



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

October 13, 2004

Mr. Bill Price
Assistant District Attorney
Office of the Criminal District Attorney
Navarro County
300 West 3rd Avenue, Suite 203
Corsicana, Texas 75110

OR2004-8684

Dear Mr. Price:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 210793.

The Navarro County District Attorney's Office (the "district attorney") received a request for information pertaining to a specified case. You indicate that the district attorney has provided the requestor with some of the requested information. You claim that the remaining requested information is excepted from disclosure pursuant to section 552.108 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim.

We note that section 552.301(e) of the Government Code provides that a governmental body that requests an attorney general decision under section 552.301(a) must, within a reasonable time, but not later than the fifteenth business day after the date of receiving the written request, submit to the attorney general, among other items, a copy of the written request for information, written comments stating the reasons why the stated exceptions apply that would allow the information at issue to be withheld from disclosure, and a copy of the specific information requested or representative samples of it, labeled to indicate which exceptions to disclosure apply to which parts of the documents. *See id.* § 552.301(e). To date, the district attorney has failed to provide us with all of this particular information for our review. Thus, we find that the district attorney failed to comply with the procedural requirements of section 552.301 in requesting this decision from us.

Because the district attorney failed to comply with the procedural requirements of section 552.301 in requesting this decision from us, the information at issue is now presumed

public. *See* Gov't Code § 552.302; *see also* *Hancock v. State Bd. of Ins.*, 797 S.W.2d 379 (Tex. App.—Austin 1990, no writ); *City of Houston v. Houston Chronicle Publ'g Co.*, 673 S.W.2d 316, 323 (Tex. App.—Houston [1st Dist.] 1984, no writ); Open Records Decision No. 319 (1982). The district attorney must demonstrate a compelling interest in order to overcome the presumption that the information at issue is now public. *See id.* Normally, a compelling interest is demonstrated when some other source of law makes the information at issue confidential or third party interests are at stake. *See* Open Records Decision No. 150 at 2 (1977). Although the district attorney claims that the information at issue is excepted from disclosure pursuant to section 552.108 of the Government Code, we note that the district attorney has not demonstrated a compelling interest under this exception to disclosure in this instance that would allow any portion of the information at issue to be withheld from disclosure. *See* Open Records Decision No. 177 (1977) (governmental body may waive statutory predecessor to section 552.108); *but see* Open Records Decision No. 586 (1991) (need of another governmental body to withhold requested information may provide compelling reason for nondisclosure under section 552.108 in certain circumstances). Accordingly, we conclude that the district attorney may not withhold any portion of the information at issue under section 552.108 of the Government Code. Consequently, the district attorney must release the information at issue to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839.

The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Ronald J. Bounds
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

RJB/krl

Ref: ID# 210793

c: Mr. Jim Hering
801 Washington Avenue, Eighth Floor
Waco, Texas 76701-1289