ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

March 30, 2006

Ms. Cherry Kay Wolf
Associate General Counsel
The Texas A&M University System
John B. Connally Building, 6th Floor
301 Tarrow
College Station, Texas 77840-7896

OR2006-03188

Dear Ms. Wolf:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 245284.

The Texas A&M International University (the "university") received two requests for numerous categories of information regarding the source and expenditure of public funds for the Center for Fine and Performing Arts and the Sharkey-Corrigan Pipe Organ. You claim that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.103 and 552.1235 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note that some of the submitted information is subject to section 552.022 of the Government Code. Section 552.022 provides that

> the following categories of information are public information and not excepted from required disclosure under this chapter unless they are expressly confidential under other law:
>
> > (3) information in an account, voucher, or contract relating to the receipt or expenditure of public or other funds by a governmental body;

Gov't Code § 552.022(a)(3). The submitted documents include contracts relating to the expenditure of public funds, as well as information contained in an account or voucher relating to the expenditure of public funds by a governmental body, subject to subsection 552.022(a)(3). The university must release this information unless it is expressly confidential under other law. Although you claim this information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.103 of the Government Code, this is a discretionary exception that protects the governmental body's interests and may be waived. *See* Gov't Code § 552.007; *Dallas Area Rapid Transit v. Dallas Morning News*, 4 S.W.3d 469, 475-76 (Tex. App.—Dallas 1999, no pet.) (governmental body may waive section 552.103); Open Records Decision No. 665 at 2 n.5 (2000) (discretionary exceptions generally). As such, section 552.103 is not other law that makes information confidential for the purposes of section 552.022. Therefore, the university may not withhold any of the information subject to section 552.022 under section 552.103.

We will now consider your arguments under section 552.103 of the Government Code for the remaining information not subject to section 552.022. Section 552.103 provides as follows:

> (a) Information is excepted from [required public disclosure] if it is information relating to litigation of a civil or criminal nature to which the state or a political subdivision is or may be a party or to which an officer or employee of the state or a political subdivision, as a consequence of the person's office or employment, is or may be a party.
>
> . . .
>
> (c) Information relating to litigation involving a governmental body or an officer or employee of a governmental body is excepted from disclosure under Subsection (a) only if the litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated on the date that the requestor applies to the officer for public information for access to or duplication of the information.

Gov't Code § 552.103(a), (c). A governmental body has the burden of providing relevant facts and documents to show that the section 552.103(a) exception is applicable in a particular situation. The test for meeting this burden is a showing that (1) litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated on the date the governmental body received the request for information, and (2) the information at issue is related to that litigation. *Univ. of Tex. Law Sch. v. Tex. Legal Found.*, 958 S.W.2d 479, 481 (Tex. App.—Austin 1997, no pet.); *Heard v. Houston Post Co.*, 684 S.W.2d 210, 212 (Tex. App.—Houston [1st Dist.] 1984, writ ref'd n.r.e.); Open Records Decision No. 551 at 4 (1990). A governmental body must meet both prongs of this test for information to be excepted under section 552.103(a).

The question of whether litigation is reasonably anticipated must be determined on a case-by-case basis. *See* Open Records Decision No. 452 at 4 (1986). To establish that litigation is reasonably anticipated, a governmental body must provide this office with "concrete evidence showing that the claim that litigation may ensue is more than mere conjecture." *Id.* This office has stated that a pending Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") complaint indicates litigation is reasonably anticipated. Open Records Decision Nos. 386 at 2 (1983), 336 at 1 (1982).

In this instance, you provide documentation showing that the requestor has filed a complaint with the EEOC against the university for alleged discrimination and retaliation resulting from his whistle blowing activities. You also provide documentation in which the requestor states that he is pursuing multiple courses of legal action against the university and intends to "let the courts decide" if his claims are valid. The requestor also states that he is seeking information "to determine how my wrongful termination/placement on admin leave in spring, 2005 as a [university] faculty member and administrator was the result of my efforts to expose the fraudulent business practices" and to "remedy and expose fraudulent business practices," especially those pertaining to the creation and operation of the International Business doctoral program. Based on your representations and our review of the submitted documents, we find that the university reasonably anticipated litigation when it received this request for information. We also find that the remaining information relates to the anticipated litigation. We therefore conclude that the university may withhold the information we have marked under section 552.103 of the Government Code.

We note, however, that once information has been obtained by all parties to the litigation through discovery or otherwise, no section 552.103(a) interest exists with respect to that information. Open Records Decision Nos. 349 (1982), 320 (1982). The requestor had access to large portions of the information and such portions are not excepted from disclosure under section 552.103(a) and must be disclosed. Further, the applicability of section 552.103(a) ends once the litigation has concluded or is no longer reasonably anticipated. Attorney General Opinion MW-575 (1982); Open Records Decision No. 350 (1982). Because section 552.103 is dispositive, we need not address section 552.1235.

In summary, the university may withhold the information we have marked under section 552.103 of the Government Code. The remaining information must be released to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the

governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Jaime L. Flores
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

JLF/er

Ref: ID# 245284

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Richard Taney
c/o Cherry Kay Wolf
Associate General Counsel
The Texas A&M University System
John B. Connally Building, 6th Floor
301 Tarrow
College Station, Texas 77840-7896
(w/o enclosures)