



April 16, 2001

Ms. Valeria M. Acevedo
Assistant City Attorney
City of Laredo
P.O. Box 579
Laredo, Texas 78042-0579

OR2001-1503

Dear Ms. Acevedo:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 146017.

The City of Laredo (the "city") received a request for information about the application process of the city's Home Investment Partnership Program and copies of applications submitted to the city under the program. You state that most of the information has already been provided to the requestor. You claim that the financial statements contained in "section eight" of the applications submitted by two non-profit corporations, Habitat for Humanity of Laredo, Inc. ("Habitat for Humanity") and Laredo Webb NHS Homeownership Center (the "Center"), are excepted from disclosure under section 552.110(b) of the Government Code. You have submitted copies of that section of their applications for our review. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

In compliance with section 552.305 of the Government Code, you notified both Habitat for Humanity and the Center of this request for information. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305 (permitting interested third party to submit to attorney general reasons why requested information should not be released); Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining that statutory predecessor to Gov't Code § 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in Public Information Act in certain circumstances). The notice informed Habitat for Humanity and the Center that they may submit to the attorney general, within ten days of receiving the notice, their reasons why the information in question should be withheld. As of the date of this letter, neither

Habitat for Humanity nor the Center has submitted any comment to this office. *See* Gov't Code § 552.110(b) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual or evidentiary material, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that it actually faces competition and that substantial competitive injury would likely result from disclosure). Likewise, although the city asserts section 552.110(b), it has not demonstrated the applicability of section 552.110(b) to the submitted information. As section 552.110(b) has not been demonstrated to apply, you may not withhold the submitted information under that exception. Because you raise no other exceptions to disclosure, we conclude that the city must release the submitted information to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the General Services Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Stephen P. Agan
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

SPA/seg

Ref: ID# 146017

Encl. Submitted documents

cc: Mr. Abraham Rodriguez
Executive Director
Metro Affordable Housing Corporation
2000 San Francisco Avenue
Laredo, Texas 78040
(w/o enclosures)