



November 19, 2002

Ms. Denise G. Obinegbo  
Open Records Specialist  
City of Richardson Police Department  
P.O. Box 831078  
Richardson, Texas 75083-1078

OR2002-6596

Dear Ms. Obinegbo:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 172399.

The City of Richardson (the "city") received a request for all calls for service and any related offense reports for a specific address. You state that the requestor has been provided with front sheet information from all call cards and alarm reports. You additionally state that the requestor has been provided with front sheet information from service number 02-067689. You claim, however, that the remainder of the information in the file related to service number 02-067689 and all of the file related to service number 02-47217 is exempted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.108 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

We first note that, although you state that the requestor has been provided with front sheet information from all call cards and alarm reports, you do not make arguments for withholding any of the other information that may be contained in such call cards and alarm reports. Therefore, to the extent the call cards and alarm reports contain information that has been withheld from the requestor, you must release that information to the requestor at this time. *See* Gov't Code §§ 552.006, .301(a), .302. We caution that the distribution of confidential information constitutes a criminal offense. Gov't Code § 552.352.

We next note that certain information has been redacted from the submitted documents. You do not assert, nor has our review of our records indicated, that you have been granted a previous determination to withhold any such information without seeking a ruling from this office. Because we can discern the specific categories of information that have been redacted, being deprived of this information does not inhibit our ability to make a ruling *in this instance*. Nevertheless, be advised that a failure to provide this office with requested information generally deprives us of the ability to determine whether information may be withheld and leaves this office with no alternative other than ordering that the redacted information be released. See Gov't Code § 552.301(e)(1)(D) (governmental body must provide this office with copy of "specific information requested"). With regard to the redacted information, we note that section 552.130 provides in relevant part:

(a) Information is excepted from the requirement of Section 552.021 if the information relates to:

(1) a motor vehicle operator's or driver's license or permit issued by an agency of this state; [or]

(2) a motor vehicle title or registration issued by an agency of this state[.]

Thus, you must withhold under section 552.130 the redacted information to the extent it consists of Texas driver's license numbers, vehicle identification numbers, and license plate numbers.

We will next address your arguments for the submitted service numbers. Section 552.108(a) excepts from disclosure "[i]nformation held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime . . . if: (1) release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime." Generally, a governmental body claiming section 552.108 must reasonably explain, if the information does not supply the explanation on its face, how and why the release of the requested information would interfere with law enforcement. See Gov't Code §§ 552.108(a)(1), (b)(1), .301(e)(1)(a); see also *Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977). You state that service number 02-067689 relates to an active criminal investigation. Based upon this representation, we conclude that the release of service number 02-067689 would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime, and thus, it may be withheld under section 552.108(a)(1). See *Houston Chronicle Publ'g Co. v. City of Houston*, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ. App.--Houston [14th Dist.] 1975), *writ ref'd n.r.e. per curiam*, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976) (court delineates law enforcement interests that are present in active cases).

We note, however, that information normally found on the front page of an offense report is generally considered public. See generally Gov't Code § 552.108(c); *Houston Chronicle*

*Publ'g Co. v. City of Houston*, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ. App.--Houston [14th Dist.] 1975), *writ ref'd n.r.e. per curiam*, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976); Open Records Decision No. 127 (1976). Thus, you must release the types of information that are considered to be front page offense report information, even if this information is not actually located on the front page of the offense report.

Finally, we address service number 02-47217. Section 552.108(a)(2) excepts from disclosure information concerning an investigation that concluded in a result other than conviction or deferred adjudication. A governmental body claiming section 552.108(a)(2) must demonstrate that the requested information relates to a criminal investigation that has concluded in a final result other than a conviction or deferred adjudication. Based on the information you provided, we understand you to assert that service number 02-47217 concluded in a result other than conviction or deferred adjudication. Therefore, we agree that service number 02-47217 may be withheld from the requestor under section 552.108(a)(2).

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Michael A. Pearle  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

MAP/jh

Ref: ID# 172399

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Darrell Joy  
M&M Security Services, Inc.  
P.O. Box 260168  
Plano, Texas 75026-0168  
(w/o enclosures)