



January 31, 2001

Mr. John Oliver  
Assistant District Attorney  
Tarrant County  
1025 South Jennings, Suite 300  
Fort Worth, Texas 76104

OR2001-0373

Dear Mr. Oliver:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 143788.

The Tarrant County Hospital District, which you represent, received a request for "all documents related to the construction of JPS Health Center - Viola M. Pitts Como." You indicate that this facility was previously known as the Southwest Clinic. You note that a portion of the information responsive to this request was the subject of Open Records Letter No. 98-2155 (1998). You may rely upon that decision letter to withhold the responsive information that was designated therein as excepted from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege aspect of section 552.107 of the Government Code. You now indicate that three additional communication items were generated subsequent to the earlier request. You contend that these additional communications are excepted from disclosure under section 552.107(1) of the Government Code. You have submitted these items to this office for review. You have supplied a copy of the arguments you relied on regarding the earlier request. We construe your current request for decision to incorporate those arguments. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.107 provides, in relevant part, that information is excepted from required public disclosure if

it is information that the attorney general or an attorney of a political subdivision is prohibited from disclosing because of a duty to the client under the Texas Rules of Evidence, the Texas Rules of Criminal Evidence, or the Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct.

Gov't Code § 552.107(1). Although the scope of section 552.107(1) would appear to be co-extensive with that of rule 1.05 of the Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct, which prohibits an attorney from divulging "confidential information," this office has concluded that such an interpretation of rule 1.05 would be in potential conflict with the purposes of chapter 552 of the Government Code. *See* Open Records Decision No. 574 at 4-5 (1990) (construing predecessor statute). Accordingly, this office has determined that section 552.107(1) protects only what rule 1.05 describes as "privileged" information, *i.e.*, information that represents confidential communications between attorney and client. *Id.* at 5. "Unprivileged" information, as defined by rule 1.05, is not excepted from disclosure under section 552.107(1). *Id.* Thus, section 552.107(1) excepts from disclosure only factual information or requests for legal advice communicated by the client to the attorney and legal advice or opinion rendered by the attorney to the client or to an associated attorney in the course of rendering legal services to the client. *Id.* at 7-8. Based on our review of the submitted items, we conclude that two of these communications are excepted from disclosure under section 552.107(1), and one is not. We have marked the submitted materials to indicate the portion that may be withheld.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the General Services Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Michael Jay Burns  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

MJB/er

Ref: ID# 143788

Encl: Submitted documents

cc: Mr. Yamil Berard  
Fort Worth Star-Telegram  
3201 Airport Freeway  
Bedford, Texas 76021  
(w/o enclosures)