



Office of the Attorney General  
State of Texas

DAN MORALES  
ATTORNEY GENERAL

March 24, 1998

Ms. Lisa Aguilar  
Assistant City Attorney  
P.O. Box 9277  
Corpus Christi, Texas 78469-9277

OR98-0787

Dear Ms. Aguilar:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Open Records Act, chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 114215.

The City of Corpus Christi (the "city") received a request for a copy of a certain incident report. You assert that the requested report is excepted from required public disclosure based on section 552.103 of the Government Code.

Section 552.103(a) of the Government Code reads as follows:

(a) Information is excepted from [required public disclosure] if it is information:

(1) relating to litigation of a civil or criminal nature or settlement negotiations, to which the state or a political subdivision is or may be a party or to which an officer or employee of the state or a political subdivision, as a consequence of the person's office or employment, is or may be a party; and

(2) that the attorney general or the attorney of the political subdivision has determined should be withheld from public inspection.

To secure the protection of section 552.103(a), a governmental body must demonstrate that requested information "relates" to a pending or reasonably anticipated judicial or quasi-judicial proceeding. Open Records Decision No. 588 (1991). Thus, the test for establishing that section 552.103 applies is a two-prong showing that (1) litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated, and (2) the information at issue is related to that litigation. *Heard v. Houston Post Co.*, 684 S.W.2d 210 (Tex. App.--Houston [1st Dist.] 1984, writ ref'd n.r.e.). A governmental body has the burden of providing relevant facts and documents to show the applicability of an exception in a particular situation.

You assert that the requested report relates to reasonably anticipated litigation. You state that the requestor's letter is a claim letter that complies with the notice requirements of the Texas Tort Claims Act, chapter 101 of the Civil Practices and Remedies Code, and applicable City of Corpus Christi ordinances. Thus, in this instance, you have made the requisite showing that the requested information relates to reasonably anticipated litigation for purposes of section 552.103(a). *See* Open Records Decision No. 638 (1996). The city may withhold the requested report from the requestor based on section 552.103 of the Government Code.<sup>1</sup>

We are resolving this matter with this informal letter ruling rather than with a published open records decision. This ruling is limited to the particular records at issue under the facts presented to us in this request and may not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records. If you have questions about this ruling, please contact our office.

Yours very truly,



Kay Hastings  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

KHH/rho

Ref.: ID# 114215

Enclosure: Submitted document

cc: Mr. William D. Bonilla  
Bonilla, Ogle & Perschbach, P.L.L.C  
2727 Morgan Avenue  
Corpus Christi, Texas 78405-1521  
(w/o enclosure)

---

<sup>1</sup>If the opposing party in the litigation has seen or had access to any of the information in these records, there would be no justification for now withholding that information from the requestor pursuant to section 552.103(a). Open Records Decision Nos. 349 (1982), 320 (1982). In addition, the applicability of section 552.103(a) ends once the litigation is concluded. Attorney General Opinion MW-575 (1982); Open Records Decision No. 350 (1982).