



October 24, 2001

Ms. Sarajane Milligan
Assistant County Attorney
Harris County
1019 Congress, 15th Floor
Houston, Texas 77002-1700

OR2001-4840

Dear Ms. Milligan:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 153845.

The Harris County Purchasing Agent (the "county") received a written request for two particular proposals submitted to the county in connection with the RFP for Job Number 01/0102. Specifically, the requestor seeks the proposals submitted by MEDCO and Premier, Inc. You do not contend that the requested information is excepted from public disclosure, but rather have sought a decision from this office pursuant to section 552.305 of the Government Code, which allows governmental bodies to rely on third parties having a privacy or property interest in the information to submit their own arguments as to why the requested information should be withheld from the public.

We note at the outset that this office has previously ruled on the extent to which the proposal submitted by Premier, Inc. is subject to required public disclosure. *See* Open Records Letter No. 2001-4388 (2001). Therefore, as the four criteria for a "previous determination" established by this office in Open Records Decision No. 673 (2001) have been met, the county must withhold Premier's proposal in accordance with Open Records Ruling No. 2001-4388 (2001).¹ Accordingly, we need not further address this particular proposal. *See* Open Records Decision No. 673 (2001).

¹The four criteria for this type of "previous determination" are 1) the records or information at issue are precisely the same records or information that were previously submitted to this office pursuant to section 552.301(e)(1)(D) of the Government Code; 2) the governmental body which received the request for the records or information is the same governmental body that previously requested and received a ruling from the attorney general; 3) the attorney general's prior ruling concluded that the precise records or information are or are not excepted from disclosure under the Act; and 4) the law, facts, and circumstances on which the prior attorney general ruling was based have not changed since the issuance of the ruling. *See* Open Records Decision No. 673 (2001).

With regard to the MEDCO proposal, this office did not receive any comments from MEDCO indicating the company wished to have its records withheld from the public. As no arguments were submitted by MEDCO, this office has no basis for a conclusion that any of MEDCO's proposal is excepted from disclosure. Therefore, MEDCO's proposal must be released in its entirety.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or

complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the General Services Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Karen A. Eckerle
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

KAE/RWP/seg

Ref: ID# 153845

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Scott M. Davis
GE Medical Information Systems Technologies, Healthcare Solutions
6300 South Syracuse Way, Suite 630
Englewood, Colorado 80111
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Jim Long
MEDCO
35 North York Road
Willow Grove, Pennsylvania 19090-3490
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Marna M. Albanese
Smith Helms Mulliss & Moore, LLP
201 North Tryon Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28202
(w/o enclosures)