



OFFICE *of the* ATTORNEY GENERAL  
GREG ABBOTT

February 28, 2003

Ms. Hadassah Schloss  
Open Records Administrator  
Texas Building and Procurement Commission  
P.O. Box 13047  
Austin, Texas 78711

OR2003-1295

Dear Ms. Schloss:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 177177.

The Texas Building and Procurement Commission (the "commission") received a request for the top three proposals for Employee Assistance Program ("EAP") services, not including the requestor's proposal, and a list of all bidders, the prices submitted and evaluation criteria scores. You state that the list of bidders and the prices submitted will be sent to the requestor. You also state that you do not have information responsive to the request for evaluation criteria scores. We note that the Public Information Act does not require a governmental body to disclose information that did not exist at the time the request was received. *Economic Opportunities Dev. Corp. v. Bustamante*, 562 S.W.2d 266 (Tex. Civ. App.--San Antonio 1978, writ dismissed); Open Records Decision No. 452 at 3 (1986). Next, you clarify that the commission received a total of three proposals and responsive information, not including the requestor's proposal, would consist of only two proposals. You claim, however, that this responsive information may contain proprietary information. You indicate, and provide documentation showing, that the commission has notified Deer Oaks Mental Health Associates, P.C. ("Deer Oaks") and Family Service, Inc. ("Family Service") of the request for information in order to afford each entity an opportunity to supply objections to release of the submitted information. See Gov't Code § 552.305 (permitting interested third party to submit to attorney general reasons why requested information should not be released); Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining that statutory predecessor to Gov't Code § 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in Public Information Act in certain circumstances). We have reviewed the submitted information.

An interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B)*. As of the date of this letter, Deer Oaks and Family Service have not submitted to this office their reasons explaining why their information should not be released. Therefore, Deer Oaks and Family Service have provided us with no basis to conclude that they have a protected proprietary interest in any of the submitted information. *See Gov't Code § 552.110(b)* (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual or evidentiary material, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that it actually faces competition and that substantial competitive injury would likely result from disclosure); Open Records Decision Nos. 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3 (1990).

We note, however, that the submitted proposals contain e-mail addresses obtained from the public. Section 552.137 makes certain e-mail addresses confidential. Section 552.137 provides:

- (a) An e-mail address of a member of the public that is provided for the purpose of communicating electronically with a governmental body is confidential and not subject to disclosure under this chapter.
- (b) Confidential information described by this section that relates to a member of the public may be disclosed if the member of the public affirmatively consents to its release.

Gov't Code §552.137. You do not inform us that a member of the public has affirmatively consented to the release of any e-mail address contained in the submitted materials. The commission must, therefore, withhold e-mail addresses of members of the public under section 552.137. We have marked the e-mail addresses that must be withheld under section 552.137. We note that section 552.137 does not apply to a business' general e-mail address or to a government employee's work e-mail address.

Finally, we note that a portion of the submitted materials is copyrighted. A custodian of public records must comply with the copyright law and is not required to furnish copies of records that are copyrighted. Attorney General Opinion JM-672 (1987). A governmental body must allow inspection of copyrighted materials unless an exception applies to the information. *Id.* If a member of the public wishes to make copies of copyrighted materials, the person must do so unassisted by the governmental body. In making copies, the member of the public assumes the duty of compliance with the copyright law and the risk of a copyright infringement suit. *See Open Records Decision No. 550 (1990)*.

To summarize: (1) the commission must withhold the e-mail addresses we have marked in the submitted proposals under section 552.137; (2) the remaining requested information must

be released; and (3) while the commission must allow inspection of copyrighted information not otherwise excepted from disclosure, the commission need not furnish copies of such information to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Heather Pendleton Ross  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

HPR/sdk

Ref: ID# 177177

Enc: Submitted documents

c: Mr. Rick Dielman, CEAP  
Vice President  
EAP Division  
Workers Assistance Program  
2525 Wallingwood Drive, Building 5  
Austin, Texas 78746  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Paul Alan Boskind  
CEO  
Deer Oaks Mental Health Associates, P.C.  
7272 Wurzbach Road, Suite 601  
San Antonio, Texas 78240  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Floyd R. Davis, Jr.  
President & CEO  
Family Service  
1424 Hemphill  
Fort Worth, Texas 76104  
(w/o enclosures)