



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

October 2, 2003

Ms. Mary D. Marquez
Legal/Records Manager
Capital Metropolitan Transportation Authority
2910 East Fifth Street
Austin, Texas 78702

OR2003-6966

Dear Ms. Marquez:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 188765.

The Capital Metropolitan Transportation Authority ("Capital Metro") received two requests for information pertaining to RFP# 10256-0-2003. You claim that the requested information may be excepted from disclosure pursuant to section 552.110 of the Government Code. Pursuant to section 552.305(d) of the Government Code, Capital Metro notified an interested third party, IKON, of Capital Metro's receipt of the requests and of IKON's right to submit arguments to this office as to why information relating to IKON should not be released to the requestors. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining that statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception to disclosure under Public Information Act (the "Act") in certain circumstances). We have considered arguments submitted by IKON and have reviewed the submitted information.

IKON claims that portions of the submitted information are excepted from disclosure pursuant to section 552.102 of the Government Code. Section 552.102 excepts from disclosure "information in a personnel file, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy." Gov't Code § 552.102(a). However, section 552.102 only protects information that is contained in a personnel file of a governmental body, not a private third party. The information that IKON claims is excepted from disclosure under section 552.102 concerns IKON's employees. Accordingly, we

conclude that Capital Metro may not withhold any portion of the submitted information under section 552.102 of the Government Code.

IKON also claims that portions of the submitted information are excepted from disclosure pursuant to section 552.104 of the Government Code. We note, however, that section 552.104 is not designed to protect the interests of private parties that submit information to a governmental body. *See* Open Records Decision No. 592 at 8-9 (1991). Section 552.104 excepts information from disclosure if a governmental body demonstrates that the release of the information would cause potential specific harm to its interests in a particular competitive situation. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 593 at 2 (1991), 463 (1987), 453 at 3 (1986). Capital Metro has not argued that the release of any portion of the submitted information would harm its interests in a particular competitive situation under section 552.104. Accordingly, we conclude that Capital Metro may not withhold any portion of the submitted information under section 552.104 of the Government Code.

Finally, IKON claims that portions of the submitted information are excepted from disclosure as trade secrets under section 552.110 of the Government Code. We note that the Texas Supreme Court has adopted the definition of a “trade secret” from section 757 of the Restatement of Torts, which holds a “trade secret” to be

any formula, pattern, device or compilation of information which is used in one’s business, and which gives him an opportunity to obtain an advantage over competitors who do not know or use it. It may be a formula for a chemical compound, a process of manufacturing, treating or preserving materials, a pattern for a machine or other device, or a list of customers. It differs from other secret information in a business . . . in that it is not simply information as to a single or ephemeral event in the conduct of the business A trade secret is a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business [It may] relate to the sale of goods or to other operations in the business, such as a code for determining discounts, rebates or other concessions in a price list or catalogue, or a list of specialized customers, or a method of bookkeeping or other office management.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); *see also Hyde Corp. v. Huffines*, 314 S.W.2d 763, 776 (Tex. 1958), *cert. denied*, 358 U.S. 898 (1958). If a governmental body takes no position on the application of the “trade secrets” component of section 552.110 to the information at issue, this office will accept a person’s trade secret claim under section 552.110(a) if the person establishes a *prima facie* case for the exception and no one submits an argument that rebuts the claim as a matter of law.¹ *See* Open Records Decision

¹ The Restatement of Torts lists the following six factors as indicia of whether information constitutes a trade secret:

No. 552 at 5 (1990). Based on our review of IKON's arguments and the submitted information, we find that IKON has established that portions of the information constitute IKON's trade secrets that are excepted from disclosure under section 552.110(a) and we have received no arguments that rebut this claim as a matter of law. Accordingly, we conclude that Capital Metro must withhold the submitted information that we have marked pursuant to section 552.110(a) of the Government Code.

We note that portions of the remaining submitted information are copyrighted. A custodian of public records must comply with the copyright law and is not required to furnish copies of records that are copyrighted. *See* Attorney General Opinion JM-672 (1987). A governmental body must allow inspection of copyrighted materials unless an exception applies to the information. *See id.* If a member of the public wishes to make copies of copyrighted materials, the person must do so unassisted by the governmental body. In making such copies, the member of the public assumes the duty of compliance with the copyright law and the risk of a copyright infringement suit. *See* Open Records Decision No. 550 (1990).

In summary, Capital Metro must withhold the marked portions of the submitted information pursuant to section 552.110(a) of the Government Code. Capital Metro must release the remaining submitted information to the requestors in compliance with applicable copyright law.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days.

-
- (1) the extent to which the information is known outside of [the company];
 - (2) the extent to which it is known by employees and other involved in [the company's] business;
 - (3) the extent of measures taken by [the company] to guard the secrecy of the information;
 - (4) the value of the information to [the company] and [its] competitors;
 - (5) the amount of effort or money expended by [the company] in developing the information;
 - (6) the ease or difficulty with which the information could be properly acquired or duplicated by others.

Restatement of Torts, § 757 cmt. b (1939); *see also* Open Records Decision Nos. 319 at 2 (1982), 306 at 2 (1982), 255 at 2 (1980).

Id. § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).


If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Ronald J. Bounds
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

RJB/lmt

Ref: ID# 188765

Enc. Marked documents

c: Ms. Celeste Lay
Business Services Executive
Xerox Corporation
6836 Austin Center Blvd.
Austin, Texas 78731
(w/o enclosures)

Mr./Ms. Kelly Knapper
IBM Business Development Director
Triangle Technology
10713 RR 620 North, S-512
Austin, Texas 78726
(w/o enclosures)

Mr./Ms. Tracy W. Galow
Strategic Account Executive
IKON
7401 E. Ben White Blvd., Bldg. 2
Austin, Texas 78741
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Michele L. Dyer
Senior Counsel
IKON
70 Valley Stream Parkway
Malvern, Pennsylvania 19355
(w/o enclosures)