



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

May 27, 2004

Mr. Martin H. Zelinsky
Attorney
Department of Information Resources
P.O. Box 13564
Austin, Texas 78711-3564

OR2004-4351

Dear Mr. Zelinsky:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 202520.

The Department of Information Resources (the "department") received a request for (1) information from a particular proposal submitted to the department in response to a specified Request for Offers and (2) a contract awarded in conjunction with that Request for Offers. You claim that release of the submitted information may implicate the proprietary interests of a third party under section 552.110 of the Government Code, although you take no position as to whether the information is so excepted. You state, and provide documentation showing, that you notified IBM Corporation ("IBM") of the request and of its right to submit arguments to this office as to why the information should not be released. *See Gov't Code § 552.305(d); see also Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining that statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception to disclosure under Public Information Act ("Act") in certain circumstances).* We have reviewed the submitted information.

We note that an interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why requested information relating to that party should be withheld from disclosure. *See Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B).* As of the date of this letter, IBM has not submitted any comments to this office explaining how release of the submitted information would affect its proprietary interests. Therefore, IBM has provided us with no basis to conclude that it has a protected proprietary interest in any of the submitted information. *See Gov't Code § 552.110(b) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show*

by specific factual or evidentiary material, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that it actually faces competition and that substantial competitive injury would likely result from disclosure); Open Records Decision Nos. 639 at 4 (1996), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3 (1990). Thus, none of the submitted information may be withheld under section 552.110.

We note that portions of the submitted information are protected by copyright. A governmental body must allow inspection of copyrighted materials unless an exception to disclosure applies to the information. See Attorney General Opinion JM-672 (1987). An officer for public information must comply with the copyright law, however, and is not required to furnish copies of records that are copyrighted. *Id.* If a member of the public wishes to make copies of copyrighted materials, he or she must do so unassisted by the governmental body. In making copies, the member of the public assumes the duty of compliance with the copyright law and the risk of a copyright infringement suit. See Open Records Decision No. 550 at 8-9 (1990). As the department claims no other exceptions to disclosure, the submitted information must be released in accordance with applicable copyright law.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Amy D. Peterson
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

ADP/sdk

Ref: ID# 202520

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Douglas P. Allen
Business Development Manager
eiStream Services, Inc.
2911 Turtle Creek Boulevard, Suite 1100
Dallas, Texas 75219
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Amy Thomas Gerling
Partner
IBM Corporation
400 West 15th Street, Suite 1200
Austin, Texas 78701
(w/o enclosures)