ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

September 9, 2005

Mr. David Anderson
General Counsel
Office of Legal Services
Texas Education Agency
1701 N. Congress Ave.
Austin, Texas 78701-1494

OR2005-08232

Dear Mr. Anderson:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 231931.

The Texas Education Agency (the "agency") received four requests for all proposals submitted, evaluations, and the final awarded contract regarding RFP No. 701-05-17834. You indicate that the submitted information may be subject to third party proprietary interests, and thus, pursuant to section 552.305 of the Government Code, you have notified CTB/ McGraw-Hill, LLC ("CTB"), Pearson Educational Measurement ("Pearson"), and Harcourt Assessment, Inc. ("Harcourt") of the request and of each company's right to submit arguments to this office as to why the information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining that statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception to disclosure under Public Information Act in certain circumstances). We have reviewed the submitted information.

We note that an interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why requested information relating to that party should be withheld from disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, this office has not received comments from CTB or Harcourt explaining how the release of each company's submitted information will affect its proprietary interests. Thus, we have no basis to conclude that the release of any portion of the submitted information would implicate the proprietary interests

of CTB or Harcourt. *See, e.g.,* Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (stating that business enterprise that claims exception for commercial or financial information under section 552.110(b) must show by specific factual evidence that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret). Accordingly, we conclude that the agency must release the submitted information related to CTB and Harcourt.

In its comments to this office, Pearson claims that its Test Administration Schedule, Master Schedule, Online Testing, Item and Prompt Development, Item Banks, System Design, Hand-scoring, Technical Assistance, Management Plan, HUB Subcontracting Plan, and the detail price schedules are confidential proprietary information. Section 552.110(b) protects "[c]ommercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained[.]" Gov't Code § 552.110(b). This exception to disclosure requires a specific factual or evidentiary showing, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that substantial competitive injury would likely result from release of the information at issue. Gov't Code § 552.110(b); *see also National Parks & Conservation Ass'n v. Morton,* 498 F.2d 765 (D.C. Cir. 1974); Open Records Decision No. 661 (1999).

In its brief, Person only makes a generalized allegation that the information at issue is confidential and proprietary. Pearson has not, however, provided any specific factual or evidentiary showing that substantial competitive injury would likely result from the release of the information at issue. *See also* Open Records Decision Nos. 509 at 5 (1988) (stating that because costs, bid specifications, and circumstances would change for future contracts, assertion that release of bid proposal might give competitor unfair advantage on future contracts was entirely too speculative). We further note that the pricing information of a winning bidder is generally not excepted under section 552.110(b). *See* Open Records Decision No. 514 (1988) (public has interest in knowing prices charged by government contractors). *See generally* Freedom of Information Act Guide & Privacy Act Overview, 219 (2000) (federal cases applying analogous Freedom of Information Act reasoning that disclosure of prices charged government is a cost of doing business with government). Moreover, we believe the public has a strong interest in the release of prices in government contract awards. *See* Open Records Decision No. 494 (1988) (requiring balancing of public interest in disclosure with competitive injury to company). Accordingly, the agency may not withhold any of the remaining information pursuant to section 552.110 of the Government Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the

governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Tex. Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

Jaclyn N. Thompson
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

JNT/jpa

Ref: ID# 231931

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Molly Zebrowski
National Assessment Consultant
9830 Fortune Ridge
Converse, Texas 78109
(w/o enclosures)

David Anderson
HillCo Partners
823 Congress Avenue, Ste. 900
Austin, Texas 78701
(w/o enclosures)

Jake Parizek
National Account Executive
1427 Cedar St.
Iowa City, Iowa 52245
(w/o enclosures)

Norma Reyna
Harcourt Assessment, Inc.
19500 Bulverde Rd.
San Antonio, Texas 78259
(w/o enclosures)

David Tong, National Accounts Mgr.
CTB/ McGraw- Hill LLC
20 Ryan Ranch
Monterey, California 93940

Douglas Kuback, President and CEO
Pearson Educational Measurement
2510 N. Dodge Street
Iowa City, Iowa 52245

Jeffery Galt, President and CEO
Harcourt Assessment, Inc.
19500 Bulverde Rd
San Antonio, Texas 78259