



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

December 8, 2003

Mr. Lawrence G. Provins  
Assistant City Attorney  
City of Pearland  
3519 Liberty Drive  
Pearland, Texas 77581-5416

OR2003-8795

Dear Mr. Provins:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID #195082.

The City of Pearland (the "city") received a request for a specific individual's police records. You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.108 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.<sup>1</sup>

We must first address the city's obligations under section 552.301 of the Government Code. Subsections 552.301(a) and (b) of the Public Information Act (the "Act") provide:

(a) A governmental body that receives a written request for information that it wishes to withhold from public disclosure and that it considers to be within one of the [Act's] exceptions . . . must ask for a decision from the attorney general about whether the information is within that exception if there has not

---

<sup>1</sup> We assume that the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. See Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

been a previous determination about whether the information falls within one of the exceptions.

(b) The governmental body must ask for the attorney general's decision and state the exceptions that apply within a reasonable time *but not later than the 10th business day* after the date of receiving the written request.

Gov't Code § 552.301(a), (b)(emphasis added). The city received the request for information on October 7, 2003, but did not request a ruling from this office until twenty-four business days later, on November 12, 2003. Thus, the city failed to request a decision from this office in accordance with the ten-business-day deadline mandated by section 552.301. Because the request for a decision was not timely submitted, the requested information is presumed to be public information. Gov't Code § 552.302.

In order to overcome the presumption that the requested information is public information, a governmental body must provide compelling reasons why the information should not be disclosed. *Id.*; *Hancock v. State Bd. of Ins.*, 797 S.W.2d 379, 381 (Tex. App.—Austin 1990, no writ); see Open Records Decision No. 630 (1994). Section 552.108, which protects law enforcement interests, is a discretionary exception and generally does not provide a compelling reason to overcome the presumption of openness. See Open Records Decision Nos. 586 (1991) (governmental body may waive section 552.108), 522 at 4 (1989) (discretionary exceptions in general). *But see* Open Records Decision Nos. 630 at 3 (1994), 586 at 3 (1991) (need of *another* governmental body to withhold information under predecessor to section 552.108 can provide a compelling reason under section 552.302). Thus, the city may not withhold any information under section 552.108. However, the application of section 552.101 provides a compelling reason to overcome the presumption of openness. Therefore, we will address the applicability of this exception.

Section 552.101 excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Common law privacy protects information if (1) the information contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) the information is not of legitimate concern to the public. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976), *cert. denied*, 430 U.S. 931 (1977). The responsive information does not fall into this category.

Where an individual's criminal history information has been compiled by a governmental entity, the compiled information may nevertheless take on a character that implicates the individual's right to privacy in a manner that the same information in an uncompiled state does not. See *United States Dep't of Justice v. Reporters Comm. for Freedom of the Press*, 489 U.S. 749 (1989); see also Open Records Decision No. 616 at 2-3 (1993). In this instance, the requestor seeks all reports pertaining to a specific individual. Thus, the request requires the city to compile information regarding the named individual. Based on the

reasoning set out in *Reporters Committee*, such a compilation would ordinarily implicate this individual's privacy rights to the extent that it includes arrests and investigations where the individual is a suspect, arrestee, or defendant in a case. However, the responsive information does not contain records in which the named individual is a suspect. The information requested does not implicate any common law privacy interests that would trigger the exception of section 552.101.

We note, however, that the submitted documents contain Texas driver's license numbers that are excepted from disclosure under section 552.130 of the Government Code. Section 552.130 excepts information relating to a Texas motor vehicle driver's license and information relating to a Texas motor vehicle title or registration. Gov't Code § 552.130. The city must withhold the Texas driver's license numbers we have marked under section 552.130 of the Government Code.

We also note that the social security numbers which we have marked in the submitted documents may be confidential under federal law. A social security number may be withheld in some circumstances under section 552.101 in conjunction with the 1990 amendments to the federal Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. § 405(c)(2)(C)(viii)(I). *See* Open Records Decision No. 622 (1994). These amendments make confidential social security numbers and related records that are obtained or maintained by a state agency or political subdivision of the state pursuant to any provision of law enacted on or after October 1, 1990. *See id.* We have no basis for concluding that the social security numbers are confidential under section 405(c)(2)(C)(viii)(I), and therefore excepted from public disclosure under section 552.101 on the basis of that federal provision. We caution, however, that section 552.352 of the Government Code imposes criminal penalties for the release of confidential information.

In summary, the city must withhold information in the responsive documents that relates to Texas driver's licenses. Prior to releasing any social security number, the city should ensure that it has not obtained or maintained any of the social security numbers pursuant to any provision of law enacted on or after October 1, 1990. The remaining responsive information must be released to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days.

*Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Steven W. Bartels  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

SWB/seg

Ref: ID # 195082

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Ms. Robin Garrett  
3206 Glastonbury  
Pearland, Texas 77581  
(w/o enclosures)