ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

August 28, 2003

Mr. Don Rogers
Communications Director
Texas Department of MHMR
P. O. Box 12668
Austin, Texas 78711-2668

OR2003-6076

Dear Mr. Rogers:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 186833.

The Texas Department of Mental Health and Mental Retardation (the "department") received a request for information relating to a named individual. You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and have reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we must address the procedural requirements of section 552.301 of the Government Code. Pursuant to section 552.301(b), a governmental body must ask the attorney general for a decision as to whether requested information must be disclosed not later than the tenth business day after the date of receiving the written request for information. *See* Gov't Code § 552.301(b). You state that the department received the written request for information on June 10, 2003. Thus, the department was required to request a decision from our office as to whether any portion of the requested information could be withheld from disclosure on or before June 24, 2003. However, the department did not request a decision from us regarding the requested information until June 25, 2003. Accordingly, we conclude that the department failed to comply with the procedural requirements of section 552.301 in requesting this decision from us.

Because the department failed to comply with the procedural requirements of section 552.301 in requesting this decision from us, the information at issue is now presumed public. *See* Gov't Code § 552.302; *see also Hancock v. State Bd. of Ins.*, 797 S.W.2d 379

(Tex. App.--Austin 1990, no writ); *City of Houston v. Houston Chronicle Publ'g Co.*, 673 S.W.2d 316, 323 (Tex. App.--Houston [1st Dist.] 1984, no writ); Open Records Decision No. 319 (1982). The department must demonstrate a compelling interest in order to overcome the presumption that the information at issue is now public. *See id.* Normally, a compelling interest is demonstrated when some other source of law makes the requested information confidential or when third party interests are at stake. *See* Open Records Decision No. 150 at 2 (1977). Since the department claims that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure pursuant to section 552.101 of the Government Code, we will address the department's claim.

Section 552.101 excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." You claim that the submitted information is not subject to release pursuant to regulations promulgated pursuant to the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 ("HIPAA"), and that the information is, therefore, excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with these regulations. At the direction of Congress, the Secretary of Health and Human Services ("HHS") promulgated regulations setting privacy standards for medical records, which HHS issued as the Federal Standards for Privacy of Individually Identifiable Health Information. *See* Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, 42 U.S.C. § 1320d-2 (Supp. IV 1998) (historical & statutory note); *see also* Standards for Privacy of Individually Identifiable Health Information, 45 C.F.R. Pts. 160, 164; Attorney General Opinion JC-0508 at 2 (2002). These standards govern the releasability of protected health information by a covered entity. *See* 45 C.F.R. Pts. 160, 164. Under these standards, a covered entity may not use or disclose protected health information, excepted as provided by parts 160 and 164 of the Code of Federal Regulations. *See* 45 C.F.R. § 164.502(a).

Section 160.103 defines a covered entity as a health plan, a health clearinghouse, or a health care provider who transmits any health information in electronic form in connection with a transaction covered by subchapter C, Subtitle A of Title 45. *See* 45 C.F.R. § 160.103. In this instance, the department explains that it is a health care provider for purposes of section 160.103. Therefore, we will next determine whether the submitted information is confidential as protected health information under the federal law.

Section 160.103 of title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations defines the following relevant terms as follows:

> Health information means any information, whether oral or recorded in any form or medium, that:
>
> > (1) Is created or received by a health care provider, health plan, public health authority, employer, life insurer, school or university, or health clearinghouse; and

> (2) Relates to the past, present, or future physical or mental health or condition of an individual; the provision of health care to an individual; or the past, present, or future payment for the provision of health care to an individual.

Individually identifiable health information is information that is a subset of health information, including demographic information collected from an individual, and:

> (1) Is created or received by a health care provider, health plan, employer, or health care clearinghouse; and
>
> (2) Relates to the past, present, or future physical or mental health or condition of an individual; the provision of health care to an individual; or the past, present, or future payment for the provision of health care to an individual; and
>
> > (i) That identifies the individual; or
> >
> > (ii) With respect to which there is a reasonable basis to believe the information can be used to identify the individual.

Protected health information means individually identifiable health information:

> (1) Except as provided in paragraph (2) of this definition, that is:
>
> > (i) Transmitted by electronic media;
> >
> > (ii) Maintained in electronic media;
> >
> > (iii) Transmitted or maintained in any other form or medium.

45 C.F.R. § 160.103. You contend that the submitted information constitutes individually identifiable protected health information. Upon review of the information, we agree that it is protected health information as contemplated by HIPAA. However, we note that a covered entity may use protected health information to create information that is not individually identifiable health information, i.e., de-identified. *See* 45 C.F.R. § 164.502(d)(1). The privacy standards that govern the uses and disclosures of protected health information do not apply to information de-identified in accordance with sections 164.514(a) and (b) of the Code of Federal Regulations. *See* 45 C.F.R. § 164.502(d)(2).

Under HIPAA, a covered entity may determine health information is not individually identifiable only under certain circumstances. One method requires a person with specialized knowledge of generally accepted statistical and scientific principles and methods for

rendering information de-identifiable to apply and document such methods and principles to determine release of protected health information would result in a very small risk of individual identification. 45 C.F.R. § 164.514(b)(1). The other method requires the covered entity to meet the following two criteria: 1) remove specific identifiers, including but not limited to, names, dates directly related to an individual, telecommunication numbers, vehicle identifiers, and any other unique identifying number, characteristic, or code and 2) have no actual knowledge that the information could be used alone or in combination with other information to identify an individual who is a subject of the information. *See* 45 C.F.R. § 164.514(b)(2)(i), (ii). In this instance, however, the requestor is aware of the identity of the individual whose protected health information is at issue. Therefore, we conclude that using either of the methods of de-identification described above would be insufficient to protect the individual's identity as required under HIPAA. Accordingly, we find that the submitted information constitutes protected health information under HIPAA in its entirety. *See* 45 C.F.R. § 164.514(b)(2)(ii)(R). Thus, the department must withhold the information under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with HIPAA. As we are able to make this determination, we do not address your remaining claims.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

Ronald J. Bounds
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

RJB/lmt

Ref: ID# 186833

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Ms. Brenda Renee McCullough
P.O. Box 382
Flatonia, Texas 78941
(w/o enclosures)