



November 20, 2002

Mr. Steven D. Monté
Assistant City Attorney
Criminal Law & Police Division
City of Dallas
2014 Main Street, Room 501
Dallas, Texas 75201

OR2002-6625

Dear Mr. Monté:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 172498.

The Dallas Police Department (the "department") received a request for information "in connection with any arrest records related to" a specified service number and for copies of all records regarding a named individual. You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

We must first address the department's obligations under section 552.301. Pursuant to section 552.301(b), a governmental body must ask the attorney general for an opinion and state the applicable exceptions not later than the tenth business day after receiving the written request for information. Additionally, pursuant to section 552.301(e), a governmental body that receives an open records request for information that it wishes to withhold under one of the exceptions to public disclosure is required to submit to this office within fifteen business days of receiving the request (1) general written comments stating the reasons why the stated exceptions apply that would allow the information to be withheld from disclosure, (2) a copy of the written request for information, (3) a signed statement or sufficient evidence showing the date the governmental body received the written request, and (4) a copy of the specific information requested or representative samples, labeled to indicate which exceptions apply to which part of the documents. The department failed to ask the attorney general for an opinion within ten business days after receiving the request for information. Further, the department failed to timely submit to this office written comments stating the department's reasons for claiming an exception to disclosure, a copy of the written request for information,

evidence showing the department's receipt of the request, and a copy of the specific information requested or representative samples, labeled to indicate which exceptions apply to which part of the documents. Thus, the department has not complied with section 552.301.

Pursuant to section 552.302 of the Government Code, a governmental body's failure to comply with section 552.301 results in the legal presumption that the requested information is public and must be released unless the governmental body demonstrates a compelling reason to withhold the information from disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.302; *Hancock v. State Bd. Of Ins.*, 797 S.W.2d 379, 381-82 (Tex. App.-Austin 1990, no writ) (governmental body must make compelling demonstration to overcome presumption of openness pursuant to statutory predecessor to Gov't Code § 552.302); Open Records Decision No. 319 (1982). Generally, a compelling reason sufficient to overcome the section 552.302 presumption of openness exists only where the information is confidential by law or its release implicates third party interests. *See, e.g.*, Open Records Decision No. 150 (1977). Section 552.101 can provide a compelling reason to overcome the presumption of openness. *See* Open Records Decision No. 150 (1977) (presumption of openness overcome by a showing that the information is made confidential by another source of law or affects third party interests). We will therefore address your argument under section 552.101.

Before we address your argument, however, we note that the request for information seeks two categories of information, unspecified offense reports and arrest records related to a listed service number. You have not submitted to this office any information relating to the listed service number. We therefore assume that you have released this information to the extent that it exists. If you have not released it, you must do so at this time. *See* Gov't Code §§ 552.301(a), .302; Open Records Decision No. 664 (2000); *see also id.* § 552.221 (requiring governmental body to promptly produce public information).

We turn now to your claimed exception regarding unspecified offense reports. Section 552.101 excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." For information to be protected from public disclosure by the common-law right of privacy under section 552.101, the information must meet the criteria set out in *Industrial Found. v. Texas Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668 (Tex. 1976), *cert. denied*, 430 U.S. 931 (1977). In *Industrial Foundation*, the Texas Supreme Court stated that information is excepted from disclosure if (1) the information contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts the release of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person and (2) the information is not of legitimate concern to the public. 540 S.W.2d at 685. Where an individual's criminal history information has been compiled by a governmental entity, the information takes on a character that implicates the individual's right to privacy. *See United States Dep't of Justice v. Reporters Comm. for Freedom of the Press*, 489 U.S. 749 (1989). In this case, the requestor asks for all offense reports relating to a certain person. Thus, we believe that the named

individual's right to privacy has been implicated. Accordingly, to the extent that the department maintains offense reports in which the named individual may be a possible suspect, defendant, or arrestee, we conclude that you must withhold this information under common-law privacy as encompassed by section 552.101 of the Government Code. *See id.*

In summary, the department must release any information related to arrest records in connection with the listed service number, to the extent that this information exists. However, to the extent the department maintains any information listing the named individual as a possible suspect, defendant, or arrestee, the department must withhold this information based on section 552.101 in conjunction with common-law privacy.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



V.G. Schimmel
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

VGS/sdk

Ref: ID# 172498

Enc: Submitted documents

c: Mr. Ron Hundley
Livingston & Hundley
2214 Main Street
Dallas, Texas 75201-4359
(w/o enclosures)