



January 30, 2002

Mr. Craig H. Smith
Director of Legal Services
Texas Workers' Compensation Commission
4000 South IH-35, MS-4D
Austin, Texas 78704

OR2002-0438

Dear Mr. Smith:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 158004.

The Texas Workers' Compensation Commission (the "commission") received a request for "[a]ll information collected, assembled or maintained by [the commission] since January 1, 2001 related to contracting with Intracorp." You state that some of the requested information will be provided to the requestor. You claim, however, that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.107, 552.111, and 552.136 of the Government Code. You state that you have notified Intracorp and McKesson Health Solutions ("McKesson"), third parties whose proprietary interests have been implicated by the request, of the request for information. *See Gov't Code § 552.305* (permitting interested third party to submit to attorney general reasons why requested information should not be released); Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining that statutory predecessor to Gov't Code § 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in Public Information Act in certain circumstances). We have considered the exceptions you claim and the arguments submitted by Intracorp and have reviewed the submitted information.

You argue that Attachment B and C contain information that is excepted under section 552.111 of the Government Code. Section 552.111 excepts from disclosure "an interagency or intraagency memorandum or letter that would not be available by law to a party in litigation with the agency." In Open Records Decision No. 615 (1993), this office

reexamined the predecessor to the section 552.111 exception in light of the decision in *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ), and held that section 552.111 excepts only those internal communications consisting of advice, recommendations, opinions, and other material reflecting the policymaking processes of the governmental body. *City of Garland v. Dallas Morning News*, 22 S.W.3d 351, 364 (Tex. 2000); *Arlington Indep. Sch. Dist. v. Texas Attorney Gen.*, 37 S.W.3d 152 (Tex. App.--Austin 2001, no pet.). An agency's policymaking functions do not encompass internal administrative or personnel matters; disclosure of information relating to such matters will not inhibit free discussion among agency personnel as to policy issues. Open Records Decision No. 615 at 5-6 (1993). Additionally, section 552.111 does not except from disclosure purely factual information that is severable from the opinion portions of internal memoranda. Open Records Decision No. 615 at 4-5 (1993). We have marked the information in Attachment B that the commission may withhold under section 552.111. However, we are unable to conclude that any of the information in Attachment C is excepted under section 552.111.

You also contend that Attachment C contains information that is excepted under section 552.107 of the Government Code. Section 552.107(1) of the Government Code excepts information "that the attorney general or an attorney of a political subdivision is prohibited from disclosing because of a duty to the client under the Texas Rules of Civil Evidence, the Texas Rules of Criminal Evidence, or the Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct[.]" While section 552.107(1) appears to apply to information within rule 1.05 of the Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct, this office has determined that section 552.107 cannot be applied as broadly as written to information in the possession of an attorney for a governmental body. Open Records Decision No. 574 (1990). Section 552.107(1) was found to protect only the attorney's communication of legal advice or opinion to the client and communications from a client to an attorney where those communications are made in confidence and in furtherance of the attorney rendering professional legal service to the governmental body. *Id.* at 5. Moreover, section 552.107(1) does not except purely factual information from disclosure. *Id.* We determine the applicability of section 552.107(1) on a case-by-case basis. We agree that some of the information in Attachment C reflects either a client confidence or an attorney's legal advice or opinion. Therefore, the commission may withhold the information we have marked in Attachment C under section 552.107.

Section 552.137 of the Government Code requires the commission to withhold an e-mail address of a member of the public that is provided for the purpose of communicating electronically with a governmental body, unless the member of the public has affirmatively consented to its release. As there is no indication that the members of the public whose e-mail addresses are at issue here have consented to the release of their e-mail addresses, the commission must withhold the e-mail addresses you have marked in Exhibits C and D under section 552.137 of the Government Code, with one exception.

We note that one of the e-mail addresses you have marked is an e-mail address for an employee with Fort Bend County. As such, this is not an e-mail address of a member of the public and it may not be withheld under section 552.137. Accordingly, we have marked the e-mail address that is not subject to section 552.137 and must be released. Further, we have marked an additional e-mail address that must be withheld under section 552.137.

The commission takes no position as to whether any of the information in Attachments E and F is excepted from public disclosure. The commission believes, however, that Intracorp and/or McKesson may have a proprietary interest in some of that information. An interested third party is allowed 10 business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, we have not received arguments for withholding the requested information from one of the third parties whose information is at issue here, McKesson. We thus have no basis for concluding that the information in Attachment E must be withheld from disclosure. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 552 at 5 (1990) (stating that if governmental body takes no position, attorney general will grant exception to disclosure under statutory predecessor to Gov't Code § 552.110(a) if third party makes *prima facie* case that information qualifies as trade secret under section 757 of Restatement of Torts, and no argument is presented that rebuts claim as matter of law), 661 at 5-6 (1999) (stating that business enterprise that claims exception for commercial or financial information under Gov't Code § 552.110(b) must show by specific factual evidence that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm). Therefore, the department must release the information in Attachment E.

Intracorp, the other entity whose information is at issue here, argues that the information in Attachment F is excepted from disclosure under section 552.110(a) of the Government Code. Section 552.110(a) protects the property interests of private parties by excepting from disclosure trade secrets obtained from a person and privileged or confidential by statute or judicial decision. *See* Gov't Code § 552.110(a).

A "trade secret"

may consist of any formula, pattern, device or compilation of information which is used in one's business, and which gives [one] an opportunity to obtain an advantage over competitors who do not know or use it. It may be a formula for a chemical compound, a process of manufacturing, treating or preserving materials, a pattern for a machine or other device, or a list of customers. It differs from other secret information in a business in that it is not simply information as to single or ephemeral events in the conduct of the business, as for example the amount or other terms of a secret bid for a contract or the salary of certain employees. . . . A trade secret is a process or

device for continuous use in the operation of the business. Generally it relates to the production of goods, as for example, a machine or formula for the production of an article. It may, however, relate to the sale of goods or to other operations in the business, such as a code for determining discounts, rebates or other concessions in a price list or catalogue, or a list of specialized customers, or a method of bookkeeping or other office management.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); *see also Hyde Corp. v. Huffines*, 314 S.W.2d 763, 776 (Tex. 1958); Open Records Decision Nos. 255 (1980), 232 (1979), 217 (1978).

There are six factors to be assessed in determining whether information qualifies as a trade secret:

- (1) the extent to which the information is known outside of [the company's] business;
- (2) the extent to which it is known by employees and others involved in [the company's] business;
- (3) the extent of measures taken by [the company] to guard the secrecy of the information;
- (4) the value of the information to [the company] and to [its] competitors;
- (5) the amount of effort or money expended by [the company] in developing this information; and
- (6) the ease or difficulty with which the information could be properly acquired or duplicated by others.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 232 (1979). This office must accept a claim that information subject to the Act is excepted as a trade secret if a *prima facie* case for exemption is made and no argument is submitted that rebuts the claim as a matter of law. Open Records Decision No. 552 (1990). However, we cannot conclude that section 552.110(a) is applicable unless it has been shown that the information meets the definition of a trade secret and the necessary factors have been demonstrated to establish a trade secret claim. Open Records Decision No. 402 (1983).

Upon review of Intracorp's arguments, we conclude that Intracorp has failed to establish the applicability of section 552.110(a) to the information in Attachment F. Therefore, the commission may not withhold Attachment F under section 552.110 of the Government Code. Accordingly, the information in Attachment F must be released.

To summarize: (1) we have marked the information in Attachment B that may be withheld under section 552.111; (2) we have marked the information in Attachment C that may be withheld under section 552.107; (3) with the exception of the e-mail address we have marked, the commission must withhold the e-mail addresses you have marked in Attachment D under section 552.137; (4) the remaining information, including Attachments E and F, must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Karen A. Eckerle
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

KAE/sdk

Ref: ID# 158004

Enc: Submitted documents

c: Mr. Bill Aleshire
Hill, Gilstrap, Riggs, Adams & Graham
1005 Congress Avenue
Austin, Texas 78701
(w/o enclosures)