



October 17, 2002

Mr. Scot A. Durfee
General Counsel
Harris County District Attorney's Office
1201 Franklin Street, Suite 600
Houston, Texas 77002

OR2002-5900

Dear Mr. Durfee:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 170842.

The Harris County District Attorney's Office (the "district attorney") received two requests for information regarding the death of David Lynn Harris. You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.103, and 552.108 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

You state that the documents in Exhibit B-3 relate to grand jury proceedings. This office has concluded that grand juries are not governmental bodies that are subject to chapter 552 of the Government Code, so that records that are within the actual or constructive possession of a grand jury are not subject to disclosure under chapter 552. See Open Records Decision No. 513 (1988). When an individual or entity acts at the direction of the grand jury as its agent, information prepared or collected by the agent is within the grand jury's constructive possession and is not subject to chapter 552. *Id.* at 3. Information that is not so held or maintained is subject to chapter 552 and may be withheld from disclosure only if a specific exception to disclosure is applicable. *Id.* Thus, to the extent that the submitted information was obtained by the district attorney pursuant to a grand jury subpoena or at the direction of the grand jury, the information is in the custody of the district attorney as agent of the grand jury and is not subject to disclosure under chapter 552. *Id.* at 4. To the extent, however, that the submitted information is not held by the district attorney as an agent of the grand jury, the information is subject to disclosure under chapter 552 and must be released unless an

exception to disclosure is demonstrated to be applicable. As we are unable to determine the extent to which the submitted information is subject to chapter 552, we address the applicability of your claimed exceptions to all of the submitted information.

Section 552.103 of the Government Code provides as follows:

(a) Information is excepted from [required public disclosure] if it is information relating to litigation of a civil or criminal nature to which the state or a political subdivision is or may be a party or to which an officer or employee of the state or a political subdivision, as a consequence of the person's office or employment, is or may be a party.

....

(c) Information relating to litigation involving a governmental body or an officer or employee of a governmental body is excepted from disclosure under Subsection (a) only if the litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated on the date that the requestor applies to the officer for public information for access to or duplication of the information.

The district attorney has the burden of providing relevant facts and documents to show that the section 552.103(a) exception is applicable in a particular situation. The test for meeting this burden is a showing that (1) litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated, and (2) the information at issue is related to that litigation. *University of Tex. Law Sch. v. Texas Legal Found.*, 958 S.W.2d 479, 481 (Tex. App.--Austin 1997, no pet.); *Heard v. Houston Post Co.*, 684 S.W.2d 210, 212 (Tex. App.--Houston [1st Dist.] 1984, writ ref'd n.r.e.); Open Records Decision No. 551 at 4 (1990). The district attorney must meet both prongs of this test for information to be excepted under 552.103(a).

You state that, prior to the district attorney's receipt of the present requests, the district attorney filed a felony complaint styled *State of Texas v. Clara Harris*, Cause No. 918964, in the 177th District Court, Harris County, Texas. After reviewing your arguments and the submitted documents, we conclude that litigation was pending in this instance when the district attorney received the present requests for information. We also find that the submitted information is related to the pending litigation for purposes of section 552.103(a). Therefore, the requested information may be withheld from disclosure at this time pursuant to section 552.103.

We note that once information has been obtained by all parties to the litigation through discovery or otherwise, no section 552.103(a) interest exists with respect to that information. Open Records Decision Nos. 349 (1982), 320 (1982). In addition, the applicability of section 552.103(a) ends once litigation concludes. Attorney General Opinion MW-575 (1982); Open Records Decision No. 350 (1982).

To summarize: (1) to the extent the submitted information is in the custody of the district attorney as agent of the grand jury, it is a record of the judiciary and is not subject to disclosure under chapter 552; and (2) to the extent the submitted information is not in the custody of the district attorney as agent of the grand jury, it may be withheld from disclosure at this time pursuant to section 552.103.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Karen A. Eckerle
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

KAE/sdk

Ref: ID# 170842

Enc: Submitted documents

c: Mr. Chris McDonald
Managing Editor
News 24 Houston
11150 Equity Drive
Houston, Texas 77041
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Sue Speck
KRIV-TV
4261 Southwest Freeway
Houston, Texas 77027
(w/o enclosures)