



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

September 9, 2004

Ms. Jennifer Soldano  
Associate General Counsel  
Texas Department of Transportation  
Dewitt C. Greer State Highway Building  
125 East 11<sup>th</sup> Street  
Austin, Texas 78701-2483

OR2004-7684

Dear Ms. Soldano:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 208611.

The Texas Department of Transportation (the "department") received a request for the price paid by the department for land on the Katy Freeway between Blalock and Silber. You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.105 and 552.111 of the Government Code and Rule 192.3 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.<sup>1</sup>

Initially, we note that the submitted information is subject to section 552.022 of the Government Code. Section 552.022 provides, in pertinent part, as follows:

- (a) Without limiting the amount or kind of information that is public information under this chapter, the following categories of information are public information and not excepted from required disclosure under this chapter unless they are expressly confidential under other law:

---

<sup>1</sup> We assume that the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

(1) a completed report, audit, evaluation, or investigation made of, for, or by a governmental body, except as provided by Section 552.108[.]

Gov't Code § 552.022(a)(1). The submitted information constitutes a completed appraisal report made for the department, which is made expressly public by section 552.022, unless it is expressly made confidential under other law. Sections 552.105 and 552.111 of the Government Code, discretionary exceptions under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), do not constitute "other law" for purposes of section 552.022. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 663 (1999) (governmental body may waive section 552.111), 564 (1990) (governmental body may waive statutory predecessor to section 552.105). Accordingly, the department may not withhold this information under section 552.105 or 552.111 of the Government Code.

However, you contend that this licensed appraiser's report constitutes a consulting expert report that may be withheld from disclosure under the consulting expert privilege found in Rule 192.3(e) of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure.<sup>2</sup> A party to litigation is not required to disclose the identity, mental impressions, and opinions of consulting experts. *See* Tex. R. Civ. P. 192.3(e). A "consulting expert" is defined as "an expert who has been consulted, retained, or specially employed by a party in anticipation of litigation or in preparation for trial, but who is not a testifying expert." Tex. R. Civ. P. 192.7.

You explain that when acquiring land, the department obtains expert advice from licensed appraisers in preparing for possible eminent domain litigation. Further, you state that the department does not anticipate calling these experts as witnesses at this time. Based on your representations, we agree that the appraisal report constitutes the opinion of a consulting expert. Accordingly, the department may withhold the submitted information under Rule 192.3(e) of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure. *See In re City of Georgetown*, 53 S.W.3d 328 (Tex. 2001).

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days.

---

<sup>2</sup>The Texas Supreme Court held that "[t]he Texas Rules of Civil Procedure and Texas Rules of Evidence are 'other law' within the meaning of section 552.022." *In re City of Georgetown*, 53 S.W.3d 328 (Tex. 2001).

*Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Lauren E. Kleine  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

LEK/jev

Ref: ID# 208611

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Ms. Lisa Janak  
Harry M. Green Interests, Inc.  
2620 Fountain View, Suite 415  
Houston, Texas 77057  
(w/o enclosures)