



September 6, 2002

Ms. Carol Longoria  
Office of the General Counsel  
University of Texas System  
201 West Seventh Street  
Austin, Texas 78701-2902

OR2002-4997

Dear Ms. Longoria:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 168238.

The University of Texas at Austin (the “university”) received a request for “a Copy of the Chemical Store contract.” You inform us that you will release most of the requested information but assert that Fisher Scientific Company, L.L.C. (“Fisher”) may have a proprietary interest in the information submitted as Exhibits C and D. Although you take no position regarding the proprietary nature of this information, you have notified Fisher of the request for information and its opportunity to submit comments to this office. *See Gov’t Code § 552.305* (permitting interested third party to submit to attorney general reasons why requested information should not be released); *Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990)* (determining that statutory predecessor to Gov’t Code § 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception to disclosure in certain circumstances). Fisher asserts that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure by section 552.110 of the Government Code. We have considered the claimed exception and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note that section 552.022(a) provides in pertinent part:

Without limiting the amount or kind of information that is public information under this chapter, the following categories of information are public information and not excepted from required disclosure under this chapter unless they are expressly confidential under other law:

...

(3) information in an account, voucher, or contract relating to the receipt or expenditure of public or other funds by a governmental body[.]

Gov't Code § 552.022(a)(3). The submitted information is part of a contract relating to the university's receipt or expenditure of public funds and is public unless confidential under other law. Fisher claims that portions of the contract are excepted under section 552.110(b) of the Government Code, which is "other law" that makes information confidential. Therefore, we will consider Fisher's arguments.

Section 552.110(b) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "[c]ommercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained[.]" Gov't Code § 552.110(b). Section 552.110(b) requires a specific factual or evidentiary showing, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that substantial competitive injury would likely result from release of the information at issue. *See* Open Records Decision No. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (stating that business enterprise must show by specific factual evidence that release of information would cause it substantial competitive harm); *see also National Parks & Conservation Ass'n v. Morton*, 498 F.2d 765 (D.C. Cir. 1974).

Fisher argues that Exhibits C and D constitute commercial or financial information, the release of which would cause the company substantial competitive harm. Having considered Fisher's arguments and reviewed the submitted information, we conclude that Fisher has demonstrated the applicability of section 552.110(b) to the submitted information and has demonstrated that their release would cause the company substantial competitive harm. Accordingly, we find that the university must withhold Exhibits C and D pursuant to section 552.110(b) of the Government Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the

governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).


If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Denis C. McElroy  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

DCM/seg

Ref: ID# 168238

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Ms. Caroline Martinez  
VWR International  
1050 Satellite Boulevard  
Suwanne, Georgia 30024  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Alan M. Doernberg  
Associate General Counsel  
Fisher Scientific Company, L.L.C.  
2000 Park Lane  
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15275  
(w/o enclosures)