



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

March 29, 2004

Mr. Leonard V. Schneider
Ross, Banks, May, Cron & Cavin, P.C.
2 Riverway, Suite 700
Houston, Texas 77056-1918

OR2004-2425

Dear Mr. Schneider:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 198363.

The City of League City (the "city"), which you represent, received a request for an insurance policy number associated with a car accident. You claim that the submitted information is not subject to disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Alternatively, you claim that the information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. We have considered your arguments and reviewed the submitted information.

The city indicates that the responsive information consists of a record of the municipal court. Therefore, we must consider whether chapter 552 of the Government Code is applicable in this instance. Chapter 552 applies to information maintained by a governmental body. The definition of governmental body "does not include the judiciary." *See* Gov't Code § 552.003(1)(B). Thus, chapter 552 is not applicable to judicial records. *See also Benavides v. Lee*, 665 S.W.2d 151 (Tex. App.--San Antonio 1983, no writ); Attorney General Opinion DM-166 (1992); Open Records Decision No. 618 at 4 (1993). Therefore, because the responsive information consists of a court record held by the municipal court, the city is not required to comply with this request under chapter 552 of the Government Code. *See* Gov't Code § 552.0035 (access to information maintained by or for judiciary is governed by rules adopted by Supreme Court); Tex. R. Jud. Admin. 12 (public access to judicial records);

Attorney General Opinion DM-166 at 1 (chapter 552 neither authorizes information held by judiciary to be withheld nor requires it to be disclosed).¹

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or

¹As chapter 552 is not applicable in this instance, we need not address your arguments under section 552.101.

complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



W. David Floyd
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

WDF/lmt

Ref: ID# 198363

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Wallace C. Tuthill
15918 La Avenida
Houston, Texas 77062
(w/o enclosures)