



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

June 14, 2005

Ms. Mia M. Martin
General Counsel
Richardson I.S.D.
400 South Greenville Avenue
Richardson, Texas 75081-4198

OR2005-05236

Dear Ms. Martin:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 225984.

The Richardson Independent School District (the "district") received a request for "a copy of the Avnet/Cisco Response to [the district's] Network Electronics RFCO dated 12/7/04[.]" You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.104 of the Government Code. You also state that releasing the requested information may implicate the proprietary interests of Avnet/Cisco. You inform us that you have notified this interested third party of the district's receipt of the request for information and of its right to submit arguments to this office as to why the information at issue should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining that statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception to disclosure in certain circumstances). Avnet/Cisco has responded to the notice and argues that some of the requested information is excepted under sections 552.104 and 552.110 of the Government Code. We have considered the submitted arguments and reviewed the submitted information.¹

¹This letter ruling assumes that the submitted representative sample of information is truly representative of the requested information as a whole. This ruling neither reaches nor authorizes the district to withhold any information that is substantially different from the submitted information. *See* Gov't Code §§ 552.301(e)(1)(D), .302; Open Records Decision Nos. 499 at 6 (1988), 497 at 4 (1988).

Section 552.104 states that information is excepted from required public disclosure if release of the information would give advantage to a competitor or bidder. The purpose of this exception is to protect the interests of a governmental body usually in competitive bidding situations. *See* Open Records Decision No. 592 (1991). This exception protects information from public disclosure if the governmental body demonstrates potential harm to its interests in a particular competitive situation. *See* Open Records Decision No. 463 (1987). Generally, section 552.104 does not except bids from public disclosure after bidding is completed and the contract has been executed. *See* Open Records Decision 541 (1990).

You inform us that the district did not enter into a contract following the issuance of the RFCO. The district further informs this office that it “intend[s] to reissue the RFCO” and the district “expects it to be substantially similar to the one in question.” The district asserts that “disclosure of the confidential information in Avent’s response . . . may impair the [district’s] ability to obtain the best value for the project at such time as it does move forward with the RFCO.” Based on your representations and our review, we conclude that the district may withhold the submitted information under section 552.104 of the Government Code.²

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov’t Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general’s Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

²As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address the remaining arguments against disclosure.

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Tex. Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Tamara L. Harswick
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

TLH/sdk

Ref: ID# 225984

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Carl Hardaway
Nortel
2370-D Performance Drive - MS 087-07-B50
Richardson, Texas 75082
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Kaja-Anne Jezycki
Avnet, Inc.
8700 South Price Road
Tempe, Arizona 85284
(w/o enclosures)