ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

October 21, 2005

Mr. W. Montgomery Meitler
Senior Attorney
Texas Department of Family & Protective Services
P. O. Box 149030
Austin, Texas 78714-9030

OR2005-09561

Dear Mr. Meitler:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 234669.

The Texas Department of Family and Protective Services (the "department") received two requests for a department contract with Northrop Grumman Information Technology ("NGIT"), contract number 99990914. Although you make no arguments and take no position as to whether the requested information is excepted from disclosure, you indicate that this information may be subject to third party proprietary interests. You indicate that pursuant to section 552.305 of the Government Code, you notified NGIT of the request and of its opportunity to submit comments to this office. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305 (permitting interested third party to submit to attorney general reasons why requested information should not be released); Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining that statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception to disclosure in certain circumstances). NGIT provided this office with comments asserting portions of the requested information are excepted from disclosure. We have considered the submitted comments and have reviewed the information you have submitted.[1]

[1]We note that the requestors seek only a specified contract. However, the department appears to have submitted additional information to this office for our review that is not part of the requested contract. To the extent that any of the submitted information is not part of the requested contract, such information is not responsive to the requests. This ruling does not address the public availability of any submitted information that is not responsive to the request, and the department need not release such non-responsive information.

NGIT asserts that certain line item prices in the requested contract are excepted from disclosure pursuant to section 552.110(b) of the Government Code. Section 552.110(b) excepts from disclosure "[c]ommercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained." Section 552.110(b) requires a specific factual or evidentiary showing, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that substantial competitive injury would likely result from release of the requested information. *See* Open Records Decision No. 661 at 5-6 (1999).

Having considered NGIT's submitted comments and reviewed the information at issue, we find NGIT has made only conclusory allegations that release of the requested information would cause it substantial competitive injury and has provided no specific factual or evidentiary showing to support these allegations. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (for information to be withheld under commercial or financial information prong of section 552.110, business must show by specific factual evidence that substantial competitive injury would result from release of particular information at issue), 509 at 5 (1988) (because costs, bid specifications, and circumstances would change for future contracts, assertion that release of bid proposal might give competitor unfair advantage on future contracts is too speculative), 319 at 3 (1982) (information relating to pricing not ordinarily excepted from disclosure under statutory predecessor to section 552.110). Furthermore, the pricing information of a winning bidder is generally not excepted under section 552.110(b). This office considers the prices charged in government contract awards to be a matter of strong public interest. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 514 (1988) (public has interest in knowing prices charged by government contractors), 494 (1988) (requiring balancing of public interest in disclosure with competitive injury to company); *see generally* Freedom of Information Act Guide & Privacy Act Overview, 219 (2000) (federal cases applying analogous Freedom of Information Act reasoning that disclosure of prices charged government is a cost of doing business with government). Accordingly, no portion of the information at issue may be withheld pursuant to section 552.110(b) and the requested contract must be released to the requestors.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Ramsey A. Abarca
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

RAA/krl

Ref: ID# 234669

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Dan Mays
McWilliams & Associates
1220 Colorado, Suite 100
Austin, Texas 78751
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Adrienne O'Keefe
Bates Investigations, Inc.
4131 Spicewood Springs Road, #J2
Austin, Texas 78759
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Brian Tulga
Northrop Grumman Information Technology, Inc.
7745 Chevy Chase Drive, Building V, Suite 100
Austin, Texas 78752-1508
(w/o enclosures)