ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

August 2, 2005

Mr. James M. Frazier III
Assistant General Counsel
Texas Department of Criminal Justice
P. O. Box 4004
Huntsville, Texas 77342-4004

OR2005-06929

Dear Mr. Frazier:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 229423.

The Texas Department of Criminal Justice (the "department") received a request for information related to a specified job posting for purchaser for which the requestor applied. You state you will release some information but you claim that the submitted interview questions and answers are excepted from disclosure under section 552.122 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information..

Section 552.122 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "a test item developed by a . . . governmental body[.]" Gov't Code § 552.122(b). In Open Records Decision No. 626 (1994), this office determined that the term "test item" in section 552.122 includes "any standard means by which an individual's or group's knowledge or ability in a particular area is evaluated," but does not encompass evaluations of an employee's overall job performance or suitability. Open Records Decision No. 626 at 6 (1994). The question of whether specific information falls within the scope of section 552.122(b) must be determined on a case-by-case basis. *Id.* Traditionally, this office has applied section 552.122 where release of "test items" might compromise the effectiveness of future examinations. *Id.* at 4-5; *see also* Open Records Decision No. 118 (1976) (construing statutory predecessor). Section 552.122 also protects the answers to test questions when the answers might reveal the questions themselves. *See* Attorney General Opinion JM-640 at 3 (1987); ORD 626 at 8.

You seek to withhold six interview questions, as well as the model and actual answers to those questions, under section 552.122. Based on your representations and our review of the information at issue, we find that only questions 2, 3, and 4 qualify as a test items under section 552.122(b). We also find that the release of the model and actual answers to these questions would tend to reveal the questions themselves. We therefore conclude that the department may withhold questions 2, 3, and 4, along with the submitted model and actual answers to those questions, under section 552.122. However, we conclude the remaining questions merely evaluate an individual's overall job suitability and do not evaluate that individual's knowledge or ability in a particular area. Thus, these remaining interview questions do not qualify as test items under section 552.122(b) and must be released. We have marked the interview questions accordingly.

We note that the submitted information contains the social security numbers of the requestor and other job applicants. Section 552.147 of the Government Code[1] provides that "[t]he social security number of a living person is excepted from" required public disclosure under the Act. The requestor's social security number must be released to her because she possesses a special right of access to it. *See* Gov't Code § 552.023 (person or person's authorized representative has special right of access to information relating to person and protected from public disclosure by laws intended to protect that person's privacy interests). However, the department must withhold the remaining social security numbers, which we have marked, under section 552.147.[2]

In summary, the department may withhold questions 2, 3, and 4 in the submitted information, along with the submitted model and actual answers to those questions, under section 552.122. The department must withhold the marked social security numbers under section 552.147. The remaining submitted information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full

---

[1] Added by Act of May 23, 2005, 79th Leg., R.S., S.B. 1485, § 1, sec. 552.147(a) (to be codified at Tex. Gov't Code § 552.147).

[2] We note that section 552.147(b) of the Government Code authorizes a governmental body to redact a living person's social security number from public release without the necessity of requesting a decision from this office under the Act.

benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Tex. Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Ramsey A. Abarca
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

RAA/jev

Ref: ID# 229423

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Ms. Erica Atkins
2 Love Loop Spur
Richards, Texas 77873
(w/o enclosures)