



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

May 11, 2004

Ms. Sandra Smith
Executive Director
Texas Board of Chiropractic Examiners
333 Guadalupe, Suite 3-825
Austin, Texas 78701-3942

OR2004-3839

Dear Ms. Smith:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 201467.

The Texas Board of Chiropractic Examiners (the "board") received a request for all files related to a named chiropractor. You state that the board will provide the requestor with some of the requested information. However, you claim that portions of the requested information are excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.102, 552.115, and 552.130 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

We first address the issue of whether a petition for expunction of the submitted records related to Case No. 87-CR-362-D, 105th Judicial District Court of Nueces County, Texas, has been granted by the court. Articles 55.01 through 55.05 of the Code of Criminal Procedure provide for the expunction of criminal records in certain limited circumstances. Article 55.03 prescribes the effect of an expunction order and provides:

When the order of expunction is final:

- (1) the release, dissemination, or use of the expunged records and files for any purpose other than a purpose described by Section 411.083(a) or (b)(1), (2), or (3), Government Code, is prohibited;
- (2) except as provided in Subdivision 3 of the article, the person arrested may deny the occurrence of the arrest and the existence of the expunction order; and

(3) the person arrested or any other person, when questioned under oath in a criminal proceeding about an arrest for which the records have been expunged, may state only that the matter in question has been expunged.

Crim. Proc. Code art. 55.03. Article 55.04 imposes sanctions for violations of an expunction order, and provides in pertinent part:

Sec. 1. A person who acquires knowledge of an arrest while an officer or employee of the state or of any agency or other entity of the state ... and who knows of an order expunging the records and files relating to that arrest commits an offense if he knowingly releases, disseminates, or otherwise uses the records or files.

Id. art. 55.04, § 1.

This office has previously determined that the expunction statute prevails over the Public Information Act ("Act"). Open Records Decision No. 457 at 2 (1987) (governmental body prohibited from releasing or disseminating arrest records subject to expunction order, as "those records are not subject to public disclosure under the [Act]"). However, you do not inform us, nor does the information you have provided to us reveal, whether or when any petition for expunction was granted. Thus, if an order for expunction of the records related to Case No. 87-CR-362-D has been granted, then article 55.03 of the Code of Criminal Procedure prohibits the board from releasing these records to the requestor. In the event that no expunction order has been entered that applies to these records, we will address your raised exceptions to disclosure. We will also address your raised exceptions in regard to the remaining submitted information.

Chapter 201 of the Occupations Code governs the practice of chiropractic. Section 201.402 of the Occupations Code provides in part:

(a) Communications between a chiropractor and a patient relating to or in connection with any professional services provided by a chiropractor to the patient are confidential and privileged and may not be disclosed except as provided by this subchapter.

(b) Records of the identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment of a patient by a chiropractor that are created or maintained by a chiropractor are confidential and privileged and may not be disclosed except as provided by this subchapter.

(c) A person who receives information from the confidential communications or records, excluding a person listed in Section 201.404(a) who is acting on the patient's behalf, may not disclose the information except to the extent that disclosure is consistent with the authorized purposes for which the information was first obtained.

Occ. Code § 201.402(a)-(c). Chapter 201 includes exceptions to confidentiality and consent provisions. *See id.* §§ 201.403, .404, .405. We have marked the information that is subject to chapter 201 of the Occupations Code. The board may release this information only if chapter 201 of the Occupations Code permits the board to do so.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from public disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." This section encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy. We note that you also raise section 552.102, which excepts from disclosure "information in a personnel file, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy." Gov't Code § 552.102(a). However, because you do not inform us that any of the information you have submitted to this office is contained in the personnel file of a governmental employee, we find that none of the submitted information may be withheld under section 552.102.

Common-law privacy protects information if it (1) contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) is not of legitimate concern to the public. *Industrial Found. v. Texas Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). The type of information considered intimate and embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation* included information relating to sexual assault, pregnancy, mental or physical abuse in the workplace, illegitimate children, psychiatric treatment of mental disorders, attempted suicide, and injuries to sexual organs. *Id.* at 683. Additionally, this office has found that some kinds of medical information or information indicating disabilities or specific illnesses are excepted from required public disclosure under common-law privacy. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 470 (1987) (illness from severe emotional and job-related stress), 455 (1987) (prescription drugs, illnesses, operations, and physical handicaps). We note that the common-law right to privacy is a personal right that lapses at death, and therefore common-law privacy does not encompass information that relates to a deceased individual. *See Moore v. Charles B. Pierce Film Enters., Inc.*, 589 S.W.2d 489, 491 (Tex. App.—Texarkana 1979, writ ref'd n.r.e.); Open Records Decision No. 272 at 1 (1981). We have marked the information that must be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with the common-law right to privacy. However, we conclude that none of the remaining information is protected by common-law privacy, and it may not be withheld on this basis.

Next, you assert that the submitted death records are excepted under section 552.115 of the Government Code. Birth or death records maintained by the bureau of vital statistics of the Texas Department of Health or a local registration official are excepted from required public disclosure under section 552.115. However, because the board is not the bureau of vital statistics or a local registration official, death records held by the board may not be withheld under section 552.115.

The submitted social security number must be withheld in some circumstances under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with the 1990 amendments to the federal Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. § 405(c)(2)(C)(viii)(I). *See* Open Records Decision

No. 622 (1994). These amendments make confidential social security numbers and related records that are obtained and maintained by a state agency or political subdivision of the state pursuant to any provision of law enacted on or after October 1, 1990. *See id.* We have no basis for concluding that the social security number in the responsive information is confidential under section 405(c)(2)(C)(viii)(I), and therefore excepted from public disclosure under section 552.101 of the Public Information Act ("Act") on the basis of that federal provision. We caution, however, that section 552.352 of the Act imposes criminal penalties for the release of confidential information. Prior to releasing any social security number information, the board should ensure that no such information was obtained or is maintained by it pursuant to any provision of law enacted on or after October 1, 1990.

Section 552.130 of the Government Code prohibits the release of information that relates to a motor vehicle operator's or driver's license or permit issued by an agency of this state or a motor vehicle title or registration issued by an agency of this state. *See Gov't Code* § 552.130. Accordingly, we agree that the board must withhold the submitted Texas driver's license information under section 552.130 of the Government Code.

In summary, if an order for expunction of the records related to Case No. 87-CR-362-D has been granted, then article 55.03 of the Code of Criminal Procedure prohibits the board from releasing these records to the requestor. In the event that no expunction order has been entered and in regard to the remaining submitted information, we conclude that: 1) the information we have marked may only be released in accordance with chapter 201 of the Occupations Code; 2) the board must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy; 3) the submitted social security number may be confidential under federal law; and 4) the board must withhold the submitted Texas driver's license information under section 552.130 of the Government Code. All remaining information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. *Gov't Code* § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the

governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



W. Montgomery Meitler
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

WMM/kr1

Ref: ID# 201467

Enc: Submitted documents

c: Mr. Gregory Lowrey
Allen, Stein & Durbin, P.C.
6243 IH-10 West, 7th Floor
San Antonio, Texas 78201
(w/o enclosures)