



June 6, 2001

Mr. Scott A. Kelly
Deputy General Counsel
Texas A & M University System
John B Connally Building, 6th Floor
301 Tarrow
College Station, Texas 77840-7896

OR2001-2355

Dear Mr. Kelly:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 148039.

Texas A&M University ("TAMU") received a request for copies of any and all bid responses to TAMU's bid request number B100466. You claim that the requested information may implicate the proprietary interest of the five entities that submitted bids, and you therefore seek a determination under section 552.305 of the Government Code as to whether the requested information is excepted from disclosure.

Section 552.305 relieves the governmental body of its duty under section 552.301(b) to state which exceptions apply to the information and why they apply only in circumstances where (1) a third party's privacy or property interests may be implicated, (2) the governmental body has requested a ruling from the attorney general, and (3) the third party or any other party has submitted reasons for withholding or releasing the information. Open Records Decision No. 542 at 3 (1990).

You inform us that you have provided notice to each of the five bidding entities as required by section 552.305(d) of the Government Code, and enclose copies of that notice to each. Only one of the entities, HD Vision, Inc., has submitted commentary under section 552.305(d)(2)(B), stating that HD Vision, Inc. has no objections to release of the information. Because the other four entities, Jonestreet Media Arts, Elephant Productions, Eyedeas and Xopix, have submitted no arguments for withholding the information pursuant to section 552.305, we have no basis to conclude that their proprietary interests will be harmed by the release of the requested documents. *See* Open Records Decision No. 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish prima facie case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3 (1990). Therefore, TAMU must release the requested information to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the General Services Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



J. Steven Bohl
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

JSB/sdk

Ref: ID# 148039

Enc: Submitted documents

cc: Mr. Quincy J. Lowman
Elephant Productions
3404 Guadalupe
Austin, TX 78705
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Randall Dark
HD Vision, Inc.
Suite 126, LB 150
6305 North O'Connor Road
Irving, Texas 75039
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Alan Lefebvre
Eyedeas
4137 Newton Street
The Colony, Texas 75056
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Scott Hulan Jones
Jonestreet Media Arts
7026 Old Katy Road
Suite 253
Houston, Texas 77024
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Richard Kooris, President
Xopix
501 North IH-35
Austin, Texas 78702
(w/o enclosures)