ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

May 19, 2004

Ms. Michele Austin
Assistant City Attorney
City of Houston
P.O. Box 1562
Houston, Texas 77251-1562

OR2004-4125

Dear Ms. Austin:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 202125.

The City of Houston (the "city") received a request for records relating to the Brays Bayou Project and Storm Water Relief Projects, including information regarding FEMA applications, the scope of the project, contractual agreements, funding, involved real property, and meetings during which the project was discussed. You state that some information is being made available to the requestor but claim that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.105 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.[1]

Initially, we note that some of the submitted information is subject to section 552.022 of the Government Code. Section 552.022 provides, in pertinent part, as follows:

> (a) Without limiting the amount or kind of information that is public information under this chapter, the following categories of information are public information and not excepted from required disclosure under this chapter unless they are expressly confidential under other law:

[1]We assume that the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). Here, we do not address any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

> (1) a completed report, audit, evaluation, or investigation made of, for, or by a governmental body, except as provided by Section 552.108[.]

Gov't Code § 552.022(a)(1). The submitted information contains a completed appraisal report made for the city. Therefore, as prescribed by section 552.022, the city must release the submitted appraisal report unless it is confidential under other law. You argue that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.105. However, this exception is a discretionary exception under the Public Information Act (the "Act") and does not constitute "other law" for purposes of section 552.022. *See* Open Records Decision No. 564 (1990) (governmental body may waive statutory predecessor to section 552.105). Accordingly, the city may not withhold this information under section 552.105 of the Government Code, and it must be released.

Next, we address your assertion of section 552.105 of the Government Code for the remaining information not subject to section 552.022. Section 552.105 excepts from disclosure information relating to:

> (1) the location of real or personal property for a public purpose prior to public announcement of the project; or
>
> (2) appraisals or purchase price of real or personal property for a public purpose prior to the formal award of contracts for the property.

Gov't Code § 552.105. This provision is designed to protect a governmental body's planning and negotiating position with regard to particular transactions. Open Records Decision Nos. 564 (1990), 357 (1982), 310 (1982). Information excepted under section 552.105 that pertains to such negotiations may be excepted so long as the transaction is not complete. Open Records Decision No. 310 (1982). A governmental body may withhold information "which, if released, would impair or tend to impair [its] 'planning and negotiating position in regard to particular transactions.'" Open Records Decision No. 357 at 3 (1982) (quoting Open Records Decision No. 222 (1979)). The question of whether specific information, if publicly released, would impair a governmental body's planning and negotiation position in regard to particular transactions is a question of fact. Accordingly, this office will accept a governmental body's good faith determination in this regard, unless the contrary is clearly shown as a matter of law. Open Records Decision No. 564 (1990).

In this instance, you state that the submitted information relates "to parcels of land that the City is in the process of acquiring." Further, you explain that the release of this information would harm the city's ability to negotiate. Based on your representations and our review of the remaining information, we conclude that the city may withhold the information not subject to section 552.022 under section 552.105 of the Government Code.

In summary, we conclude that: 1) the city must release the information that is subject to subsection 552.022(a)(1) of the Government Code; and 2) the city may withhold the remaining submitted information under section 552.105 of the Government Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

Amy D. Peterson
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

ADP/sdk

Ref: ID# 202125

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Ms. Katherine Geeslin
c/o Diane Barlow
Casey, Gentz & Sifuentes
919 Congress Avenue, Suite 1060
Austin, Texas 78701
(w/o enclosures)