



April 26, 2002

Ms. Monita Johnson-Henley  
Senior Staff Attorney  
Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs  
P.O. Box 13941  
Austin, Texas 78711-3941

OR2002-2160

Dear Ms. Johnson-Henley:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your requests were assigned ID#s 161883 and 162123. We have combined these files and will consider the issues presented in this single ruling assigned ID# 161883.

The Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs (the "department") received a request for "the final rating and a copy of the winning bid" concerning the 2002 Texas Statewide Homebuyer Education Program. You indicate that you have released a portion of the responsive information to the requestor. The department takes no position with regard to release of the information regarding Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation ("Neighborhood"). However, you assert that Neighborhood's 2000 Annual Report may be excepted from disclosure under section 552.110 of the Government Code. You notified Neighborhood of the request for information pursuant to section 552.305 of the Government Code. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305 (permitting interested third party to submit to attorney general reasons why requested information should not be released); Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining that statutory predecessor to Gov't Code § 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in Public Information Act in certain circumstances).

An interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this decision, Neighborhood has not submitted to this office any reasons explaining why any portion of the report should not be released. Therefore, we have no basis on which to conclude that any portion of the report is excepted from disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.110(b); Open Records Decision No. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by

specific factual or evidentiary material, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that it actually faces competition and that substantial competitive injury would likely result from disclosure); Open Records Decision Nos. 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3 (1990).

We note, however, that the submitted information contains e-mail addresses obtained from the public that are excepted from public disclosure. Section 552.137 of the Government Code makes certain e-mail addresses confidential and provides in relevant part:

(a) An e-mail address of a member of the public that is provided for the purpose of communicating electronically with a governmental body is confidential and not subject to disclosure under this chapter.

(b) Confidential information described by this section that relates to a member of the public may be disclosed if the member of the public affirmatively consents to its release.

Accordingly, as there is no indication that there has been consent to any release, the department must withhold the e-mail addresses we have marked under section 552.137 of the Government Code.

We further note that the submitted information is copyrighted. A custodian of public records must comply with the copyright law and is not required to furnish copies of records that are copyrighted. Attorney General Opinion JM-672 (1987). A governmental body must allow inspection of copyrighted materials unless an exception applies to the information. *Id.* If a member of the public wishes to make copies of copyrighted materials, the person must do so unassisted by the governmental body. In making copies, the member of the public assumes the duty of compliance with the copyright law and the risk of a copyright infringement suit. *See* Open Records Decision No. 550 (1990).

In summary, we conclude that the department must withhold the e-mail addresses we have marked under section 552.137 of the Government Code. All other information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days.

*Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



W. Montgomery Meitler  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

WMM/sdk

Ref: ID# 161883

Enc: Submitted documents

c: Ms. Rose Marie Dixon  
President  
The Houston Real Estate Association  
5330 Griggs Road, Suite D107  
Houston, Texas 77021  
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Ruth Osuna  
District Director  
Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation  
South Central District  
4801 N.W. Loop 410, Suite 750  
San Antonio, Texas 78229  
(w/o enclosures)