



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

February 9, 2004

Ms. Laura Garza Jimenez
Nueces County Attorney
County of Nueces
901 Leopard, Room 207
Corpus Christi, Texas 78401-3680

OR2004-0937

Dear Ms. Jimenez:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 195638.

The Nueces County Attorney's Office (the "county attorney") received a request for information pertaining to a specified Request for Proposals. Although you defer to the interested third parties who may have a proprietary interest in the requested information to raise arguments for withholding the requested information, you indicate that this information may be subject to third party confidentiality claims. You also indicate that the county attorney has notified five interested third parties, Mid-America Services, Inc., Speedy Food Services, Inc., ABL Management, Inc., Aramark Correctional Services ("Aramark"), and Keefe Commissary Network, L.L.C. ("Keefe"), of the county attorney's receipt of the request. We have reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note that you did not submit to us any responsive information pertaining to the bid proposal submitted by Keefe to the county attorney. We, therefore, presume that you have already provided the requestor with this information to the extent that it existed on the date of the county attorney's receipt of this request for information. If not, then you must do so at this time. *See* Gov't Code §§ 552.006, .301, .302; *see also* Open Records Decision No. 664 (2000) (noting that if governmental body concludes that no exceptions apply to requested information, it must release information as soon as possible under circumstances).

Next, we note that an interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of a governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) of the Government Code to submit its reasons, if any, as to why requested information relating to that party should be withheld from disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, no party notified by the county attorney of its receipt of this request for information has submitted comments to this office explaining why any portion of the submitted information relating to that party should not be released to the requestor. Thus, we have no basis to conclude that the release of any portion of the submitted information relating to each of these parties would implicate their proprietary interests. *See, e.g.*, Open Records Decision Nos. 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 661 at 5-6 (1999) (stating that business enterprise that claims exception for commercial or financial information under section 552.110(b) must show by specific factual evidence that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm). Accordingly, we conclude that the county attorney may not withhold any portion of the submitted information relating to these parties on the basis of any proprietary interest that they may have in the information.

Finally, we note that a portion of the bid proposal submitted to the county attorney by Aramark is copyrighted. A custodian of public records must comply with the copyright law and is not required to furnish copies of records that are copyrighted. *See* Attorney General Opinion JM-672 (1987). A governmental body must allow inspection of copyrighted materials unless an exception applies to the information. *See id.* If a member of the public wishes to make copies of copyrighted materials, the person must do so unassisted by the governmental body. In making such copies, the member of the public assumes the duty of compliance with the copyright law and the risk of a copyright infringement suit. *See* Open Records Decision No. 550 (1990).

In summary, the county attorney must release the entirety of the submitted information to the requestor. However, in doing so, the county attorney must comply with the applicable copyright law for any copyrighted information in Aramark's proposal.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general

have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).


If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Ronald J. Bounds
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

RJB/lmt

Ref: ID# 195638

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Dave Michaels
Staff Writer
The Dallas Morning News
P.O. Box 655237
Dallas, Texas 75265
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. John F. Simmons, Jr., Chairman and CEO
Mid-America Services, Inc.
4928 Beeman Ave.
Dallas, Texas 75223
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Robert L. Smith, President
Speedy Food Services, Inc.
4402 Crosstown Expressway
P.O. Box 9784
Corpus Christi, Texas 78469
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. John C. Lawrence, President/CEO
ABL Management, Inc.
11224 Boardwalk, Ste B-1-5
Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70816
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Daniel E. Jameson, Vice President
Aramark Correctional Services
2000 Spring Road, Suite 300
Oak Brook, Illinois 60523
(w/o enclosures)

Keefe Commissary Network, L.L.C.
c/o Laura Garza Jimenez
County of Nueces
901 Leopard, Room 207
Corpus Christi, Texas 78401-3680
(w/o enclosures)