



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

March 22, 2006

Ms. YuShan Chang  
Assistant City Attorney  
City of Houston Legal Department  
P. O. Box 1562  
Houston, Texas 77251-1562

OR2006-02784

Dear Ms. Chang:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 244508.

The Houston Police Department (the "department") received two requests from the same requestor for 1) all electronic communication sent or received from police patrol units during a specified period of time, and 2) fourteen categories of information related to a named officer. You state that the requestor has modified part of his request and that you will release a portion of the requested information. You claim that the remaining requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. Alternatively, you claim that portions of the submitted information are excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.130, and 552.147. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.101 excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. This section encompasses information protected by other statutes, such as section 143.089(g) of the Local Government Code. The City of Houston is a civil service city under chapter 143 of the Local Government Code. Section 143.089 contemplates two different types of personnel files, a police officer's civil service file that the civil service director is required to maintain, and an internal file that the police department may maintain for its own use. Local Gov't Code § 143.089(a), (g). In cases in which a police department investigates a police officer's

misconduct and takes disciplinary action against an officer, it is required by section 143.089(a)(2) to place all investigatory records relating to the investigation and disciplinary action, including background documents such as complaints, witness statements, and documents of like nature from individuals who were not in a supervisory capacity, in the police officer's civil service file maintained under section 143.089(a). *Abbott v. City of Corpus Christi*, 109 S.W.3d 113, 122 (Tex. App.—Austin 2003, no pet.). All investigatory materials in a case resulting in disciplinary action are “from the employing department” when they are held by or in possession of the department because of its investigation into a police officer's misconduct, and the department must forward them to the civil service commission for placement in the civil service personnel file. *Id.* Chapter 143 prescribes the following types of disciplinary actions: removal, suspension, demotion, and uncompensated duty. *See* Local Gov't Code §§ 143.051-143.055. Such records are subject to release under chapter 552 of the Government Code. *See id.* § 143.089(f); Open Records Decision No. 562 at 6 (1990). However, information that reasonably relates to an officer's employment relationship with the police department and that is maintained in a police department's internal file pursuant to section 143.089(g) is confidential and must not be released. *City of San Antonio v. San Antonio Express-News*, 47 S.W.3d 556 (Tex. App.—San Antonio 2000, pet. denied); *City of San Antonio v. Texas Attorney General*, 851 S.W.2d 946, 949 (Tex. App.—Austin 1993, writ denied).

You inform us that the information contained in Exhibit 2 is kept in the officer's section 143.089(g) file as information related to the officer's employment relationship with the police department. Based on these representations, we conclude that the information in Exhibit 2 is confidential under section 143.089(g) of the Local Government Code and must therefore be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code.

We now turn to the documents contained in Exhibit 3. Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses section 143.1214 of the Local Government Code. Section 143.1214 provides in relevant part:

(b) The department shall maintain an investigatory file that relates to a disciplinary action against a fire fighter or police officer that was overturned on appeal, or any document in the possession of the department that relates to a charge of misconduct against a fire fighter or police officer, regardless of whether the charge is sustained, only in a file created by the department for the department's use. The department may only release information in those investigatory files or documents relating to a charge of misconduct:

- (1) to another law enforcement agency or fire department;
- (2) to the office of a district or United States attorney; or
- (3) in accordance with Subsection (c).

(c) The department head or the department head's designee may forward a document that relates to disciplinary action against a fire fighter or police officer to the director or the director's designee for inclusion in the fire fighter's or police officer's personnel file maintained under Sections 143.089(a)-(f) only if:

- (1) disciplinary action was actually taken against the fire fighter or police officer;
- (2) the document shows the disciplinary action taken; and
- (3) the document includes at least a brief summary of the facts on which the disciplinary action was based.

Local Gov't Code § 143.1214(b)-(c). You state that a portion of the information in Exhibit 3 relates to an investigation that did not result in disciplinary action. Thus, you indicate that this information is maintained by the department in a departmental file and is not part of the officer's civil service personnel file. *See* Local Gov't Code § 143.1214(c); *see also* Local Gov't Code § 143.089(a)-(f). Based on your representations and our review, we conclude that the information at issue in Exhibit 3 is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 143.1214 of the Local Government Code. *See also* Open Records Decision No. 642 (1996) (concluding that files relating to investigations of Houston Fire Department personnel by Public Integrity Review Group of Houston Police Department were confidential under section 143.1214).

The remaining information in Exhibit 3, however, relates to an investigation that did result in disciplinary action. You state that this information is maintained by the department in a departmental file. You also state that the department has forwarded the documents meeting the requirements of section 143.1214(c) to the officer's personnel file maintained under section 143.089(a). However, you state that the remaining information in Exhibit 3 does not meet all of the conditions of section 143.1214(c) for inclusion in the officer's civil service file. Based on your representations, we conclude that the remaining information in Exhibit 3 is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 143.1214 of the Local Government Code. *See also* Open Records Decision No. 642.

In summary, the department must withhold the following: 1) the information contained in Exhibit 2 pursuant to section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 143.089(g) of the Local Government Code; and 3) the information contained in

Exhibit 3 pursuant to section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 143.1214 of the Local Government Code.<sup>1</sup>

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

---

<sup>1</sup>As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address your remaining arguments against disclosure.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Candice M. De La Garza". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Candice M. De La Garza  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

CMD/krl

Ref: ID# 244508

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Jim W. James  
Law Office of James & Reynolds  
P. O. Box 1146  
Bryan, Texas 77806  
(w/o enclosures)