



June 24, 2002

Mr. Michael S. Copeland  
Assistant City Attorney/Utilities  
City of Denton  
215 East McKinney  
Denton, Texas 76201

OR2002-3403

Dear Mr. Copeland:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 165019.

The City of Denton (the "city") received a request for "the sales contract between the City of Denton and the purchaser of the Spencer Station Power Plant and the sales price." You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.104, 552.110, and 552.133 of the Government Code. Additionally, you state and provide documentation showing that you notified PG&E, the third party whose proprietary interests may be implicated, of the request for information and of its right to submit arguments to this office as to why the requested information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305 (permitting interested third party to submit to attorney general reasons why requested information should not be released); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining that statutory predecessor to Gov't Code § 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in Public Information Act in certain circumstances). PG&E responded to the notice and asserted that sections 552.110 and 552.133 of the Government Code except the requested information from public disclosure. We have considered the exceptions claimed and reviewed the submitted information. We have also considered comments submitted by the requestor. *See* Gov't Code § 552.304 (providing that interested party may submit comments stating why information should or should not be released).

June 24, 2002

Mr. Michael S. Copeland  
Assistant City Attorney/Utilities  
City of Denton  
215 East McKinney  
Denton, Texas 76201

OR2002-

Dear Mr. Copeland:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 165019.

The City of Denton (the "city") received a request for "the sales contract between the City of Denton and the purchaser of the Spencer Station Power Plant and the sales price." You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.104, 552.110, and 552.133 of the Government Code. Additionally, you state and provide documentation showing that you notified PG&E, the third party whose proprietary interests may be implicated, of the request for information and of its right to submit arguments to this office as to why the requested information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305 (permitting interested third party to submit to attorney general reasons why requested information should not be released); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining that statutory predecessor to Gov't Code § 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in Public Information Act in certain circumstances). PG&E responded to the notice and asserted that sections 552.110 and 552.133 of the Government Code except the requested information from public disclosure. We have considered the exceptions claimed and reviewed the submitted information. We have also considered comments submitted by the requestor. *See* Gov't Code § 552.304 (providing that interested party may submit comments stating why information should or should not be released).

Section 552.133 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure a public power utility's information related to a competitive matter. Section 552.133(b) provides:

Information or records are excepted from the requirements of Section 552.021 if the information or records are reasonably related to a competitive matter, as defined in this section. Excepted information or records include the text of any resolution of the public power utility governing body determining which issues, activities, or matters constitute competitive matters. Information or records of a municipally owned utility that are reasonably related to a competitive matter are not subject to disclosure under this chapter, whether or not, under the Utilities Code, the municipally owned utility has adopted customer choice or serves in a multiply certificated service area. This section does not limit the right of a public power utility governing body to withhold from disclosure information deemed to be within the scope of any other exception provided for in this chapter, subject to the provisions of this chapter.

Gov't Code § 552.133(b). A "competitive matter" is defined as a matter the public power utility governing body in good faith determines by vote to be related to the public power utility's competitive activity, and the release of which would give an advantage to competitors or prospective competitors. Gov't Code § 552.133(a)(3). Section 552.133(a)(3) lists thirteen categories of information that may not be deemed competitive matters. The attorney general may conclude that section 552.133 is inapplicable to the requested information only if, based on the information provided, the attorney general determines the public power utility governing body has not acted in good faith in determining that the issue, matter, or activity is a competitive matter or that the information requested is not reasonably related to a competitive matter. Gov't Code § 552.133(c).

The city council passed a resolution by vote pursuant to section 552.133 in which it defined the requested information to be within the scope of the term "competitive matter." The requested information is not clearly among the thirteen categories of information expressly exempted from the definition of competitive matter, and we have no evidence that the city council failed to act in good faith. Consequently, we agree that the information requested is a competitive matter in accordance with the city's resolution and, therefore, is excepted from disclosure pursuant to section 552.133.<sup>1</sup>

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

---

<sup>1</sup>As section 552.133 is dispositive, we do not address the other claimed exceptions.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325.

Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Cindy Nettles  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

CN/jh

Ref: ID# 165019

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Pat Butler  
Young County Appraisal District  
P.O. Box 337  
Graham, Texas 76450  
(w/o enclosures)

Perdue, Brandon, Fielder, Collins & Mott, L.L.P.  
Attorneys at Law  
P.O. Box 8188  
Wichita Falls, Texas 76307-8188  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. James A. Tramuto  
Vice President, External Relations  
PG&E National Energy Group  
1100 Louisiana, Suite 1650  
Houston, Texas 77002  
(w/o enclosures)