



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

GREG ABBOTT

November 9, 2005

Mr. Rashaad V. Gambrell  
Assistant City Attorney  
City of Houston Legal Department  
P.O. Box 1562  
Houston, Texas 77251-1562

OR2005-10136

Dear Mr. Gambrell:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 236137.

The Houston Police Department (the "department") received a request for four specified offense reports. You inform us that offense report No. 0412092157 is in the possession of the Harris County Sheriff's Department.<sup>1</sup> You claim that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.108, 552.130, 552.136, and 552.147 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup>The Act does not require a governmental body to release information that did not exist when a request for information was received or to prepare new information in response to a request. *See Econ. Opportunities Dev. Corp. v. Bustamante*, 562 S.W.2d 266, 267-68 (Tex. Civ. App.—San Antonio 1978, writ dismissed); Open Records Decision Nos. 605 at 2 (1992), 452 at 3 (1986), 362 at 2 (1983).

<sup>2</sup>The department acknowledges it failed to timely assert section 552.136. *See Gov't Code* § 552.301(b)(1), (4). However, because this section is a mandatory exception, we will address your argument under it. *See id.* § 552.302; *Hancock v. State Bd. of Ins.*, 797 S.W.2d 379, 381-82 (Tex. App.—Austin 1990, no writ) (governmental body must make compelling demonstration to overcome presumption of openness pursuant to statutory predecessor to section 552.302).

Initially, we note that the submitted information contains complaints and arrest warrant affidavits. Article 15.26 of the Code of Criminal Procedure states “[t]he arrest warrant, and any affidavit presented to the magistrate in support of the issuance of the warrant, is public information.” Article 15.04 of the Code of Criminal Procedure provides that “[t]he affidavit made before the magistrate or district or county attorney is called a ‘complaint’ if it charges the commission of an offense.” Case law indicates that a complaint can support the issuance of an arrest warrant. *See Janecka v. State*, 739 S.W.2d 813, 822-23 (Tex. Crim. App. 1987); *Villegas v. State*, 791 S.W.2d 226, 235 (Tex. App.—Corpus Christi 1990, pet. ref’d); *Borsari v. State*, 919 S.W.2d 913, 918 (Tex. App.—Houston [14 Dist.] 1996, pet. ref’d) (complaint in support of arrest warrant need not contain same particularity required of indictment). The exceptions to disclosure in the Act do not apply to information that is made public by other statutes. *See Open Records Decision Nos. 623 at 3 (1994), 525 at 3 (1989)*. Thus, to the extent that the submitted complaints and arrest warrant affidavits were presented to the magistrate in support of issuance of an arrest warrant, the department must release them pursuant to article 15.26. To the extent that they were not so presented, they are not made public by article 15.26 and must be disposed of along with the remaining information at issue.

The department asserts that the remaining information is excepted under section 552.108 of the Government Code. Section 552.108(a)(1) excepts from disclosure “[i]nformation held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime [if] release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime.” A governmental body claiming section 552.108 must reasonably explain how and why the release of the requested information would interfere with law enforcement. *See Gov’t Code §§ 552.108(a)(1), (b)(1), 552.301(e)(1)(A); see also Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977). You state that the submitted offense reports relate to pending criminal investigations. Based on this representation, we conclude that the release of this information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime. *See Houston Chronicle Publ’g Co. v. City of Houston*, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1975), *writ ref’d n.r.e.*, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976) (court delineates law enforcement interests that are present in active cases).

However, section 552.108 does not except from disclosure basic information about an arrested person, an arrest, or a crime. Gov’t Code § 552.108(c). Basic information refers to the information held to be public in *Houston Chronicle*. Thus, with the exception of the basic front-page offense and arrest information, the department may withhold the remaining information under section 552.108(a)(1).<sup>3</sup>

---

<sup>3</sup>As we are able to resolve this under section 552.108, we do not address your other arguments for exception of the submitted information.

To conclude, to the extent that any complaint or arrest warrant affidavit in the submitted information was presented to the magistrate in support of the issuance of an arrest warrant, the complaint or affidavit must be released pursuant to article 15.26. With the exception of the basic front-page offense and arrest information, the department may withhold under section 552.108(a)(1) the remaining information, including any complaint or arrest warrant affidavit that is not made public pursuant to article 15.26.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).


If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



James L. Coggeshall  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

JLC/jpa

Ref: ID# 236137

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Ms. Kathy Kelley  
Edmondson & Associates, LLP  
2010 North Loop West Suite 270  
Houston, Texas 77018  
(w/o enclosures)