mondrik, Christina A. Mondrik & Associates / Austin

Issue: Whether the IRS erred in increasing the value of the estate's assets and disallowing expenses and gifts.

Status: Plaintiff filed unopposed motion to retain 03/23/07.

Beadles, Joe Haven v. Strayhorn

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-002682 AG Case #: 062385901

Filed: 7/24/2006

Diesel Fuel Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$697,793.00	N/A

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Storie, Gene OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Pro Se

Issue: Plaintiff claims that the State issued a diesel fuel bonded suppliers' permit to Plaintiff without Plaintiff's knowledge, allowing diesel fuel taxes to be assessed against Plaintiff. Plaintiff claims he never purchased or sold diesel fuel. Plaintiff claims the State previously

collected the taxes in question from subsidiaries who sold diesel fuel through truck stops. Plaintiff claims these subsidiaries bought the diesel fuel from an oil company which the State, through an “agreement with the oil company,” exempted from paying taxes. Plaintiff requests that all diesel fuel taxes assessed be dismissed.

Status: Answer filed.

Bryan ISD v. Strayhorn

Cause Number: D-1-GV-06-001442 AG Case #: 062389937

Filed: 8/3/2006

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$0.00	2005

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Maloney, Natalie A. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Bonilla, Ray Ray, Wood & Bonilla, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties in Categories A and B property. Whether the Comptroller erred in its procedures and methods used to properly value Categories A, B and L1 property. Whether the Comptroller’s order on the value study is arbitrary and unreasonable and supported by substantial evidence.

Status: Answer filed.

CarMax Auto Superstores, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN400433 AG Case #: 041921990

Filed: 2/12/2004

Motor Vehicle Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$0.00	N/A

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Reenan, Lara L. Henry Oddo Austin & Fletcher / Dallas

Issue: Whether Plaintiff’s tax collection and financing activities are legal under the Tax Code,

Finance Code and Constitution.

Status: Co-defendant's motion to dismiss granted 06/21/04.

ConocoPhillips Company v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN403149

AG Case #: 042035626

Filed: 9/22/2004

Gas Production Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$539,224.78	01/01/95 - 11/30/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Nielson, Jamie Attorney at Law / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's refund claim fell within the statute of limitations deadline once the high-cost gas exemption or reduction was applied. Whether the high-cost gas refund claim involves the same type of tax as the marketing cost deduction claim which was the basis for the Section 111.207(d) tolling.

Status: Case settled; waiting for Comptroller to release funds.

Culberson County-Allamoore ISD v. Strayhorn

Cause Number: D-1-GV-06-001443 AG Case #: 062390018

Filed: 8/3/2006

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$0.00	2005

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Wolfe, Susan OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Bonilla, Ray Ray, Wood & Bonilla, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties in Categories A, C and D3 property. Whether the Comptroller erred in its procedures and methods used to properly value Categories A, C and D3 property. Whether the Comptroller's order on the value study is arbitrary and unreasonable and supported by substantial evidence.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Cypress-Fairbanks ISD, et al. v. Troy G. Rountree, et al.

Cause Number: 2004-54335

AG Case #: 042056796

Filed: 9/30/2004

Property Tax; Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$0.00	N/A

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Maloney, Natalie A. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Spears, Walter E. Bartley & Spears, P.C. / Houston
Hamilton, Stephen K.
McLaurin, IV, Neil H.

Issue: Whether Tax Code §32.05(c), which subordinates the liens of property owners' associations, is unconstitutional.

Status: Answer filed.

Daingerfield-Lone Star ISD v. Strayhorn

Cause Number: D-1-GV-06-001444 AG Case #: 062390034

Filed: 8/3/2006

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$0.00	2005

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Maloney, Natalie A. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Bonilla, Ray Ray, Wood & Bonilla, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties in Categories A and F1 property. Whether the Comptroller erred in its procedures and methods used to properly value Categories A and F1 property. Whether the Comptroller's order on the value study is arbitrary and unreasonable and supported by substantial evidence.

Status: Answer filed.

liability. Negotiations pending.

El Paso Natural Gas Company v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN501395

AG Case #: 052141975

Filed: 4/25/2005

Gas Production Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$10,517.30	01/01/87 - 12/31/87
	01/01/88 - 12/31/88

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray
Sigel, Doug
Dashiell, Doug

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes gas production tax on Order 94 Payments. Whether Plaintiff is liable for tax on gas purchases as a producer or exempt as a purchaser. Whether Plaintiff is exempt from paying severance taxes as an interstate natural gas pipeline company. Plaintiff claims violation of the Due Process, Commerce, and Supremacy Clauses, and equal and uniform taxation. Plaintiff requests that the assessed penalty and interest be waived, and seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Settlement negotiations in progress.

El Paso Natural Gas Company v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN502628

AG Case #: 052186640

Filed: 7/28/2005

Gas Production Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$41,492.78	01/01/87 - 12/31/87
\$31,595.18	01/01/87 - 12/31/87 (penalty)
\$87,955.50	01/01/87 - 12/31/87 (interest)
\$25,231.65	01/01/88 - 12/31/88
\$44,138.50	01/01/88 - 12/31/88 (interest)

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Langenberg, Ray

Sigel, Doug

Dashiell, Doug

Issue: Whether Order 94 payments are exempt from tax. Whether Plaintiff is liable for taxes as a gas producer or exempt as a purchaser. Whether imposition of the gas production tax on Plaintiff violates the Commerce Clause and Supremacy Clause. Whether gas contract settlement payments or transactions are taxable. Plaintiff claims violation of due process rights under the Constitutions of both Texas and the United States. Plaintiff also claims violation of equal and uniform taxation. Plaintiff seeks attorneys' fees, and waiver of penalties and interest assessed.

Status: This case consolidated into El Paso Natural Gas Company v. Strayhorn, et al., Cause #GN501395.

El Paso Natural Gas Company v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN502815

AG Case #: 052195583

Filed: 8/10/2005

Gas Production Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$2,217,939.19	12/01/82 - 12/31/86
	01/01/89 - 12/31/90

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Langenberg, Ray

Sigel, Doug

Dashiell, Doug

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes gas production tax on Order 94 Payments. Whether Plaintiff is liable for taxes as a gas producer or exempt as a purchaser. Whether gas contract settlement

payments or transactions are taxable. Plaintiff claims that taxes assessed by the defendant is “double-dipping,” and time limitations bar the assessments. Plaintiff claims violation of due process rights under the Constitutions of both Texas and the United States, and violation of the Commerce Clause and Supremacy Clause. Plaintiff also claims violation of equal and uniform taxation. Plaintiff seeks attorneys' fees and waiver of interest assessed. Plaintiff also requests disclosure of certain information and material.

Status: This case consolidated into El Paso Natural Gas Company v. Strayhorn, et al., Cause #GN501395.

El Paso Natural Gas Company v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN503965

AG Case #: 052243847

Filed: 11/2/2005

Gas Production Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,814,098.80	12/01/82 - 12/31/86
\$1,958,296.59	12/01/82 - 12/31/86 (interest)
\$32,615.00	01/01/89 - 12/31/90
\$37,401.27	01/01/89 - 12/31/90 (interest)

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Masters, Paul H.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W.

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Langenberg, Ray

Sigel, Doug

Dashiell, Doug

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes gas production tax on Order 94 Payments. Whether Plaintiff is liable for taxes as a gas producer or exempt as a purchaser. Whether imposition of the gas production tax on Plaintiff violates the Commerce Clause and Supremacy Clause. Whether gas contract settlement payments or transactions are taxable. Plaintiff claims violation of equal and uniform taxation. Plaintiff claims that taxes assessed by the defendant is “double-dipping,” and time limitations bar the assessments. Plaintiff claims violation of due process rights under the Constitutions of both Texas and the United States. Plaintiff seeks attorneys' fees and waiver of interest assessed.

Status: This case consolidated into El Paso Natural Gas Company v. Strayhorn, et al., Cause #GN501395.

Evercom Systems, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: GN503910

AG Case #: 052240835

Filed: 10/27/2005

#03-06-00481-CV

PUC Gross Receipts Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$45,827.59	04/01/97 - 12/31/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Taylor, Andrew M. Bracewell & Patterson / Austin
Storm, Eric B.

Issue: Plaintiff requests review of administrative hearing decision. Whether Plaintiff is a public utility or dominant carrier under PURA definitions. Whether the Gross Receipts Assessment can be applied against Plaintiff.

Status: Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment filed 03/02/06; hearing held 05/03/06. Plaintiff's motion granted in part; defendants' motion granted in part. State's Notice of Appeal filed 08/11/06. Cross-Appellant's Notice of Appeal filed 08/22/06. Clerk's Record filed 08/30/06. Appellants' brief filed 10/31/06. Cross-Appellants' brief filed 10/31/06. Comptroller's/Appellee's brief filed 12/08/06. Cross-Appellee's brief filed 12/08/06. Letter received from Appellant 12/27/06. Submitted on Oral Argument 04/11/07. Judgment granted 05/01/07 ruling on all parts in favor of State. Petition for Review by Evercom due in Texas Supreme Court 06/15/07.

Fort Worth's PR's, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: GN200711

AG Case #: 021573480

Filed: 3/4/2002

Mixed Beverage Gross Receipts Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$36,177.36	03/01/99 - 06/30/99

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Kinkade, Jana K. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Gamboa, John L. Gamboa & White / Fort Worth

Issue: Whether the Comptroller used a non-representative sample to determine Plaintiff's tax liability. Whether depletion and error rates were calculated correctly.

Status: Plea to the Jurisdiction and Motion for Summary Judgment withdrawn. Settlement negotiations in progress. Case dismissed for want of prosecution. Motion to reinstate filed by Plaintiff.

JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-07-002158 AG Case #: 072459811

Filed: 7/12/2007

Cigarette and Tobacco Tax; Interpleader

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$528,756.00

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Kilpatrick, Brian A. Jackson Walker, L.L.P. / Dallas

Issue: Who owns the funds held by JP Morgan Chase Bank as an innocent stakeholder after payment was stopped on a purchase of cigarettes and tobacco products.

Status: Answer filed.

Kendrick Oil Company v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-07-001031 AG Case #: 072445638

Filed: 4/5/2007

Fuels Tax; Refund

Claim Amount Reporting Period

01/01/99 - 07/31/02

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Grissom, Donald H. Grissom & Thompson / Austin
Thompson, III, William W.

Issue: Whether motor fuel taxes should be assessed on all tax-free diesel fuel sold by Plaintiff

during the audit period or just those gallons exceeding the gallonage limits prescribed in Section 153.205(f) of the Tax Code. Plaintiff also claims the Comptroller improperly assessed diesel fuel taxes for sales allegedly not made in conformance with Sections 153.205 and 162.206 of the Tax Code.

Status: Answer filed.

Lake Austin Spa Investors, Ltd. v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: GN203899

AG Case #: 021703913

Filed: 10/28/2002

Hotel Occupancy Tax; Protest, Injunction & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$193,629.45	03/01/97 - 11/30/00
\$59,232.72	12/01/00 - 03/31/02

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Maloney, Natalie A. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Manning, Kirk R. Cantey & Hanger / Austin
Phillips, Stephen L.
Lane, Julie K.

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's service charges are subject to the hotel tax. Whether the charges are gratuities under the Comptroller's rule. Plaintiff also seeks injunctive relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Settlement negotiations in progress. Order to Retain signed 03/29/07.

Mabank ISD v. Comptroller

Cause Number: GV503360

AG Case #: 052185741

Filed: 7/19/2005

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$0.00	2004

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Kinkade, Jana K. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Swinney, Kirk
Armstrong, Roy L.

McCreary, Veselka, Bragg & Allen, P.C. / Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties and whether the Comptroller failed to properly account for the inflationary trend.

Status: Passed trial date and suspended discovery by agreement.

Malakoff ISD v. Comptroller

Cause Number: GV503359

AG Case #: 052185758

Filed: 7/19/2005

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$0.00	2004

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Kinkade, Jana K.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Swinney, Kirk
Armstrong, Roy L.

McCreary, Veselka, Bragg & Allen, P.C. / Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties and whether the Comptroller failed to properly account for the inflationary trend.

Status: Passed trial date and suspended discovery by agreement.

MFC Finance Company of Texas v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: GN002653

AG Case #: 001352632

Filed: 9/7/2000

#03-06-00328-CV

Motor Vehicle Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$5,533,079.80	01/01/96 - 12/31/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W.

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to tax credit and refund as provided under the sales tax bad debt statute for motor vehicle taxes on installment sales where the purchaser defaulted. Whether the refusal to allow a refund violates equal taxation because there is no rational basis to treat installment sellers of vehicles differently than vehicle renters and other retailers.

Status: Trial setting passed. Plaintiff filed Motion for Partial Summary Judgment 03/03/05. Summary Judgment hearing held 04/12/06. Comptroller's Motion for Summary Judgment granted in full; MFC's motion denied 04/28/06. Notice of Appeal filed in the 3COA 06/12/06. Clerk's Record filed 07/10/06. Appellant's brief filed 08/11/06. Letter filed by Appellee 09/07/06. Supplemental Clerk's Record filed 10/04/06. Appellees' brief filed 10/09/06. Appellant's reply brief filed 10/31/06. Submitted on Oral Argument 11/29/06.

Mineral Wells ISD v. Strayhorn

Cause Number: D-1-GV-06-001445 AG Case #: 062389838

Filed: 8/3/2006

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$0.00 2005

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Wolfe, Susan

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Bonilla, Ray

Ray, Wood & Bonilla, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties in Categories A and D3 property. Whether the Comptroller erred in its procedures and methods used to properly value Categories A and D3 property. Whether the Comptroller's order on the value study is arbitrary and unreasonable and supported by substantial evidence.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Mirage Real Estate, Inc., et al. v. Richard Durbin, et al.

Cause Number: 92-16485

AG Case #: 92190294

Filed: 12/3/1992

Alcoholic Beverage Gross Receipts Tax; Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$0.00 N/A

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Mattox, Jim Attorney at Law / Paris
Lasley, Lowell
Mosher, Michael D.

Issue: Whether the TABC and Comptroller were allowed to use inventory depletions analysis to determine amount of gross receipts tax owed. Plaintiffs seek class certification.

Status: Inactive.

Nextel of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN501852 AG Case #: 052154796 Filed: 5/23/2005

Telecommunications Infrastructure Fund (TIF) Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$2,113,301.35	01/01/99 - 12/31/03

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Maloney, Natalie A. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether receipts for equipment sold to customers and listed separately on invoices are subject to an additional TIF assessment as taxable telecommunications receipts. Whether TIF charges which Plaintiff passed on and collected from its customers are allowable reimbursements as TIF assessment. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Phenomenom v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-000658 AG Case #: 062295472 Filed: 2/23/2006

Mixed Beverage Gross Receipts Tax; Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$85,000.00	10/01/99 - 04/30/01

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Hopkins, Mark D. Savrick, Schumann, Johnson, McGarr, Kaminski
& Shirley / Austin

Issue: Whether the sampling procedure used by the Comptroller was flawed, causing an incorrect tax assessment. Plaintiff claims Tax Code §112.108 is unconstitutional. Plaintiff seeks waiver of all penalty and interest, and seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Inactive.

Point Isabel ISD v. Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-002641 AG Case #: 062384979

Filed: 7/21/2006

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$0.00	2005

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Kinkade, Jana K. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Hargrove, Judith A. Hargrove & Evans / Austin
Evans, Jr., James R.

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties in Category A. Whether the Comptroller's order on the value study is arbitrary and unreasonable and supported by substantial evidence.

Status: Answer filed.

Preston Motors by George L. Preston, Owner v. Sharp, et al.

Cause Number: 91-11987

AG Case #: 91133170

Filed: 8/26/1991

Motor Vehicle Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$21,796.00	12/01/86 - 09/30/89

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Pro Se

Issue: Whether motor vehicle tax should fall on dealer/seller rather than the purchaser under §152.044. Related constitutional issues.

Status: Inactive.

Ranger Fuels & Maintenance, L.L.C. v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: GN204124

AG Case #: 021705900

Filed: 11/14/2002

Fuels Tax; Declaratory Judgment & Injunction

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$115,000.00 N/A

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Grissom, Donald H. Grissom & Thompson / Austin

Issue: Whether fuels tax is actually owed by an unrelated company. Whether the Comptroller abused its discretion and violated Plaintiff's constitutional rights. Plaintiff seeks injunctive and declaratory relief.

Status: Inactive.

Ranger Fuels & Maintenance, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN504104

AG Case #: 052245941

Filed: 11/15/2005

Fuels Tax; Refund

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$208,428.70 05/01/02 - 05/31/02 (Diesel)

01/01/02 - 04/30/02 (Gasoline)

03/01/02 - 04/30/02 (Diesel)

05/01/02 - 05/31/02 (Gasoline)

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Grissom, Donald H. Grissom & Thompson / Austin
Thompson, III, William W.

Issue: Whether Plaintiff acquired a business and its assets by filing a sales tax application with the Comptroller. Whether such acquisition was a fraudulent transfer. Whether Plaintiff owes fuel taxes under successor liability.

Status: Discovery in progress.

San Felipe-Del Rio CISD v. Strayhorn

Cause Number: D-1-GV-06-001446 AG Case #: 062390042

Filed: 8/3/2006

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$0.00	2005

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Kinkade, Jana K. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Bonilla, Ray Ray, Wood & Bonilla, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties in Category A property. Whether the Comptroller erred in its procedures and methods used to properly value Category A property. Whether the Comptroller's order on the value study is arbitrary and unreasonable and supported by substantial evidence.

Status: Answer filed.

Stuart, Robert T. Jr., Estate of v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN503318

AG Case #: 052216702

Filed: 9/14/2005

Inheritance Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,293,469.96	N/A

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Wolfe, Susan OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Wheat, David Thompson & Knight, L.L.P. / Dallas

Hill, Frank Thompson & Knight, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's partnership interest located out-of-state is intangible personal property taxable in Texas. Plaintiff claims double taxation.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Texaco Exploration & Production, Inc.

Cause Number: GN400440

AG Case #: 041925843

Filed: 2/13/2004

Gas Production Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$456,608.80	01/01/97 - 05/31/02

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Maloney, Natalie A. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Langenberg, Ray

Meese, Matthew J.

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's initial refund claim, still pending administrative review at the time of filing a second claim, fell within the statute of limitations deadline.

Status: MSJ hearing set 09/12/07. Settlement negotiations pending.

Texas RSA 15B2 Limited Partnership v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN403954

AG Case #: 042073783

Filed: 12/3/2004

Telecommunications Infrastructure Fund (TIF) Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$293,223.67	02/01/99 - 10/31/02

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Maloney, Natalie A. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether TIF charges which Plaintiff passed on and collected from its customers are allowable reimbursements as TIF assessment. Whether Plaintiff is liable for “interest on the amount collected” or “accrued” interest on the amount collected.

Status: Discovery in progress. Settlement negotiations in progress.

That’s Entertainment - San Antonio, L.L.C. dba Park Place v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN400781

AG Case #: 041937228

Filed: 3/9/2004

Mixed Beverage Gross Receipts Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$211,145.65	05/01/96 - 09/30/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Meese, Matthew J. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Osterloh, Curtis J.

Issue: Whether door charges should be taxed by both the mixed beverage gross receipts tax and sales tax. Plaintiff claims that the application of both taxes is in violation of equal and uniform taxation, and equal protection under the law. Plaintiff also claims violation of Due Process and the Commerce Clause.

Status: Plaintiff to dismiss with prejudice.

TPI Petroleum, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN502629

AG Case #: 052186657

Filed: 7/28/2005

Fuels Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$528,639.00	12/01/97 - 06/30/01

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Kinkade, Jana K.	OAG Taxation / Austin
------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W.	Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray	
Sigel, Doug	

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a refund of diesel fuel tax paid on diesel fuel lost by drive-offs, a refund of gasoline tax and diesel fuel tax based on bad debt deductions, and a credit for motor fuel tax paid on sales of reefer fuel.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Vinson Oil Distribution v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-003262 AG Case #: 062405956

Filed: 8/31/2006

Fuels Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$40,711.92	(Diesel)
\$1,861.38	(Gasoline)
	12/01-31/01
	12/01-31/02
	12/01-31/03

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Kinkade, Jana K.	OAG Taxation / Austin
------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Tourtellotte, Tom	Hance Scarborough Wright Woodward & Weisbart, L.L.P. / Austin
-------------------	--

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a refund of gasoline tax and diesel fuel tax based on bad debt deductions resulting from proprietary card usage. Plaintiff claims violation of due process, equal protection and equal and uniform taxation.

Status: Answer filed.

Closed Cases

Advanta Business Services Corporation v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: GN103463

AG Case #: 011514544

Filed: 10/19/2001

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$929,964.11	11/01/92 - 12/31/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

King, Deanna E. Bracewell & Patterson / Austin
Benesh, W. Stephen

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's leases were financing leases and not taxable operating leases under Comptroller Rule 3.294(i). Whether the Comptroller's sample was flawed. Alternatively, whether penalty and interest should have been waived.

Status: Order of Dismissal signed 04/18/07.

American Fidelity Assurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN302070

AG Case #: 031816564

Filed: 6/12/2003

Insurance Premium Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$241,625.20	1992

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Monzingo, Christine OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Jones, Michael W. Thompson, Coe, Cousins & Irons / Austin
Lee, Kevin F.

Issue: Whether investments in "Fannie Mae" and "Freddie Mac" mortgage pools qualify as investments in Texas mortgages. Whether Rule 3.809 (c) is invalid.

Status: Case settled. Settlement agreement signed 02/23/07. Case non-suited 05/25/07.

Apollo Paint & Body Shop, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN300886

AG Case #: 031770605

Filed: 3/19/2003

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$285,284.13	10/01/91 - 09/30/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Tourtellotte, Tom Hance Scarborough Wright Woodward &
Weisbart, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff performed its repairs under lump-sum contracts. Plaintiff also challenges the constitutionality of Rider 11.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial setting of 09/20/04 passed by agreement. Trial began 01/30/06; trial continued. Case to be settled; Agreed Judgment signed 04/20/07.

Creative Closets, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-000172 AG Case #: 062275755

Filed: 1/17/2006

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$115,276.86	08/01/99 - 03/31/03

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Finley, W. Thomas Bell Nunnally & Martin, L.L.P. / Dallas
Sosolik, M. Seth

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes sales and use tax as a franchisee doing retail business in the State of Texas. Plaintiff requests that penalty and interest be waived, and seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Non-suited 10/06/06.

Dillard Department Stores, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN300878

AG Case #: 031770621

Filed: 3/19/2003

Franchise Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$1,646,637.00 1992 - 1995

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Monzingo, Christine OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Ohlenforst, Cynthia M. Hughes & Luce / Dallas
Eaton, Tracy D.

Issue: Whether the franchise tax requirement to add back officer and director compensation to the tax base is an unconstitutional tax on the income of natural persons. Whether the shareholder limit for the add-back is arbitrary, unreasonable and discriminatory. Whether the provision also discriminates unconstitutionally between banks and other corporations and should be limited to officers with significant authority.

Status: Case non-suited 04/23/07.

***Dillard's, Inc., aka Dillard Department Stores, Inc., and Dillard Texas
Operating Limited Partnership v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN203937

AG Case #: 021703947

Filed: 10/30/2002

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$1,100,000.00 07/01/93 - 01/31/96

02/01/96 - 11/30/96

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Maloney, Natalie A. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's sewing machines and other property used to alter clothing qualify for the manufacturing exemption. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a refund of tax on packaging supplies, non-taxable services, and industrial solid waste disposal. Whether the Comptroller improperly applied a franchise tax credit to the assessed amount.

Status: Plaintiff's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment filed 06/20/05. Hearing passed. Hearing on Partial Motion for Summary Judgment set 11/20/06 passed. Case settled. Agreed Judgment signed 02/16/07.

Dillard's, Inc., aka Dillard Department Stores, Inc., and Dillard Texas Operating Limited Partnership v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN304838

AG Case #: 041904590

Filed: 12/23/2003

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,172,784.29	07/01/93 - 01/31/96
	02/01/96 - 11/30/96

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Maloney, Natalie A.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W.

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Langenberg, Ray

Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's sewing machines and other property used to alter clothing qualify for the manufacturing exemption. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a refund of tax on packaging supplies, non-taxable services, industrial solid waste disposal, and sale for resale items.

Status: Motion to consolidate cases granted 11/23/04; case consolidated into Dillard's Inc., aka Dillard Department Stores, Inc., and Dillard Texas Operating Limited Partnership v. Rylander, et al., Cause No. GN203937.

ITS Engineered Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-004318 AG Case #: 062426630

Filed: 11/16/2006

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$10,000.00	01/01/02 - 12/31/05

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Monzingo, Christine OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Martens, James F. Martens & Associates / Austin

Seay, Michael B.

Mondrik, Christina A. Mondrik & Associates / Austin

Issue: Whether products manufactured by the Plaintiff and sold to domestic customers for delivery of the products outside of Texas or the United States are exempt from sales tax. Whether the Comptroller improperly assessed sales tax on sales to customers for export outside the United States. Whether equipment and parts Plaintiff sold to customers who resold the items are exempt from sales tax as sales for resale. Whether the Comptroller's policy limiting the type of equipment qualifying for exemption under Tax Code §151.324 is constitutional. Whether other additional sales, purchases and supplies are exempt as non-taxable. Plaintiff claims violation of equal and uniform taxation, due process of law, the Import and Export Clauses of the U.S. Constitution and the Commerce Clause. Plaintiff requests declaratory relief and waiver of penalty and interest.

Status: Case non-suited 03/20/07.

JBI, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: GN203450

AG Case #: 021681218

Filed: 9/20/2002

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,046,033.09	01/01/93 - 08/31/99

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Monzingo, Christine OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Benesh, W. Stephen Bracewell & Patterson / Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller assessed tax on transactions that were sales for resale or on which use tax had already been paid.

Status: Case settled. Agreed Judgment signed 12/20/06.

Nix Family Limited Partnership, a Texas Limited Partnership v. TWC and Texas CPA

Cause Number: 2006-1952-1

AG Case #: 062380381

Filed: 5/17/2006

Property Tax; Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$871.20	(CPA)
\$14,915.32	(TWC)

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Maloney, Natalie A. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Fontaine, Stephen R. Stephen R. Fontaine, P.C. / Waco

Issue: Whether lien attaches to homestead property and can encumber property held by subsequent owner.

Status: Agreed Judgment signed 03/23/07.

North American Intelcom, Inc., et al. v. Sharp, et al.

Cause Number: 97-05318

AG Case #: 97733563

Filed: 5/2/1997

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$2,029,180.00	04/01/91 - 05/31/95

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Taylor, III, Jasper G. Fulbright & Jaworski / Houston

Issue: Whether care, custody, and control of Plaintiff's public telephone equipment passed to their customers, so that Plaintiff could buy the equipment tax free for resale.

Status: Order of Dismissal signed 12/22/06.

Reliant Energy Corporation (formerly Houston Industries, Inc.) v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: GN103935

AG Case #: 011532348

Filed: 11/28/2001

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$2,581,013.52 1998

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Gilliland, David H.

Clark, Thomas & Winters / Austin

Smith, L. G. (Skip)

Issue: Whether Plaintiff may use business loss carry-forward from non-surviving corporation in merger to reduce its franchise tax.

Status: Case dismissed for want of prosecution 01/31/07.

Sanford, Gerald L. and Clara Krueger Sanford dba Gerald's Manufacturing, a Sole Proprietorship v. Strayhorn

Cause Number: 2005-CI-10903

AG Case #: 052185733

Filed: 7/5/2005

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$0.00 N/A

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Kinkade, Jana K.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Sagebiel, Dennis

Attorney at Law / Seguin

Issue: Plaintiff claims that the defendant established a tax account for Plaintiff's company without Plaintiff's knowledge or request. Plaintiff claims his business, which contracts for, installs and repairs residential roofs, is exempt from sales and use tax. Plaintiff requests declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Non-suited 12/21/06.

Index

Amusement Tax

amusement tax v. sales tax	72
real property services	88
sale for resale	72

Apportionment of Interstate

Security Service

--	131
finality	86
nexus, taxable use	92
use tax--printed out of state	92
waiver	131

Assessment

authority of Comptroller	47
conspiracy	127, 155
convenience store/deli	83
double taxation	28, 35, 79, 84, 85
estimated audit	83
export items	79, 152
liability for tax	27, 35, 67
sales tax	27
sample audit	59
successor liability for tax	35, 49
tax overpayments	78
tax-free fuel	136

Audit

double taxation	79
procedure	107
software services	79

Bad Debt Credit

private label agreement	66
proprietary card usage	146

Business Loss Carry Forward

limitations	5, 5
merger	154
tax credits	5

Cash Infusion

cash infusion	3
---------------	---

Catalogs

nexus	68
nexus, taxable use	89, 89
use tax--printed out of state	67, 68

Class Action

sales tax	73
-----------	----

Computer Software

software services	21
-------------------	----

Construction Contract

lump sum or separated contract	29, 46, 48, 96
--------------------------------	----------------

Credit for Overpaid Tax

inventory or bankruptcy	109, 109
-------------------------	----------

Depreciation

net pension liabilities	2
straight line or accelerated	17

Domestic Insured

constitutional limits on tax	123, 124, 124, 125
------------------------------	--------------------

Electricity

manufacturing exemption	27, 64, 69, 93, 94, 99
processing	59, 60, 91, 95, 95, 96, 104, 105, 106, 106

Environmental Services

new construction or maintenance	28
---------------------------------	----

Estate Values

liability for tax	143
partnership interest	143
taxable gifts	127

Factored Contracts

cash-basis accounting 108

Financing Lease

liability for tax 34
sample audit 149

Food Products

convenience store/deli 87
mall vendor 69

Fuels

bad debt credit 145, 146
drive-offs 145
reefer 145

Gas

manufacturing exemption 93, 94
sale for resale 90

Gross Premiums

defaulted auto policies 111
paid-up additions 117
premium reduction 112, 118, 122
renewal premiums 117
split premium to agent 113, 114, 115, 115,
115, 119, 119, 120,
120, 121, 121

Gross Receipts

apportionment of accounts 7
receivables receipts
apportionment of intangible 9, 10, 16
receipts
Apportionment of Interstate 3
Security Service
apportionment of pension 13
reversion gain
double taxation 145
earned surplus 11
interstate telephone charges 4, 15
inventory depletion 139
merger expenses 7
severance pay 7

Health Care Supplies

sales tax 33

High Cost Gas

limitations 129

Inaccurate Certification

sampling method 128, 129, 130, 137,
138, 139, 141, 143
valuation methods 128, 129, 130, 139,
141, 143

Installation Labor

retail 78
telecommunications 91
equipment

Installment Sales

bad debt credit 138
vehicle financing 128

Inter-Company Debt

collateral 3

Interpleader

cigarette tax 136

Intraplant Transportation

manufacturing exemption 98

Joint Venture

sales tax credits 14, 15

Labor

labor 51, 96
sales tax 39, 46, 100

Leased Property

authority of Comptroller 46
contractor 56
gas generation system 55
location of use 46
ships 46

Lien

community liability 80
homeowners' associations 130
homestead 154

Limitations

administrative proceedings	144
subsequent refund claim	94

Local Sales Tax

consummation of sale	41
----------------------	----

Lump Sum Motor Vehicle Repairs

estimates separated	150
---------------------	-----

Maintenance

real property services	51
sale for resale	47
utility poles	36

Manufacturing Exemption

--	100
alteration property	78, 151, 152
burden of proof	104
candy manufacturing	79
coal mining operations	23, 81, 87, 98
electricity	27, 40, 60, 64, 69, 93, 94, 99
gas	93, 94
industrial solid waste	51, 90
intraplant transportation	25, 83, 98
packaging	65, 78, 99, 151, 152
pipe	98
pollution control	51, 83
post-mix machines	70
rolling stock	83
sale for resale	44, 65, 66, 78, 78, 91, 93, 94, 152
software licenses	104
software services	22
telecommunications equipment	24, 40, 61, 61, 62, 62, 63, 63, 64, 64
useful life period	23

Mixed Drinks

--	145
sampling method	140

Motor Vehicle Property

#Error	
nexus	84

real property services	97
------------------------	----

Motor Vehicle Seller

liability for tax	141
-------------------	-----

New Construction

drilling rigs	97
environmental services	28
finish-out work	33
labor	39, 46, 100
lump sum or separated contract	46, 101
real property services	51
sales tax	39
tax credits	72

Nexus

delivery and installation of goods	74
earned surplus	11, 17
liability for tax	150
promotional materials	26, 43, 43, 51, 52, 54, 54, 55
seminar vendor	6, 44
taxable capital	11, 17

Officer and Director Compensation

add-back to surplus	1, 1, 11, 17, 151
income tax	2
significant policy-making authority	1, 1

Oil Well Services

manufacturing exemption	25
-------------------------	----

Packaging

sale for resale	58
shipment out-of-state	37

Penalty

waiver	22, 131
--------	---------

Pipe

manufacturing exemption	98
-------------------------	----

Pipeline Services

new construction or maintenance	38
------------------------------------	----

Post Production Costs

natural gas company	132, 132, 133, 134
order 94 payments	132, 132, 133, 134

Pre-acquisition Earnings

write-down	13
------------	----

Predominant Use

electricity	65
-------------	----

Premiums

home warranty insurance	125
-------------------------	-----

Prizes

cost of taxable	105
sale for resale	84, 85

Promotional Materials

nexus	26, 40, 43, 51, 52, 54, 54, 55
ownership of	26, 42, 43, 45, 51, 52, 52
use tax--printed out of state	68

Proof

burden in administrative hearing	65
----------------------------------	----

Push-down Accounting

merger	5, 18
--------	-------

Real Property Repair and Remodeling

finish-out work	33
refrigeration	99
vs. maintenance	36, 56

Real Property Service

exempt entities	103
landscaping services	48
rolling stock	24
temporary storage	78, 103

Resale Certificates

good faith	92
------------	----

Sale for Resale

blanket resale certificates	29
computer software	110
contractor	21
detrimental reliance	34
double taxation	35, 153
electricity	93, 94
federal contractor	30, 30, 31, 31, 32, 32, 49, 50, 57, 58, 74, 75, 75, 76, 76, 77, 80, 86, 101, 102, 102
gas	90, 93, 94
hotel amenities	37, 53, 70, 108
incidental lease	48
manufacturing exemption	66, 93, 94
prizes	84, 85
rental furniture	97
telecommunications equipment	36, 88, 91, 107
transfer of care, custody, and control of equipment	84, 85

Sample Audits

compliance with procedures	56, 57
sample audit	59

Sampling Technique

bad debt credit	60
sales tax	57, 81, 82, 140
validity	57, 59, 135

Service Charges

gratuities	137
------------	-----

Subsidiary

valuation of	13
--------------	----

Successor Liability

business interference	142, 142
-----------------------	----------

Surplus Lines Insurer

unauthorized insurance tax	111, 112, 116, 122
----------------------------	--------------------

Tax Credits

deferred tax liability	14
------------------------	----

Taxable Surplus

contra-asset accounts	6
impairment calculation	5
merger	18
natural gas company	8, 9

Telecommunication Services

accounts receivable	18
liability for tax	144
networking services	18
public utility	135
TIF assessment	140, 144

Telecommunications Equipment

components	103
transfer of care, custody, and control of equipment	154

Texas Investments

mortgage pools	149
----------------	-----

Third Party Administration

ERISA	117
-------	-----

Third Party Lender

inter-company debt	3
--------------------	---

Throwback Rule

P.L. 86-272	10, 12
-------------	--------

Valuation Methods

impairment calculation	2
valuation methods	2

Vending Machine Sales

exempt entities	73
money validators	71

Waste Removal

homeowners' associations	42
real property services	24, 25, 51, 78, 99

Write-down

investment in subsidiaries	13
----------------------------	----