OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL · STATE OF TEXAS
JOHN CORNYN

September 25, 2002

Ms. Stephanie Bergeron
Director, Environmental Law Division
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas, 78711-3087

OR2002-5396

Dear Ms. Bergeron:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 169630.

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (the "commission") received a request for all records "in relation to any facility permitting, compliance, enforcement and/or past or present site contamination or remediation related to the Grupo Minsa facility." You advise that you have released some of the requested information. You claim that the submitted information may be excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.110 of the Government Code. You make no arguments and take no position as to whether the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.110. You state that the information was marked confidential by Grupo Minsa's predecessor in interest. We note that information is not made confidential under the Public Information Act (the "Act") simply because the party submitting the information anticipates or requests that it be kept confidential. *See Industrial Found. v. Texas Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 677 (Tex. 1976), *cert. denied* 430 U.S. 931 (1977), Open Records Decision No. 479 (1987). *See also* Open Records Decision No. 203 (1978) (mere expectation of confidentiality by individual supplying information does not properly invoke section 552.110). You state, and provide documentation showing, that you have notified Grupo Minsa, the interested third party whose proprietary interests may be implicated by the request, of the request for information. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305 (permitting interested third party to submit to attorney general reasons why requested information should not be released); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining that statutory predecessor to Gov't Code § 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances).

An interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, Grupo Minsa has not submitted to this office its reasons explaining why its information should not be released. Therefore, Grupo Minsa has provided us no basis to conclude that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.110(b) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual or evidentiary material, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that it actually faces competition and that substantial competitive injury would likely result from disclosure); Open Records Decision Nos. 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3 (1990). *See also* Open Records Decision No. 652 (1997) (section 382.041 of the Health and Safety Code protects information that is submitted to the commission if a *prima facie* case is established that the information constitutes a trade secret under the definition set forth in the Restatement of Torts and if the submitting party identified the information as being confidential in submitting it to the commission). Thus, we conclude that the commission must release the submitted information to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

Kristen Bates
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

KAB/seg

Ref: ID# 169630

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Tobias Smith
Strasburger & Price
901 Main Street, Suite 4300
Dallas, Texas 75202-3794
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Rau Ayala
Plant Manager
Minsa Southwest Corporation
P.O. Box 484
Muleshoe, Texas 79347
(w/o enclosures)