



November 27, 2001

Mr. Steven M. Kean
Senior Assistant City Attorney
City of Tyler - Legal Department
P.O. Box 2039
Tyler, Texas 75710

OR2001-5482

Dear Mr. Kean:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 155302.

The City of Tyler (the "city") received a request for "copies of TLC competitor bid responses to RFP #01-012 concerning an integrated library automation system for the Tyler Public Library." The requestor also states that she seeks "only nonproprietary information contained in the responses." You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.104 of the Government Code. In addition, you notified the companies who submitted bids to the city in response to RFP # 01-012 so that those companies can claim any proprietary interest in the requested information. *See Gov't Code § 552.305* (permitting interested third party to submit to attorney general reasons why requested information should not be released); Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining that statutory predecessor to Gov't Code § 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in Open Records Act in certain circumstances). We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.¹ We have also considered the arguments of the one company that responded to the city's section 552.305 notification, Epixtech, Inc.

¹You inform us that, due to the large volume of documents at issue, the city is submitting to this office a representative sample of the information requested. *See Gov't Code § 552.301(e)(1)(D)*. We assume that the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988)*. This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

Section 552.104 excepts from disclosure "information that, if released, would give advantage to a competitor or bidder." The purpose of section 552.104 is to protect a governmental body's interests in competitive bidding situations. See Open Records Decision No. 592 (1991). The exception requires a showing of some actual or specific harm in a particular competitive situation; a general allegation that a competitor will gain an unfair advantage will not suffice. Open Records Decision No. 541 at 4 (1990). Section 552.104 does not except information relating to competitive bidding situations once a contract has been awarded. Open Records Decision Nos. 306 (1982), 184 (1978).

You inform us that the city has not awarded the contract for the library's automation system. Furthermore, you state that release of the requested information at this time would be harmful to the city's interest in this competitive situation. Consequently, we conclude that the city may withhold the requested information from required public disclosure based on section 552.104.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Kay Hastings
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

KH/seg

Ref: ID# 155302

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Ms. Natalie M. Stevens
Project Manager
The Library Corporation
Research Park
Inwood, West Virginia 25428-9733
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Cynthia Lazzara
National Sales Manager
DRA
1276 North Warson Road
St. Louis, Missouri 63132-1806
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Bill Mott
Epixtech
400 West 5050 North
Provo, Utah 84604-5650
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Joanne DuCharme
Bid Coordinator
Sagebrush Corporation
3601 Minnesota Drive, Suite 550
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55435
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Dustin Lamm
Account Manager
Gaylord Information Systems
P.O. Box 4901
Syracuse, New York 13221-4901
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Blaine Yates
SIRSI, Inc.
101 Washington Street NE
Huntsville, Alabama 35801-4857
(w/o enclosures)