OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL · STATE OF TEXAS
JOHN CORNYN

August 6, 2002

Ms. Jo Ann Collier
Feldman & Rogers, L.L.P.
517 Soledad Street
San Antonio, Texas 78205

OR2002-4315

Dear Ms. Collier:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 166844.

The North East Independent School District (the "school district"), which you represent, received three requests for the investigative report relating to the Reagan High School golf coach. One of the requestors also seeks "all related correspondence, memorandums [sic], notes, and/or writings regarding the said report." You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.103 of the Government Code. One of the requestors has submitted arguments regarding why the information should be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.304 (permitting member of the public to submit to attorney general reasons why requested information should or should not be released). We have considered the exception you claim and the comments of this requestor, and have reviewed the submitted information.

We note that the requested information constitutes a completed investigation that is subject to release pursuant to section 552.022(a)(1) of the Government Code. Section 552.022 makes "a completed report, audit, evaluation, or investigation made of, for, or by a governmental body" public information unless expressly made confidential under other law or "except as provided by [s]ection 552.108[.]" Gov't Code § 552.022(a)(1). Section 552.103 is a discretionary exception under the Public Information Act and is, therefore, not "other law" that makes the completed investigation confidential. *See* Open Records Decision No. 551 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.103 serves only to protect a governmental body's position in litigation and does not itself make information confidential). Therefore, you may not withhold the completed investigation from disclosure under section 552.103 of the Government Code.

We further note, however, that you have redacted information from some of the documents at issue that appears to consist of the names of students, which must be withheld from the public pursuant to the federal Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1974

("FERPA"), 20 U.S.C. § 1232g. FERPA provides that no federal funds will be made available under any applicable program to an educational agency or institution that releases personally identifiable information, other than directory information, contained in a student's education records to anyone but certain enumerated federal, state, and local officials and institutions, unless otherwise authorized by the student. *See* 20 U.S.C. § 1232g(d). "Education records" are those records that contain information directly related to a student and are maintained by an educational agency or institution or by a person acting for such agency or institution. *Id.* § 1232g(a)(4)(A).

Information must be withheld from required public disclosure under FERPA only to the extent "reasonable and necessary to avoid personally identifying a particular student." Open Records Decision Nos. 332 (1982), 206 (1978). The school district has withheld the redacted students' names pursuant to FERPA and Open Records Decision 634 (1995) (an educational agency or institution may withhold from public disclosure information protected by FERPA without necessity of requesting attorney general decision). The remaining submitted information must be released to the requestors.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Kristen Bates
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

KAB/seg

Ref: ID# 166844

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. August Todouze
The Law Offices of August Toudouze
1800 McCullough Avenue
San Antonio, Texas 78212
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Ann Marie DeIasi
3402 Nightfall
San Antonio, Texas 78259
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. & Mrs. Steve Johnson
21134 Encino Stone
San Antonio, Texas 78259
(w/o enclosures)