



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

November 17, 2003

Ms. Rebecca L. Payne  
Assistant General Counsel  
Texas Department of Human Services  
P.O. Box 149030  
Austin, Texas 78714-9030

OR2003-8253

Dear Ms. Payne:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 191147.

The Texas Department of Human Services (the "department") received a request for all information, including complaints, investigations, ownership and management information, and licensing information, relating to two specified nursing facilities. You plan to release some responsive information to the requestor but claim that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.103, 552.107, 552.111, 552.130, and 552.136 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note that the submitted documents include information that is subject to required public disclosure under section 552.022 of the Government Code, which provides in relevant part:

(a) Without limiting the amount or kind of information that is public information under this chapter, the following categories of information are public information and not excepted from required disclosure under this chapter unless they are expressly confidential under other law:

(3) information in an account, voucher, or contract relating to the receipt or expenditure of public or other funds by a governmental body;

...

(17) information that is also contained in a public court record[.]

Gov't Code § 552.022(a)(3), (17). The submitted information includes an executed contract and vouchers relating to the receipt and expenditure of public funds. The submitted information also includes documents that have been filed with a court, and thus constitute information that is also contained in a public court record. Therefore, as prescribed by section 552.022, such information must be released unless it is confidential under other law. Sections 552.103, 552.107, and 552.111 of the Government Code are discretionary exceptions to disclosure that protect the governmental body's interests and are therefore not other laws that make information expressly confidential for purposes of section 552.022(a). *See Dallas Area Rapid Transit v. Dallas Morning News*, 4 S.W.3d 469 (Tex. App.—Dallas 1999, no pet.) (governmental body may waive section 552.103). Therefore, the department may not withhold these types of information under sections 552.103, 552.107, and 552.111 of the Government Code.

You argue that portions of the submitted information that are made public under section 552.022(a)(17) are excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. Section 552.101 excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." You assert the information at issue is not subject to release pursuant to regulations promulgated pursuant to the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 ("HIPAA"), and that the information is therefore excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with these regulations. At the direction of Congress, the Secretary of Health and Human Services ("HHS") promulgated regulations setting privacy standards for medical records, which HHS issued as the Federal Standards for Privacy of Individually Identifiable Health Information. *See Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996*, 42 U.S.C. § 1320d-2 (Supp. IV 1998) (historical & statutory note); Standards for Privacy of Individually Identifiable Health Information, 45 C.F.R. Pts. 160, 164; *see also* Attorney General Opinion JC-0508 at 2 (2002). These standards govern the releasability of protected health information by a covered entity. *See* 45 C.F.R. Pts. 160, 164. Under these standards, a covered entity may not use or disclose protected health information, excepted as provided by parts 160 and 164 of the Code of Federal Regulations. 45 C.F.R. § 164.502(a).

Section 160.103 defines a covered entity as a health plan, a health clearinghouse, or a health care provider who transmits any health information in electronic form in connection with a transaction covered by subchapter C, Subtitle A of Title 45. 45 C.F.R. § 160.103. In this instance, the department explains that it is a covered entity under HIPAA because it administers part of the Medicaid program, which is a covered health plan. Therefore, we will next determine whether the submitted information is confidential as protected health information under the federal law.

Section 160.103 of title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations defines the following relevant terms as follows:

Health information means any information, whether oral or recorded in any form or medium, that:

- (1) Is created or received by a health care provider, health plan, public health authority, employer, life insurer, school or university, or health clearinghouse; and
- (2) Relates to the past, present, or future physical or mental health or condition of an individual; the provision of health care to an individual; or the past, present, or future payment for the provision of health care to an individual.

Individually identifiable health information is information that is a subset of health information, including demographic information collected from an individual, and:

- (1) Is created or received by a health care provider, health plan, employer, or health care clearinghouse; and
- (2) Relates to the past, present, or future physical or mental health or condition of an individual; the provision of health care to an individual; or the past, present, or future payment for the provision of health care to an individual; and
  - (i) That identifies the individual; or
  - (ii) With respect to which there is a reasonable basis to believe the information can be used to identify the individual.

Protected health information means individually identifiable health information:

- (1) Except as provided in paragraph (2) of this definition, that is:
  - (i) Transmitted by electronic media;
  - (ii) Maintained in electronic media; or
  - (iii) Transmitted or maintained in any other form or medium.

45 C.F.R. § 160.103. You contend that the information at issue constitutes individually identifiable protected health information. Upon review of the information, we agree that it is protected health information as contemplated by HIPAA. However, we note that a covered entity may use protected health information to create information that is not individually identifiable health information, i.e., de-identified. 45 C.F.R. § 164.502(d)(1). The privacy standards that govern the uses and disclosures of protected health information do not apply to information de-identified in accordance with sections 164.514(a) and (b) of the Code of Federal Regulations. 45 C.F.R. § 164.502(d)(2).

Under HIPAA, a covered entity may determine health information is not individually identifiable only under certain circumstances. One method requires a person with specialized knowledge of generally accepted statistical and scientific principles and methods for rendering information de-identifiable to apply and document such methods and principles to determine release of protected health information would result in a very small risk of individual identification. 45 C.F.R. § 164.514(b)(1). The other method requires the covered entity to meet the following two criteria: 1) remove specific identifiers, including but not limited to, names, dates directly related to an individual, geographic subdivisions smaller than a state, telecommunication numbers, vehicle identifiers, and any other unique identifying number, characteristic, or code and 2) have no actual knowledge that the information could be used alone or in combination with other information to identify an individual who is a subject of the information. *See* 45 C.F.R. § 164.514(b)(2)(i), (ii). We have marked the specific identifiers in the responsive protected health information. *See* 45 C.F.R. § 164.514(b)(2)(i)(A)-(R). To the extent that the department has no actual knowledge that release of the de-identified information could be used alone or in combination with other information to identify the subject of the health information, you must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with HIPAA, and release the remaining de-identified information, subject to Texas Rule of Civil Procedure 192.5, as discussed below. However, if the department has actual knowledge that release of the de-identified information could be used alone or in combination with other information to identify the subject of the health information, you must withhold the protected health information in its entirety under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with HIPAA.

Next, we note the applicability of Rule 192.5 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure to the de-identified health information. Generally, HIPAA preempts a contrary provision of state law. *See* 45 C.F.R. § 160.203. For purposes of HIPAA, “contrary” means the following:

- (1) A covered entity would find it impossible to comply with both the State and federal requirements; or
- (2) The provision of State law stands as an obstacle to the accomplishment and execution of the full purposes and objectives of part C of title XI of the Act or section 264 of Pub. L. 104-191, as applicable.

45 C.F.R. § 160.202. It is not impossible for the department to comply with both Rule 192.5 and HIPAA. Furthermore, Rule 192.5 is not an obstacle to the accomplishment and execution of the full purposes and objectives of HIPAA. Therefore, HIPAA does not preempt Rule 192.5.

In the instance that the department determines it must release the deidentified information under HIPAA, this information may be confidential under the attorney work product privilege found in Rule 192.5 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure. The Texas Supreme Court held that “[t]he Texas Rules of Civil Procedure and Texas Rules of Evidence are ‘other law’ within the meaning of section 552.022.” *In re City of Georgetown*, 53 S.W.3d 328 (Tex. 2001). Thus, we will determine whether the information is confidential under rule 192.5. *See* ORD 677 at 9. Furthermore, we will also consider the applicability of Rule 192.5 to the section 552.022(a)(3) information.

An attorney’s core work product is confidential under Rule 192.5. Core work product is defined as the work product of an attorney or an attorney’s representative developed in anticipation of litigation or for trial that contains the attorney’s, or the attorney’s representative’s mental impressions, opinions, conclusions, or legal theories. Tex. R. Civ. P. 192.5(a), (b)(1). Accordingly, in order to withhold attorney core work product from disclosure under Rule 192.5, a governmental body must demonstrate that the material was 1) created for trial or in anticipation of litigation and 2) consists of an attorney’s or the attorney’s representative’s mental impressions, opinions, conclusions, or legal theories. *Id.* The first prong of the work product test, which requires a governmental body to show that the information at issue was created in anticipation of litigation, has two parts. A governmental body must demonstrate that 1) a reasonable person would have concluded from the totality of the circumstances surrounding the investigation that there was a substantial chance that litigation would ensue, and 2) the party resisting discovery believed in good faith that there was a substantial chance that litigation would ensue and conducted the investigation for the purpose of preparing for such litigation. *See National Tank v. Brotherton*, 851 S.W.2d 193, 207 (Tex. 1993). A “substantial chance” of litigation does not mean a statistical probability, but rather “that litigation is more than merely an abstract possibility or unwarranted fear.” *Id.* at 204. The second prong of the work product test requires the governmental body to show that the documents at issue contain the attorney’s or the attorney’s representative’s mental impressions, opinions, conclusions, or legal theories. Tex. R. Civ. P. 192.5(b)(1). A document containing core work product information that meets both prongs of the work product test is confidential under Rule 192.5 provided the information does not fall within the purview of the exceptions to the privilege enumerated in Rule 192.5(c). *Pittsburgh Corning Corp. v. Caldwell*, 861 S.W.2d 423, 427 (Tex. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1993, no writ).

After reviewing the department’s arguments, we conclude that the department has shown that information in its litigation files was created in anticipation of litigation. As for the second prong of the work product test, the Texas Supreme Court has held that a request for an

attorney's "entire file" was "too broad" and, citing *National Union Fire Insurance Co. v. Valdez*, 863 S.W.2d 458, 460 (Tex. 1993), held that "the decision as to what to include in [the file] necessarily reveals the attorney's thought processes concerning the prosecution or defense of the case." *Curry v. Walker*, 873 S.W.2d 379, 380 (Tex. 1994). Because the requestor in this instance seeks all the information in particular files, we agree that complying with such a request would reveal the attorney's thought processes in litigating the cases. Having met both prongs of Rule 192.5, the department may withhold the section 552.022 information as attorney work product.

We will now address the remaining submitted information in the litigation file that is not subject to section 552.022. Section 552.111 excepts from disclosure "an interagency or intraagency memorandum or letter that would not be available by law to a party in litigation with the agency." This section encompasses the attorney work product privilege found in rule 192.5 of the Texas Rules of Evidence. *City of Garland v. Dallas Morning News*, 22 S.W.3d 351, 360 (Tex. 2000); Open Records Decision No. 677 at 4-8 (2002). Rule 192.5 defines work product as

- (1) material prepared or mental impressions developed in anticipation of litigation or for trial by or for a party or a party's representatives, including the party's attorneys, consultants, sureties, indemnitors, insurers, employees, or agents; or
- (2) a communication made in anticipation of litigation or for trial between a party and the party's representatives or among a party's representatives, including the party's attorneys, consultants, sureties, indemnitors, insurers, employees or agents.

A governmental body seeking to withhold information under this exception bears the burden of demonstrating that the information was created or developed for trial or in anticipation of litigation by or for a party or a party's representative. Tex. R. Civ. P. 192.5; ORD 677 at 6-8. In order for this office to conclude that the information was made or developed in anticipation of litigation, we must be satisfied that

- a) a reasonable person would have concluded from the totality of the circumstances surrounding the investigation that there was a substantial chance that litigation would ensue; and b) the party resisting discovery believed in good faith that there was a substantial chance that litigation would ensue and [created or obtained the information] for the purpose of preparing for such litigation.

*Nat'l Tank Co. v. Brotherton*, 851 S.W.2d 193, 207 (Tex. 1993). A "substantial chance" of litigation does not mean a statistical probability, but rather "that litigation is more than merely an abstract possibility or unwarranted fear." *Id.* at 204; ORD 677 at 7.

If a requestor seeks an attorney's entire litigation file, and a governmental body seeks to withhold the entire file and demonstrates that the file was created in anticipation of litigation, we will presume that the entire file is excepted from disclosure under the attorney work product aspect of section 552.111. Open Records Decision No. 647 at 5 (1996) (citing *Nat'l Union Fire Ins. Co. v Valdez*, 863 S.W.2d 458, 461 (Tex. 1993)) (organization of attorney's litigation file necessarily reflects attorney's thought processes). You note that the present request encompasses the department's entire litigation file. Furthermore, you have demonstrated that the file was created in anticipation of litigation. Therefore, we conclude that the department may withhold the information contained in the litigation file that is not subject to section 552.022 from disclosure under section 552.111 of the Government Code.

You assert that the remaining submitted information consisting of e-mails is excepted from disclosure under section 552.103 of the Government Code. Section 552.103 provides as follows:

(a) Information is excepted from [required public disclosure] if it is information relating to litigation of a civil or criminal nature to which the state or a political subdivision is or may be a party or to which an officer or employee of the state or a political subdivision, as a consequence of the person's office or employment, is or may be a party.

....

(c) Information relating to litigation involving a governmental body or an officer or employee of a governmental body is excepted from disclosure under Subsection (a) only if the litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated on the date that the requestor applies to the officer for public information for access to or duplication of the information.

A governmental body has the burden of providing relevant facts and documents to show that the section 552.103(a) exception is applicable in a particular situation. The test for meeting this burden is a showing that (1) litigation was pending or reasonably anticipated on the date the governmental body received the request for information, and (2) the information at issue is related to that litigation. *University of Tex. Law Sch. v. Texas Legal Found.*, 958 S.W.2d 479, 481 (Tex. App.—Austin 1997, no pet.); *Heard v. Houston Post Co.*, 684 S.W.2d 210, 212 (Tex. App.—Houston [1st Dist.] 1984, writ ref'd n.r.e.); Open Records Decision No. 551 at 4 (1990). A governmental body must meet both prongs of this test for information to be excepted under 552.103(a).

You have submitted a copy of the State's Original Petition in *State of Texas v. JRL Properties International, Inc. d/b/a Lufkin Nursing Center*, Cause No. GV 302699, which indicates that the state filed a lawsuit against Lufkin Nursing Center (the "center") on

July 2, 2003. You explain that the lawsuit and the remaining submitted information both relate to the center's alleged violation of chapter 242 of the Health and Safety Code. Based on our review of the remaining submitted information, we conclude that the department was involved in pending litigation on the date it received the present request for information. Further, we conclude that the remaining submitted information is related to the pending litigation. Therefore, the department may withhold this information under section 552.103 of the Government Code.

We note, however, that once information has been obtained by all parties to the litigation through discovery or otherwise, no section 552.103(a) interest exists with respect to that information. Open Records Decision Nos. 349 (1982), 320 (1982). Thus, information that has either been obtained from or provided to all opposing parties in all the pending lawsuits is not excepted from disclosure under section 552.103(a), and it must be disclosed. Further, the applicability of section 552.103(a) ends once the litigation has been concluded. Attorney General Opinion MW-575 (1982); Open Records Decision No. 350 (1982).

In summary, we conclude that to the extent that the department has knowledge that release of the de-identified information could be used alone or in combination with other information to identify the subject of the health information, you must withhold the protected health information in its entirety under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with HIPAA. However, if the department has no knowledge that release of the de-identified information could be used alone or in combination with other information to identify the subject of the health information, you must withhold only the information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with HIPAA subject to section 552.111. The department must withhold the department's litigation file under section 552.111 and Rule 192.5 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure. The department may withhold the remaining submitted information under section 552.103.<sup>1</sup>

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the

---

<sup>1</sup> As we are able to reach these conclusions, we do not address your arguments under sections 552.107, 552.130, and 552.136.

governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Amy D. Peterson  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

ADP/sdk

Ref: ID# 191147

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Reich Chandler  
Chandler Law Offices  
P.O. Box 340  
Lufkin, Texas 75902-0340  
(w/o enclosures)