



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

January 7, 2004

Ms. Rosemary R. Martinez, CPA
Vice President for Business Affairs
The University of Texas at Brownsville &
Texas Southmost College
80 Fort Brown
Brownsville, Texas 78520

OR2004-0032

Dear Ms. Martinez:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 193747.

The University of Texas at Brownsville and Texas Southmost College (the "university") received two requests for information related to a specified request for proposals. Initially, you claimed that the requested information was excepted from disclosure under sections 552.104 and 552.110 of the Government Code. However, in a letter dated December 16, 2003, you state that the university has subsequently signed a contract, and therefore, the "University withdraws its request to withhold the information." However, you also state that the university has notified Barnes & Noble College Bookstores, Inc. ("Barnes & Noble"); BookBee; College Book Stores of America, Inc. ("CBA"); and Texas Book Company ("TBC") of the requests for information pursuant to section 552.305 of the Government Code. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305 (permitting interested third party to submit to attorney general reasons why requested information should not be released); Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining that statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in Public Information Act in certain circumstances). The university has submitted the documents at issue to this office.

An interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why

information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this decision, Barnes & Noble, BookBee, CBA, and TBC have not submitted to this office any reasons explaining why their information should not be released. Therefore, these parties have provided us with no basis to conclude that they have a protected proprietary interest in any of the submitted information. *See, e.g.*, Gov't Code § 552.110(b) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual or evidentiary material, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that it actually faces competition and that substantial competitive injury would likely result from disclosure); Open Records Decision Nos. 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3 (1990). Therefore, the submitted information is not excepted from disclosure under section 552.110 of the Government Code, and it must be released.

We note, however, that some of the submitted documents are copyrighted. A custodian of public records must comply with the copyright law and is not required to furnish copies of records that are copyrighted. Attorney General Opinion JM-672 (1987). A governmental body must allow inspection of copyrighted materials unless an exception applies to the information. *Id.* If a member of the public wishes to make copies of copyrighted materials, the person must do so unassisted by the governmental body. In making copies, the member of the public assumes the duty of compliance with the copyright law and the risk of a copyright infringement suit. *See* Open Records Decision No. 550 (1990).

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the

governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



W. Montgomery Meitler
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

WMM/lmt

Ref: ID# 193747

Enc: Submitted documents

c: Mr. Bruce W. Snyder
Vice President of Marketing
Follett Higher Education Group
1818 Swift Drive
Oak Brook, Illinois 60523-1576
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Melissa Avalos
Book Bee
1767 Boca Chica Blvd.
Brownsville, Texas 78520-8140
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Ben S. Dixon
Vice President
Barnes & Noble College Bookstores, Inc.
33 East 17th Street
New York, New York 10003
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Randall F. Wiersma
Senior Vice President
901-D Kildaire Farm Road, Suite 1
Cary, North Carolina 27511
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Brent Dyer
President
Texas Book Company
P. O. Box 212
Greenville, Texas 75403
(w/o enclosures)