



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

July 15, 2004

Ms. Laura C. Rodriguez  
Walsh, Anderson, Brown, Schulze & Aldridge, P.C.  
P.O. Box 460606  
San Antonio, Texas 78246-0606

OR2004-5872

Dear Ms. Rodriguez:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 205205.

The Northside Independent School District (the "district"), which you represent, received a request for information "to be considered in the disciplinary process against" a named district employee. You state that the district has provided the requestor with some of the requested information. You claim that the submitted information, or portions thereof, is excepted from disclosure pursuant to sections 552.026, 552.101, 552.107, 552.111, 552.114, and 552.135 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and have reviewed the submitted information. We have also considered comments submitted by the requestor. *See Gov't Code § 552.304* (providing that person may submit comments stating why information should or should not be released).

We note that we previously addressed the submitted information in Open Records Letter No. 2004-5275 (2004). You do not inform us, nor are we aware, of any changes with regard to the law, facts, and circumstances on which that ruling was based. Accordingly, we conclude that the district must rely on our decision in Open Records Letter No. 2004-5275 (2004) with respect to the information submitted in this instance. *See Gov't Code § 552.301(f)*; *see also* Open Records Decision No. 673 (2001) (so long as law, facts, and circumstances on which prior ruling was based have not changed, first type of previous determination exists where requested information is precisely same information as was

addressed in prior attorney general ruling, ruling is addressed to same governmental body, and ruling concludes that information is or is not excepted from disclosure).<sup>1</sup>

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

---

<sup>1</sup>Because our ruling relies on Open Records Letter No. 2004-5275 (2004), we need not address your claimed exceptions to disclosure.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Ronald J. Bounds  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

RJB/seg

Ref: ID# 205205

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Herman H. Segovia  
Law Offices of Herman H. Segovia  
118 East Ashby  
San Antonio, Texas 78212  
(w/o enclosures)