



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

July 19, 2004

Mr. Paul F. Wieneskie
Cribbs & McFarland
P.O. Box 13060
Arlington, Texas 78094-0060

OR2004-5975

Dear Mr. Wieneskie:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 208364.

The Euless Police Department (the "department"), which you represent, received seven requests for information pertaining to a particular accident. You inform us that the department has provided some information but claim that other requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.108 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note that the submitted information includes a "Texas Peace Officer's Accident Report." Section 550.065(b) of the Transportation Code states that it "applies only to information that is held by the [Department of Public Safety] or another governmental entity and relates to a motor vehicle accident reported under [chapter 552] or Section 601.004 [of the Transportation Code.]" This section states that, except as provided by subsection (c), accident reports are privileged and confidential. Section 550.065(c)(4) provides for release of accident reports to a person who provides two of the following three pieces of information: (1) the date of the accident, (2) the name of any person involved in the accident, and (3) the specific location of the accident. *See* Transp. Code § 550.065(c)(4). Under this provision, a governmental entity is required to release a copy of an accident report to a person who provides two or more pieces of information specified by the statute. *Id.*

In this instance, requestors Ramirez, Collier, Lattig, Millett, and Dennis have each provided the department with at least two of the three listed pieces of information. Therefore, the department must release an unredacted copy of the "Texas Peace Officer's Accident Report"

to each of these requestors. *See* Open Records Decision No. 525 (1989) (exceptions found in predecessor to Act do not, as general rule, apply to information that is made public by other statutes). The remaining requestors have not provided the department with the required information. Thus, pursuant to section 550.065, the department may not release the “Texas Peace Officer’s Accident Report” to these requestors.

We next note that the submitted information includes a court-filed document, which is expressly public under section 552.022 of the Government Code and may not be withheld unless confidential under other law. *See* Gov’t Code § 552.022(a)(17). Section 552.108 is a discretionary exception to disclosure that protects a governmental body’s interests and may be waived by the governmental body. *See* Open Records Decision No. 177 (1977) (governmental body may waive statutory predecessor to section 552.108); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 665 at 2 n.5 (2000) (discretionary exceptions generally). Therefore, it does not constitute other law for purposes of section 552.022, and the court-filed document may not be withheld on this basis. We have marked this document, which must be released in accordance with section 552.022(a)(17).

We turn now to your arguments regarding the remaining submitted information. Section 552.108 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “[i]nformation held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime . . . if: (1) release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime.” Gov’t Code § 552.108(a)(1). Generally, a governmental body claiming section 552.108 must reasonably explain how and why the release of the requested information would interfere with law enforcement. *See* Gov’t Code §§ 552.108(a)(1), (b)(1), .301(e)(1)(A); *see also Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977). You inform us that the remaining submitted information pertains to “an open and active case which is still under active investigation.” Based on your representations, we conclude that section 552.108 is applicable to the remaining submitted information. *See Houston Chronicle Publ’g Co. v. City of Houston*, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1975), *writ ref’d n.r.e. per curiam*, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976) (court delineates law enforcement interests that are present in active cases).

However, section 552.108 does not except basic information about an arrested person, an arrest, or a crime. Gov’t Code § 552.108(c). We believe such basic information refers to the information held to be public in *Houston Chronicle*. *See* 531 S.W.2d at 186-87. Thus, the department must release the types of information that are considered to be front page information, including a detailed description of the offense, even if this information is not actually located on the front page. *See* Open Records Decision No. 127 (1976) (summarizing types of information made public by *Houston Chronicle*). The remaining submitted information may be withheld pursuant to section 552.108(a)(1).

In summary, in accordance with section 550.065 of the Transportation Code, the department must provide requestors Ramirez, Collier, Lattig, Millett, and Dennis with a copy of the “Texas Peace Officer’s Accident Report” and must withhold this report from the remaining

two requestors. The court-filed document we have marked must be released in accordance with section 552.022(a)(17) of the Government Code. Other than basic information, the department may withhold the remaining submitted information pursuant to section 552.108(a)(1) of the Government Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).


If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Denis C. McElroy
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

DCM/sdk

Ref: ID# 208364

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Domingo Ramirez, Jr.
P.O. Box 915008
Fort Worth, Texas 76115
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Patricia Collier
Mr. Derik Lattig
KTVT CBS 11
5233 Bridge Street
Fort Worth, Texas 76103
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Gregg Millett
KDFW Fox 4
400 North Griffin
Dallas, Texas 75202
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Colin Creighton
KDAF WB 33
8001 John Carpenter Freeway
Dallas, Texas 75247
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Debra Dennis
The Dallas Morning News
1256 Main St., Suite 278
Southlake, Texas 76092
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Michael P. Heiskell
Johnson, Vaugh & Heiskell
600 Texas Street, 2d Floor
Fort Worth, Texas 76102-4612
(w/o enclosures)