



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

August 30, 2004

Ms. Carrie S. Kenward
Assistant Director of General Law
Public Utility Commission of Texas
P.O. Box 13326
Austin, Texas 78711

OR2004-7387

Dear Ms. Kenward:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 208042.

The Public Utility Commission of Texas (the "commission") received three requests for information pertaining to the Electric Reliability Council of Texas ("ERCOT"). You claim that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.104 and 552.108 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.¹ We have also considered comments submitted by the Texas Department of Public Safety (the "department"). See Gov't Code § 552.304 (interested party may submit comments stating why information should or should not be released).

Initially, we note that the submitted information includes records that were created after the commission received these requests. Because the commission did not maintain these records at the time it received these requests, the records are not encompassed by these requests, and we do not address them in this ruling. See *Econ. Opportunities Dev. Corp. v. Bustamante*, 562 S.W.2d 266 (Tex. Civ. App.—San Antonio 1978, writ dismissed); Open Records Decision No. 452 at 3 (1986) (governmental body not required to disclose information that did not exist at time request was received).

¹To the extent any additional responsive information existed on the date the commission received this request, we assume you have released it. If you have not released any such records, you must do so at this time. See Gov't Code §§ 552.301(a), 302; see also Open Records Decision No. 664 (2000) (if governmental body concludes that no exceptions apply to requested information, it must release information as soon as possible).

We turn now to your arguments regarding the responsive information. Section 552.108(a)(1) excepts from disclosure “[i]nformation held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime . . . if: (1) release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime.” Gov’t Code § 552.108(a)(1). A governmental body claiming section 552.108 must reasonably explain how and why the release of the requested information would interfere with law enforcement. *See* Gov’t Code §§ 552.108(a)(1), .301(e)(1)(A); *see also Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977).

You inform us that the submitted information pertains to a investigation by the department and that the department objects to release of this information. The comments submitted by the department confirm the existence of that agency’s ongoing investigation and objection to release of the information at issue. Based on these representations and our review of the information at issue, we conclude that section 552.108(a)(1) is applicable to the submitted information, and it may be withheld on this basis. *See Houston Chronicle Publ’g Co. v. City of Houston*, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1975), *writ ref’d n.r.e. per curiam*, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976) (court delineates law enforcement interests that are present in active cases); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 372 (1983) (where incident involving allegedly criminal conduct is still under active investigation or prosecution, law enforcement exception may be invoked by any proper custodian of information which relates to incident).

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov’t Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental


body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Denis C. McElroy
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

DCM/jev

Ref: ID# 208042

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Ms. Claudia Grisales
Austin American Statesman
305 S. Congress Avenue
Austin, Texas 78704
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Randy Chapman
Texas Legal Services Center
815 Brazos, Suite 1100
Austin, Texas 78701
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Pamela Smith
Assistant General Counsel
Texas Department of Public Safety
P.O. Box 4087
Austin, Texas 78773-0001
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Mark Walker
Electric Reliability Council of Texas
7620 Metro Center Drive
Austin, Texas 78744
(w/o enclosures)\

Mr. Chad J. Parks
Canaudit, Inc.
1376 Erringer Road
Simi Valley, California 93065
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Steven Hesse
Assistant District Attorney
Williamson County
405 M.L.K. Blvd.
Georgetown, Texas 78626
(w/o enclosures)