



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

May 17, 2005

Mr. Ernesto Rodriguez  
Assistant City Attorney  
City of El Paso  
2 Civic Center Plaza, 9<sup>th</sup> Floor  
El Paso, Texas 79901

OR2005-04267

Dear Mr. Rodriguez:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 224218.

The El Paso Police Department (the "department") received three separate requests for information relating to a fatal vehicle accident. You claim that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.103 and 552.108 of the Government Code. We note that the information responsive to the second and third requests is subsumed by the first request. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Pursuant to section 552.301(b), a governmental body must ask for a decision from this office and state the exceptions that apply not later than the tenth business day after the date of receiving the written request. The department timely submitted its request for a decision; however, the department failed to timely raise section 552.108 of the Government Code. Consequently, the department failed to comply with section 552.301(b) of the Government Code with regard to its claim under section 552.108.

Section 552.108 is a discretionary exception to disclosure that protects a governmental body's interests and may be waived. *See* Gov't Code § 552.007; Open Records Decision Nos. 663 at 5 (1999) (untimely request for decision resulted in waiver of discretionary exceptions), 177 (1977) (statutory predecessor to Gov't Code § 552.108 subject to waiver). By your failure to comply with section 552.301 in regard to your section 552.108 claim, the department has waived this exception. Therefore, the department may not withhold the submitted information on the basis of section 552.108.

Next, we note that the submitted information includes an ST-3 accident report form completed pursuant to chapter 550 of the Transportation Code. *See* Transp. Code § 550.064 (Texas Peace Officer's Accident Report form). Section 550.065(b) of the Transportation Code states that except as provided by subsection (c), accident reports are privileged and confidential. Section 550.065(c)(4) provides for the release of accident reports to a person who provides two of the following three pieces of information: (1) date of the accident; (2) name of any person involved in the accident; and (3) specific location of the accident. Transp. Code § 550.065(c)(4). In this case, each requestor has provided two pieces of information specified by the statute. Accordingly, the ST-3 accident report in the submitted documents must be released to the requestors.

The submitted information also contains a custodial death report. In 2003, the Office of the Attorney General (the "OAG") revised the format of a custodial death report. Previously, the report consisted of five sections. In Open Records Decision No. 521 at 5 (1989), we concluded that under article 49.18(b) of the Code of Criminal Procedure in conjunction with a directive issued by the OAG, section one of a custodial death report filed with this office was public information and must be released, but sections two through five of the report, as well as attachments to the report, were confidential. *See* Crim. Proc. Code art. 49.18(b) (attorney general shall make report, with exception of any portion of report that attorney general determines is privileged, available to any interested person). A custodial death report now consists of two pages and an attached summary of how the death occurred. The OAG has determined that the two-page report and summary must be released to the public; however, any other documents submitted with the revised report are confidential under article 49.18 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. In this instance, the submitted information includes the revised custodial death report form and an attached summary of how the death occurred. This information must be released under article 49.18 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

The submitted information also includes a complaint affidavit. Article 15.26 of the Code of Criminal Procedure states "[t]he arrest warrant, and any affidavit presented to the magistrate in support of the issuance of the warrant, is public information." Crim. Proc. Code art. 15.26. Article 15.04 provides that "[t]he affidavit made before the magistrate or district or county attorney is called a 'complaint' if it charges the commission of an offense." Crim. Proc. Code art. 15.04. Case law indicates that a complaint can support the issuance of an arrest warrant. *See Janecka v. State*, 739 S.W.2d 813, 822-23 (Tex. Crim. App. 1987); *Villegas v. State*, 791 S.W.2d 226, 235 (Tex. App.—Corpus Christi 1990, pet. ref'd); *Borsari v. State*, 919 S.W.2d 913, 918 (Tex. App.—Houston [14 Dist.] 1996, pet. ref'd) (discussing well-established principle that complaint in support of arrest warrant need not contain same particularity required of indictment). Therefore, to the extent the submitted complaint affidavit was presented to a magistrate in support of the issuance of an arrest warrant, it is public under article 15.26 of the Code of Criminal Procedure and must be released in its entirety.

Next, we note the majority of the submitted information is subject to required public disclosure under section 552.022 of the Government Code, which provides in relevant part:

the following categories of information are public information and not excepted from required disclosure under this chapter unless they are expressly confidential under other law:

- (1) a completed report, audit, evaluation, or investigation made of, for, or by a governmental body, except as provided by Section 552.108[.]

Gov't Code § 552.022(a)(1). The submitted information contains completed police reports, as well as a completed medical examiner's autopsy. Therefore, as prescribed by section 552.022, the department must release these reports unless they are confidential under other law. You claim that this information is excepted by section 552.103 of the Government Code. However, section 552.103 is a discretionary exception to public disclosure that protects the governmental body's interests and may be waived. *See Dallas Area Rapid Transit v. Dallas Morning News*, 4 S.W.3d 469 (Tex. App.—Dallas 1999, no pet.) (governmental body may waive § 552.103); Open Records Decision No. 551 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.103 serves only to protect governmental body's position in litigation and does not itself make information confidential); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 665 at 2 n.5 (2000) (discretionary exceptions generally). As such, section 552.103 does not qualify as other law that makes information confidential.

However, we note the submitted reports contain information that is confidential by law.<sup>1</sup> Section 552.130 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure information that "relates to . . . a motor vehicle operator's or driver's license or permit issued by an agency of this state [or] a motor vehicle title or registration issued by an agency of this state." Gov't Code § 552.130. In accordance with section 552.130 of the Government Code, the department must withhold the Texas driver's license information we have marked in the submitted police reports. *See* Gov't Code § 552.130.

The submitted reports also include insurance policy numbers that are subject to section 552.136 of the Government Code. This section provides that "[n]otwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential." Gov't Code § 552.136. Accordingly, the department must withhold the policy numbers we have marked pursuant to section 552.136.

---

<sup>1</sup> The Office of the Attorney General will raise mandatory exceptions on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

Furthermore, the reports contain the social security numbers of private citizens. Section 552.101 excepts from disclosure “information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision” and encompasses information made confidential by federal law. The 1990 amendments to the federal Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. § 405(c)(2)(C)(viii)(I), make confidential social security numbers and related records that are obtained or maintained by a state agency or political subdivision of the state pursuant to any provision of law enacted on or after October 1, 1990. *See id.* We have no basis for concluding that any of the social security numbers in the submitted reports are confidential under section 405(c)(2)(C)(viii)(I), and therefore excepted from public disclosure under section 552.101 on the basis of that federal provision. We caution, however, that section 552.352 of the Act imposes criminal penalties for the release of confidential information. Prior to releasing any social security numbers, you should ensure that no such information is obtained or maintained by the department pursuant to any provision of law enacted on or after October 1, 1990.

We now address your claims under section 552.103 of the Government Code with regard to the remaining submitted information. Section 552.103 provides in part:

(a) Information is excepted from [required public disclosure] if it is information relating to litigation of a civil or criminal nature to which the state or a political subdivision is or may be a party or to which an officer or employee of the state or a political subdivision, as a consequence of the person’s office or employment, is or may be a party.

...

(c) Information relating to litigation involving a governmental body or an officer or employee of a governmental body is excepted from disclosure under Subsection (a) only if the litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated on the date that the requestor applies to the officer for public information for access to or duplication of the information.

Gov’t Code § 552.103(a), (c). A governmental body has the burden of providing relevant facts and documents to show that the section 552.103(a) exception is applicable in a particular situation. The test for meeting this burden is a showing that (1) litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated on the date the governmental body received the request for information, and (2) the information at issue is related to that litigation. *Univ. of Tex. Law Sch. v. Tex. Legal Found.*, 958 S.W.2d 479, 481 (Tex. App.—Austin 1997, no pet.); *Heard v. Houston Post Co.*, 684 S.W.2d 210, 212 (Tex. App.—Houston [1st Dist.] 1984, writ ref’d n.r.e.); Open Records Decision No. 551 at 4 (1990). A governmental body must meet both prongs of this test for information to be excepted under section 552.103(a).

You explain that on December 28, 2004 a cause of action was filed against the City of El Paso (the "city") relating to the accident at issue. The submitted documents reflect that the city was a party to the pending lawsuit, Cause No. 2004-4597, filed on December 28, 2004 in County Court at Law Number 3, El Paso County, Texas. You do not explain that the department, the entity that received the requests, is a party to that litigation. See Gov't Code § 552.103(a); Open Records Decision No. 575 at 2 (1990). In such a situation, we require an affirmative representation from the governmental body with the litigation interest that the governmental body wants the submitted information withheld from disclosure under section 552.103. You state that the city wants the information withheld under this exception. After reviewing your arguments and the submitted information, we agree that litigation was pending as of the date the requests were received and the information at issue relates to the pending litigation.

Generally, however, once information has been obtained by all parties to the litigation through discovery or otherwise, no section 552.103(a) interest exists with respect to that information. Open Records Decision Nos. 349 (1982), 320 (1982). Thus, information that has either been obtained from or provided to the opposing party in the pending litigation is not excepted from disclosure under section 552.103(a), and must be disclosed. Further, the applicability of section 552.103(a) ends once the litigation has been concluded. Attorney General Opinion MW-575 (1982); Open Records Decision No. 350 (1982). We note that the remaining submitted information includes a letter received by the city from opposing counsel in the pending lawsuit at issue; this letter may not be withheld under section 552.103. We have marked the remaining submitted information that may be withheld pursuant to section 552.103 of the Government Code.

In summary, (1) the department must release the submitted ST-3 report, as well as the custodial death report and summary; (2) to the extent the submitted complaint affidavit was presented to a magistrate in support of the issuance of an arrest warrant, it is public under article 15.26 of the Code of Criminal Procedure and must be released in its entirety; (3) the department must release the submitted police reports and medical examiner's autopsy with the exception of the marked information that must be withheld under sections 552.130 and 552.136 of the Government Code; (4) the social security numbers in the submitted reports may be confidential under federal law; and (5) we have marked the submitted information that may be withheld under section 552.103 of the Government Code. The remaining submitted information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the

governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Tex. Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Ramsey A. Abarca  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

RAA/jev

Ref: ID# 224218

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Dennis Dement  
Dennis Dement and Associates  
1100 Guadalupe  
Austin, Texas 78701  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Robert E. Ammons  
The Ammons Law Firm, L.L.P.  
3700 Montrose Boulevard  
Houston, Texas 77006  
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Wendy Gardner  
PDR  
550 Wescott, Suite 420  
Houston, Texas 77007  
(w/o enclosures)