



September 10, 2002

Ms. Melissa L. Barloco
Assistant County Attorney
Harris County
1019 Congress, 15th Floor
Houston, Texas 77002-1700

OR2002-5039

Dear Ms. Barloco:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 168365.

The Harris County Sheriff (the “sheriff”) received a request for information pertaining to a specified motor vehicle accident, all other accident files for the years 1999, 2000, 2001, and 2002 involving a named deputy, and certain personnel information pertaining to the requestor as well as another named sheriff’s deputy. You inform us that you have released the requested information pertaining to the requestor but claim that the remaining requested information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.103, 552.108, 552.117, and 552.130 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.103(a), the “litigation exception,” excepts from disclosure information relating to litigation to which the state or a political subdivision is or may be a party. The sheriff has the burden of providing relevant facts and documents to show that the section 552.103(a) exception is applicable in a particular situation. The test for meeting this burden is a showing that (1) litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated on the date the governmental body received the information request, and (2) the information at issue is related to that litigation. *University of Tex. Law Sch. v. Texas Legal Found.*, 958 S.W.2d 479, 481 (Tex. App.--Austin 1997, no pet.); *Heard v. Houston Post Co.*, 684 S.W.2d 210, 212 (Tex. App.--

Houston [1st Dist.] 1984, writ ref'd n.r.e.); Open Records Decision No. 551 at 4 (1990). The sheriff must meet both prongs of this test for information to be excepted under section 552.103(a).

The mere chance of litigation will not trigger section 552.103(a). Open Records Decision No. 452 at 4 (1986). To demonstrate that litigation is reasonably anticipated, the governmental body must furnish *concrete* evidence that litigation involving a specific matter is realistically contemplated and is more than mere conjecture. *Id.* Whether litigation is reasonably anticipated must be determined on a case-by-case basis. Open Records Decision No. 452 at 4 (1986).

You have submitted information to this office showing that the requestor has filed a complaint with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC"), and that this complaint was pending on the date the sheriff received the records request. This office has stated that a pending EEOC complaint indicates litigation is reasonably anticipated. Open Records Decision Nos. 386 at 2 (1983), 336 at 1 (1982). By showing that the complaint filed with the EEOC is pending, you have shown that litigation is reasonably anticipated. Our review of the records submitted as Exhibit C shows that they are related to anticipated litigation for purposes of section 552.103(a). Thus, you may withhold the requested information in Exhibit C pursuant to section 552.103(a).

Generally, however, once information has been obtained by all parties to the litigation through discovery or otherwise, no section 552.103(a) interest exists with respect to that information. Open Records Decision Nos. 349 (1982), 320 (1982). Thus, information that has either been obtained from or provided to the opposing party in the anticipated litigation is not excepted from disclosure under section 552.103(a), and it must be disclosed. Further, the applicability of section 552.103(a) ends once the litigation has been concluded. Attorney General Opinion MW-575 (1982); Open Records Decision No. 350 (1982).

We conclude, however, that you have not demonstrated how the information in submitted Exhibit B is related to the anticipated litigation. Therefore, we will address your other arguments for withholding the information in Exhibit B.

First, we note that section 552.101 excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision," and encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy. Common-law privacy protects information if (1) the information contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) the information is not of legitimate concern to the public. *Industrial Found. v. Texas Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976), *cert. denied*, 430 U.S. 931 (1977). This office has found that personal financial information not relating to the financial transaction between an individual and a governmental body is protected by common-law privacy, *see* Open Records Decision Nos. 600 (1992), 545 (1990). Exhibit B includes a small amount of personal financial information

which is protected from disclosure under common-law privacy. We have marked this information.

Also included among the documents you seek to withhold in Exhibit B are accident report forms that appears to have been completed pursuant to chapter 550 of the Transportation Code. *See* Transp. Code § 550.064 (officer's accident report). You claim that the accident reports contained in the file are excepted from disclosure by section 550.065(b) of the Transportation Code. Section 550.065(b) states that except as provided by subsection (c), accident reports are privileged and confidential. The Seventy-seventh Legislature amended section 550.065(c)(4) to provide for release of accident reports to a person who provides two of the following three pieces of information: (1) date of the accident; (2) name of any person involved in the accident; and (3) specific location of the accident. *See* Act of May 22, 2001, 77th Leg., R.S., H.B. 1544, § 5 (to be codified at Transp. Code § 550.065(c)(4)). Under this provision, the Department of Public Safety or another governmental entity is required to release a copy of an accident report to a person who provides the agency with two or more pieces of information specified by the statute. *Id.* In the situation at hand, the requestor has not provided the department with two of the three pieces of information. Thus, you must withhold the accident reports you have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 550.065(b).

You also assert that an offense report in Exhibit B is excepted under section 552.108(a)(2) of the Government Code. Section 552.108(a)(2) excepts from disclosure information concerning an investigation that concluded in a result other than conviction or deferred adjudication. A governmental body claiming section 552.108(a)(2) must demonstrate that the requested information relates to a criminal investigation that has concluded in a final result other than a conviction or deferred adjudication. You state that the offense report at issue pertains to a closed case in which no charges were filed. Therefore, we agree that section 552.108(a)(2) is applicable.

However, section 552.108 is inapplicable to basic information about an arrested person, an arrest, or a crime. Gov't Code § 552.108(c). We believe such basic information refers to the information held to be public in *Houston Chronicle Publishing Co. v. City of Houston*, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ. App. --Houston [14th Dist.] 1975), *writ ref'd n.r.e. per curiam*, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976). Thus, with the exception of the basic front page offense and arrest information, you may withhold from disclosure the offense report information you have marked in Exhibit B based on section 552.108(a)(2).

You further contend that portions of the information in Exhibit B are excepted from disclosure under section 552.117(2) of the Government Code. Section 552.117(2) provides:

Information is excepted from [required public disclosure] if it is information that relates to the home address, home telephone number, or social security number, or that reveals whether the following person has family members:

- ...
- (2) a peace officer as defined by Article 2.12, Code of Criminal Procedure, or a security officer commissioned under Section 51.212, Education Code, regardless of whether the officer complies with Section 552.024.

Thus, the sheriff must withhold those portions of the submitted documents that reveal a licensed peace officer's home address, home telephone number, social security number, and family member information. See Open Records Decision No. 670 at 5-6 (2001) (governmental body "may withhold home addresses and home telephone numbers of peace officers, in addition to social security numbers and information that reveals whether the peace officer or security officer has family members, without the necessity of requesting an Attorney General decision as to whether the exception under section 552.117(2) applies"). We agree that the sheriff must withhold the information you have marked under section 552.117(2). We have marked one additional document that contains information that must be withheld under section 552.117(2).

Finally, you argue that driver's license, license plate, and vehicle identification information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.130 of the Government Code. Section 552.130 provides in relevant part:

(a) Information is excepted from the requirement of Section 552.021 if the information relates to:

- (1) a motor vehicle operator's or driver's license or permit issued by an agency of this state; [or]
- (2) a motor vehicle title or registration issued by an agency of this state[.]

We agree that the sheriff must withhold the information you have marked under section 552.130. We have marked one additional document that contains information that must be withheld under section 552.130.

To summarize, the sheriff may withhold the information in Exhibit C in its entirety under section 552.103. Portions of the information in Exhibit B must be withheld under sections 552.101, 552.117(2) and 552.130. The accident reports you have marked in Exhibit B must be withheld under section 552.101 in conjunction with section 550.065(b) of the Transportation Code. A portion of the information in Exhibit B may be withheld under section 552.108(a)(2). The remainder of the information in Exhibit B must be released to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code

§ 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Michael A. Pearle
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

MAP/jh

Ref: ID# 168365

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Robert Amboree, President
Afro-American Sheriff's Deputy League
3333 Fannin Street, Suite 103-A
Houston, Texas 77004
(w/o enclosures)