ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

May 16, 2006

Ms. Ruth H. Soucy
Manager and Legal Counsel
Open Records Division
Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts
P.O. Box 13528
Austin, Texas 78711-3528

OR2006-05033

Dear Ms. Soucy:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 249770.

The Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts (the "comptroller") received a request for (1) all e-mail correspondence of the comptroller's Criminal Investigations Division relating to the requestor and (2) telephone voice messages held by specified comptroller personnel.[1] You state that the comptroller does not have some of the requested information.[2] You state that some of the requested information will be released, but claim that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.107, and 552.108 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.[3]

---

[1]You inform us that the requestor clarified his initial request for information. *See generally* Gov't Code § 552.222 (if request for information is unclear, governmental body may ask requestor to clarify request).

[2]We note the Act does not require a governmental body to disclose information that did not exist when the request for information was received. *Econ. Opportunities Dev. Corp. v. Bustamante*, 562 S.W.2d 266 (Tex.App.–San Antonio 1978, writ dism'd); Open Records Decision No. 452 at 3 (1986).

[3]We assume that the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

Section 552.101 excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Criminal history record information ("CHRI") generated by the National Crime Information Center or by the Texas Crime Information Center is confidential. Title 28, part 20 of the Code of Federal Regulations governs the release of CHRI that states obtain from the federal government or other states. Open Records Decision No. 565 (1990). The federal regulations allow each state to follow its individual law with respect to CHRI it generates. *Id.* Section 411.083 of the Government Code deems confidential CHRI that the Department of Public Safety ("DPS") maintains, except that the DPS may disseminate this information as provided in chapter 411, subchapter F of the Government Code. *See* Gov't Code § 411.083.

Sections 411.083(b)(1) and 411.089(a) authorize a criminal justice agency to obtain CHRI, but a criminal justice agency may not release CHRI except to another criminal justice agency for a criminal justice purpose. *Id.* § 411.089(b)(1). Other entities specified in chapter 411 of the Government Code are entitled to obtain CHRI from DPS or another criminal justice agency; however, those entities may not release CHRI except as provided by chapter 411. *See generally id.* §§ 411.090-411.127. Thus, any CHRI generated by the federal government or another state may not be made available to the requestor except in accordance with federal regulations. *See* Open Records Decision No. 565 (1990). We note that driving record information is not made confidential by the confidentiality provisions that govern CHRI. *See* Gov't Code § 411.082(2)(B) (definition of CHRI does not include driving record information). Therefore, any CHRI obtained from DPS or any other criminal justice agency in the information at issue must be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with chapter 411, subchapter F of the Government Code.[4]

You assert that some of the requested information is excepted under section 552.107(1) of the Government Code, which protects information coming within the attorney-client privilege. When asserting the attorney-client privilege, a governmental body has the burden of providing the necessary facts to demonstrate the elements of the privilege in order to withhold the information at issue. Open Records Decision No. 676 at 6-7 (2002). First, a governmental body must demonstrate that the information constitutes or documents a communication. *Id.* at 7. Second, the communication must have been made "for the purpose of facilitating the rendition of professional legal services" to the client governmental body. TEX. R. EVID. 503(b)(1). The privilege does not apply when an attorney or representative is involved in some capacity other than that of providing or facilitating professional legal services to the client governmental body. *In re Texas Farmers Ins. Exch.*, 990 S.W.2d 337,340 (Tex. App.—Texarkana 1999, orig. proceeding) (attorney-client privilege does not apply if attorney acting in a capacity other than that of attorney). Governmental attorneys often act in capacities other than that of professional legal counsel, such as administrators, investigators, or managers. Thus, the mere fact that a communication involves an attorney for the government does not demonstrate this element. Third, the privilege applies only to communications between or among clients, client representatives,

[4]We note that the requestor can obtain his own CHRI from DPS. Gov't Code § 411.083(b)(3).

lawyers, and lawyer representatives. TEX. R. EVID. 503(b)(1)(A), (B), (C), (D), (E). Thus, a governmental body must inform this office of the identities and capacities of the individuals to whom each communication at issue has been made. Lastly, the attorney-client privilege applies only to a *confidential* communication, *id.* 503(b)(1), meaning it was "not intended to be disclosed to third persons other than those to whom disclosure is made in furtherance of the rendition of professional legal services to the client or those reasonably necessary for the transmission of the communication." *Id.* 503(a)(5).

Whether a communication meets this definition depends on the *intent* of the parties involved at the time the information was communicated. *Osborne v. Johnson*, 954 S.W.2d 180, 184 (Tex. App.—Waco 1997, no writ). Moreover, because the client may elect to waive the privilege at any time, a governmental body must explain that the confidentiality of a communication has been maintained. Section 552.107(1) generally excepts an entire communication that is demonstrated to be protected by the attorney-client privilege unless otherwise waived by the governmental body. *See Huie v. DeShazo*, 922 S.W.2d 920, 923 (Tex. 1996) (privilege extends to entire communication, including facts contained therein).

You assert that the submitted information consists of confidential communications between attorneys for and employees of the comptroller made for the purpose of rendering professional legal advice. Based on this representation and our review of the information at issue, we agree that the submitted information consists of privileged attorney-client communications that the comptroller may withhold under section 552.107.

You assert that some of the submitted information is excepted under section 552.108 of the Government Code. Section 552.108(a)(1) excepts from disclosure "[i]nformation held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime [if] release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime." We note that section 552.108 applies only to records created by an agency, or a portion of an agency, whose primary function is the investigation of crimes and enforcement of criminal laws. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 493 (1988), 287 (1981). Section 552.108 is generally not applicable to records created by an agency whose chief function is essentially regulatory in nature. *See* Open Records Decision No. 199 (1978). The comptroller is a law enforcement agency for purposes of administering the Tax Code. *A&T Consultants, Inc. v. Sharp*, 904 S.W.2d 668, 678-679 (Tex. 1995). However, the information at issue does not pertain to the administration of the Tax Code; thus, the comptroller is not a law enforcement entity for purposes of section 552.108 in this context. Nevertheless, this office has concluded that a non-law enforcement agency may assert section 552.108 on behalf of a law enforcement agency for information that relates to possible criminal conduct that the non-law enforcement agency intends to forward to the law enforcement agency for investigation. *See* Attorney General Opinion MW-575 (1982); Open Records Decision Nos. 493 (1988), 474 (1987), 372 (1983) (where incident involving allegedly criminal conduct is still under active investigation or prosecution, section 552.108 may be invoked by any proper custodian of information which relates to incident). You do not inform us that the comptroller intends to forward any of the information at issue to a law enforcement agency. You also do not inform us that the submitted information consists of

law enforcement records of another law enforcement agency or prosecutor. Accordingly, the comptroller may not withhold the submitted information under section 552.108.

To conclude, the comptroller must withhold any CHRI obtained from DPS or any other criminal justice agency in the submitted information under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with chapter 411, subchapter F of the Government Code. The comptroller may withhold the information marked under section 552.107 of the Government Code. The comptroller must release the remaining information.[5]

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling,

---

[5]We note that the requestor has a right of access to information in the submitted documents that otherwise would be excepted from release under the Act. *See* Gov't Code § 552.023. Thus, the comptroller must again seek a decision from this office if it receives a request for this information from a different requestor.

be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

James L. Coggeshall
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

JLC/eb

Ref: ID# 249770

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Dennis Davis
2708 Blake Street
Austin, Texas 78748
(w/o enclosures)