



Office of the Attorney General
State of Texas

DAN MORALES
ATTORNEY GENERAL

October 2, 1997

Ms. J. Middlebrooks
Assistant City Attorney
Criminal Law and Police Division
City of Dallas
2014 Main, Room 501
Dallas, Texas 75201

OR97-2228

Dear Ms. Middlebrooks:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Texas Open Records Act, chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 109583.

The Dallas Police Department (the "department") received two requests for information relating to a specific incident. You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.103 and 552.108 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.108, the "law enforcement exception," provides in relevant part as follows:


(a) [i]nformation held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime is excepted from the requirements of 552.021 if: (1) release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation or prosecution of crime; [or] (2) it is information that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime only in relation to an investigation that did not result in conviction or deferred adjudication. . . .

You state that the requested information relates to a criminal investigation into the conduct of a detention officer at the Detox Center. The information is also relevant to the prosecution of an individual for public intoxication. As the requested information relates to pending criminal investigations and possible prosecution, we find that release of the requested

information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime. We note that "basic information about an arrested person, an arrest, or a crime" is not excepted from required public disclosure. Gov't Code § 552.108(c). Basic information is the type of information that is considered to be front page offense report information even if this information is not actually located on the front page of the offense report. *See generally Houston Chronicle Publ'g Co. v. City of Houston*, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ. App.--Houston [14th Dist.] 1975), *writ ref'd n.r.e. per curiam*, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976); Open Records Decision No. 127 (1976). Therefore we conclude that, except for the front page information, the department may withhold the requested information from disclosure under section 552.108(a). Although section 552.108 authorizes you to withhold the remaining requested information from disclosure, you may choose to release all or part of the information at issue that is not otherwise confidential by law. *See* Gov't Code § 552.007.¹

Because we are able to make a determination under section 552.108, we do not address your other argument against disclosure. We are resolving this matter with an informal letter ruling rather than with a published open records decision. This ruling is limited to the particular records at issue under the facts presented to us in this request and should not be relied on as a previous determination regarding any other records. If you have any questions regarding this ruling, please contact our office.

Yours very truly,



June B. Harden
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

JBH/alg

Ref.: ID# 109583

Enclosures: Submitted documents

cc: Mr. David Christopher
Investigative Reporter
KDFW-TV
400 N. Griffin Street
Dallas, Texas 75202
(w/o enclosures)

¹Basic information in an offense report generally may not be withheld under section 552.103. Open Records Decision No. 597 (1991).

Ms. Jackie Ross Tullos
3406 Flagstone
Garland, Texas 75044
(w/o enclosures)

