



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

June 6, 2006

Mr. Michael B. Gary  
Assistant Criminal District Attorney  
Smith County  
100 North Broadway, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Tyler, Texas 75702

OR2006-05894

Dear Mr. Gary:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 250824.

The Smith County Judge (the "judge") received two requests for information pertaining to the construction of a new jail. You state that you have released some of the requested information. You claim that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code.<sup>1</sup> You also believe that the requested information implicates the proprietary interests of Wiginton, Hooker, and Jeffry ("WHJ") and you have notified this third party of the request for information and its opportunity to submit comments to this office. See Gov't Code § 552.305 (permitting interested third party to submit to attorney general reasons why requested information should not be released); Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining that statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception to disclosure in certain circumstances). We have considered the claimed exception and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note that an interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure.

---

<sup>1</sup>We assume that the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. See Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This ruling does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

*See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, WHJ has not submitted to this office reasons explaining why the judge should not release its information. Therefore, this third party has provided us with no basis to conclude that it has a protected proprietary interest in any of the information at issue, and none of it may be withheld on that basis. *See* Gov't Code § 552.110(b) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual or evidentiary material, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that it actually faces competition and that substantial competitive injury would likely result from disclosure); Open Records Decision Nos. 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3 (1990).

Next, you indicate that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. This exception protects information that is considered to be confidential under other law. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 600 at 4 (1992) (constitutional privacy), 478 at 2 (1987) (statutory confidentiality), 611 at 1 (1992) (common-law privacy). You state that the Smith County Sheriff's Office is the "keeper of the [county] jail" and claim that the submitted information should be withheld due to "security concerns." However, you have not asserted any law under which any of the information at issue is considered to be confidential for purposes of section 552.101. Therefore, you may not withhold the submitted information under section 552.101. As you have raised no other exceptions to disclosure, the submitted information must be released to the requestors.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).


If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Tamara L. Harswick  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

TLH/eb

Ref: ID# 250824

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Vance L. Metcalf  
Kent, Good, Anderson & Bush, P.C.  
1121 E.S.E. Loop 323, Suite 200  
Tyler, Texas 75701  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. David J. Bush  
Kent, Good, Anderson & Bush, P.C.  
1121 E.S.E. Loop 323, Suite 200  
Tyler, Texas 75701  
(w/o enclosures)