



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

June 7, 2006

Mr. Nathan C. Barrow
Assistant City Attorney
City of Fort Worth
1000 Throckmorton Street
Fort Worth, Texas 76102

OR2006-05961

Dear Mr. Barrow:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 251119.

The City of Fort Worth (the "city") received a request for internal affairs investigations and other personnel records relating to a named police officer. You inform us that some of the requested information will be released. You claim that the remaining information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.115, and 552.122 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

First, you indicate that the city intends to withhold certain information relating to the police officer pursuant to the previous determination of this office in Open Records Decision No. 670 (2001). In that decision, we determined that a governmental body may withhold the home address, home telephone number, personal cellular phone number, personal pager number, social security number, and information that reveals whether the individual has family members, of any individual who meets the definition of "peace officer" set forth in article 2.12 of the Texas Code of Criminal Procedure or "security officer" in section 51.212 of the Texas Education Code, without the necessity of requesting an attorney general decision as to the applicability of the section 552.117(a)(2) exception. *See* Open Records Decision No. 670 (2001); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 673 at 7-8 (2001) (listing elements of second type of previous determination under section 552.301(a)). We therefore agree the city must withhold the officer's home address, home telephone number, personal cellular phone number, personal pager number, social security number, and information that reveals whether the officer has family members pursuant to the previous determination in Open Records Decision No. 670.

Section 552.101 excepts from disclosure “information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision.” Gov’t Code § 552.101. This section encompasses information protected by other statutes, such as section 143.089 of the Local Government Code. The City of Fort Worth is a civil service city under chapter 143 of the Local Government Code. Section 143.089 contemplates two different types of personnel files, a police officer’s civil service file that a city’s civil service director is required to maintain, and an internal file that the police department may maintain for its own use. Local Gov’t Code § 143.089(a), (g).

In cases in which a police department investigates a police officer’s misconduct and takes disciplinary action against an officer, it is required by section 143.089(a)(2) to place all investigatory records relating to the investigation and disciplinary action, including background documents such as complaints, witness statements, and documents of like nature from individuals who were not in a supervisory capacity, in the police officer’s civil service file maintained under section 143.089(a).¹ *Abbott v. City of Corpus Christi*, 109 S.W.3d 113, 122 (Tex. App.—Austin 2003, no pet.). All investigatory materials in a case resulting in disciplinary action are “from the employing department” when they are held by or in possession of the department because of its investigation into a police officer’s misconduct, and the department must forward them to the civil service commission for placement in the civil service personnel file. *Id.* Such records are subject to release under the Act. *See id.* § 143.089(f); Open Records Decision No. 562 at 6 (1990).

However, a document relating to a police officer’s alleged misconduct may not be placed in his civil service personnel file if there is insufficient evidence to sustain the charge of misconduct. Local Gov’t Code § 143.089(b). Information that reasonably relates to a police officer’s employment relationship with the police department and that is maintained in a police department’s internal file pursuant to section 143.089(g) is confidential and must not be released. *City of San Antonio v. San Antonio Express-News*, 47 S.W.3d 556 (Tex. App.—San Antonio 2000, pet. denied); *City of San Antonio v. Tex. Attorney General*, 851 S.W.2d 946, 949 (Tex. App.—Austin 1993, writ denied).

You inform us that the information in Exhibit C is maintained in the city police department’s internal files concerning this officer, and that this investigation did not result in disciplinary action. Based on your representations and our review of the records at issue, we agree that this information is confidential pursuant to section 143.089(g) of the Local Government Code and must be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code. You do not assert that any portion of the remaining submitted information is maintained in the city police department’s internal files and therefore confidential pursuant to section 143.089(g). Accordingly, we will address your arguments regarding the remaining submitted information.

¹Chapter 143 prescribes the following types of disciplinary actions: removal, suspension, demotion, and uncompensated duty. *See* Local Gov’t Code §§ 143.051-.055. A letter of reprimand does not constitute discipline under chapter 143.

You inform us that the information in Exhibit E is information acquired from a polygraph examination. Section 552.101 also encompasses section 1703.306 of the Occupations Code, which provides that “[a] governmental agency that acquires information from a polygraph examination under this section shall maintain the confidentiality of the information.” Occ. Code § 1703.306(b). The city must withhold the polygraph information in Exhibit E under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 1703.306 of the Occupations Code.

Exhibit G includes L-2 Declarations of Medical Condition and L-3 Declarations of Psychological and Emotional Health required by the Texas Commission on Law Enforcement Officer Standards and Education (the “commission”). These declarations are confidential pursuant to section 1701.306 of the Occupations Code, which provides:

(a) The commission may not issue a license to a person as an officer or county jailer unless the person is examined by:

(1) a licensed psychologist or by a psychiatrist who declares in writing that the person is in satisfactory psychological and emotional health to serve as the type of officer for which a license is sought; and

(2) a licensed physician who declares in writing that the person does not show any trace of drug dependency or illegal drug use after a physical examination, blood test, or other medical test.

(b) An agency hiring a person for whom a license as an officer or county jailer is sought shall select the examining physician and the examining psychologist or psychiatrist. The agency shall prepare a report of each declaration required by Subsection (a) and shall maintain a copy of the report on file in a format readily accessible to the commission. A declaration is not public information.

Occ. Code § 1701.306. Therefore, the city must withhold the L-2 and L-3 declarations, which we have marked in Exhibit G, under section 552.101 in conjunction with section 1701.306 of the Government Code.

Exhibit G also includes information that is subject to chapter 611 of the Health and Safety Code, which provides for the confidentiality of records created or maintained by a mental health professional. Section 611.002 provides in part the following:

Communications between a patient and a professional, and records of the identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment of a patient that are created or maintained by a professional, are confidential.

Health & Safety Code § 611.002(a). Section 611.001 defines a “professional” as (1) a person authorized to practice medicine, (2) a person licensed or certified by the state to diagnose,

evaluate or treat mental or emotional conditions or disorders, or (3) a person the patient reasonably believes is authorized, licensed, or certified. Sections 611.004 and 611.0045 provide for access to mental health records only by certain individuals. *See* Open Records Decision No. 565 (1990). We have marked the submitted information constituting mental health records that may only be released in accordance with the access provisions of sections 611.004 and 611.0045 of the Health and Safety Code.

Section 552.101 also encompasses chapter 560 of the Government Code. Fingerprint information is governed by sections 560.001, 560.002, and 560.003 of the Government Code, which provide:

Sec. 560.001. DEFINITIONS. In this chapter

- (1) "Biometric identifier" means a retina or iris scan, fingerprint, voiceprint, or record of hand or face geometry.
- (2) "Governmental body" has the meaning assigned by Section 552.003 [of the Government Code], except that the term includes each entity within or created by the judicial branch of state government.

Sec. 560.002. DISCLOSURE OF BIOMETRIC IDENTIFIER. A governmental body that possesses a biometric identifier of an individual:

- (1) may not sell, lease, or otherwise disclose the biometric identifier to another person unless:
 - (A) the individual consents to the disclosure;
 - (B) the disclosure is required or permitted by a federal statute or by a state statute other than Chapter 552 [of the Government Code]; or
 - (C) the disclosure is made by or to a law enforcement agency for a law enforcement purpose; and
- (2) shall store, transmit, and protect from disclosure the biometric identifier using reasonable care and in a manner that is the same as or more protective than the manner in which the governmental body stores, transmits, and protects its other confidential information.

Sec. 560.003. APPLICATION OF CHAPTER 552. A biometric identifier in the possession of a governmental body is exempt from disclosure under Chapter 552.

Gov't Code §§ 560.001-.003. Thus, pursuant to section 552.101 and section 560.003, the city must withhold the fingerprint information we have marked unless section 560.002 permits its disclosure.

Section 552.101 also encompasses confidentiality relating to criminal history record information ("CHRI"). CHRI generated by the National Crime Information Center ("NCIC") or by the Texas Crime Information Center ("TCIC") is confidential. Title 28, part 20 of the Code of Federal Regulations governs the release of CHRI that states obtain from the federal government or other states. Open Records Decision No. 565 (1990). The federal regulations allow each state to follow its individual law with respect to CHRI it generates. *Id.* Section 411.083 of the Government Code deems confidential CHRI that the Department of Public Safety ("DPS") maintains, except that the DPS may disseminate this information as provided in chapter 411, subchapter F of the Government Code. *See* Gov't Code § 411.083.

Sections 411.083(b)(1) and 411.089(a) authorize a criminal justice agency to obtain CHRI; however, a criminal justice agency may not release CHRI except to another criminal justice agency for a criminal justice purpose. *Id.* § 411.089(b)(1). Other entities specified in chapter 411, subchapter F, are also criminal justice agencies; however, those entities may not release CHRI except as provided by chapter 411. *See generally id.* §§ 411.090 - .127. Thus, any CHRI generated by the federal government or another state may not be made available to the requestor except in accordance with federal regulations. *See* Open Records Decision No. 565 (1990). Furthermore, any CHRI obtained from DPS or any other criminal justice agency must be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with Government Code chapter 411, subchapter F. We have marked the CHRI in the submitted records that must be withheld under section 552.101 in conjunction with chapter 411 of the Government Code.

Section 552.101 also encompasses the common law right of privacy, which protects information if it (1) contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) is not of legitimate concern to the public. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). The types of information considered intimate and embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation* included information relating to sexual assault, pregnancy, mental or physical abuse in the workplace, illegitimate children, psychiatric treatment of mental disorders, attempted suicide, and injuries to sexual organs. *Id.* at 683. In addition, this office has found that the following types of information are excepted from required public disclosure under common law privacy: personal financial information not relating to a financial transaction between an individual and a governmental body, *see* Open Records Decision Nos. 600 (1992), 545 (1990), some kinds of medical information or information indicating disabilities or specific illnesses, and identities of victims of sexual abuse. Upon review, we find that a portion of the submitted information is protected under common law privacy. Thus, the city must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 in conjunction with common law privacy.

You assert that the submitted birth certificate in Exhibit H is excepted from disclosure under section 552.115 of the Government Code. Birth or death records maintained by the bureau

of vital statistics of the Texas Department of Health or a local registration official are excepted from required public disclosure under section 552.115. However, because the city is not the bureau of vital statistics or a local registration official, birth certificates held by the city may not be withheld under section 552.115.

Now we turn to your arguments for Exhibit J. Section 552.122 of the Government Code excepts from public disclosure "a test item developed by a . . . governmental body[.]" Gov't Code § 552.122(b). In Open Records Decision No. 626 (1994), this office determined that the term "test item" in section 552.122 includes "any standard means by which an individual's or group's knowledge or ability in a particular area is evaluated," but does not encompass evaluations of an employee's overall job performance or suitability. *Id.* at 6. The question of whether specific information falls within the scope of section 552.122(b) must be determined on a case-by-case basis. *Id.* Traditionally, this office has applied section 552.122 where release of "test items" might compromise the effectiveness of future examinations. *Id.* at 4-5; *see also* Open Records Decision No. 118 (1976). Section 552.122 also protects the answers to test questions when the answers might reveal the questions themselves. *See* Attorney General Opinion JM-640 at 3 (1987); Open Records Decision No. 626 at 8 (1994).

Having considered your arguments, we find that the submitted interview questions qualify as test items under section 552.122(b). We also find that the release of the answers to these questions would tend to reveal the questions themselves. We therefore conclude that the city may withhold Exhibit J under section 552.122 of the Government Code.

Next, we note that the submitted information contains Texas motor vehicle record information.² Section 552.130 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure information that "relates to . . . a motor vehicle operator's or driver's license or permit issued by an agency of this state [or] a motor vehicle title or registration issued by an agency of this state." Gov't Code § 552.130. Thus, to the extent that the information that we have marked is Texas motor vehicle record information, the city must withhold it under section 552.130.

Finally, section 552.136 of the Government Code states that "[n]otwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential." Gov't Code § 552.136. The city must, therefore, withhold the account numbers we have marked under section 552.136.

In summary, the city must withhold the officer's home address, home telephone number, personal cellular phone number, personal pager number, social security number, and information that reveals whether the officer has family members pursuant to the previous determination in Open Records Decision No. 670. Pursuant to section 552.101 of the

²The Office of the Attorney General will raise mandatory exceptions like sections 552.130 of the Government Code on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

Government Code, the city must withhold the L-2 and L-3 declarations we have marked in Exhibit G in conjunction with section 1701.306 of the Occupations Code, Exhibit C in conjunction with section 143.089 of the Local Government Code, Exhibit E in conjunction with section 1703.306 of the Occupations Code, and the CHRI we have marked in conjunction with section 411.083 of the Government Code. The marked mental health records may only be released in accordance with the access provisions of sections 611.004 and 611.0045 of the Health and Safety Code. The city must withhold the marked information in Exhibits D and G in conjunction with common law privacy. The city must withhold the information we have marked in Exhibit I pursuant to section 552.101 in conjunction with section 560.003 of the Government Code. The city may withhold Exhibit J under section 552.122 of the Government Code. The city must withhold the information we have marked pursuant to sections 552.130 of the Government Code and 552.136 of the Government Code. The remaining submitted information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be

sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Brian J. Rogers
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

BJR/krl

Ref: ID# 251119

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Brian Guerra
The Coffey Firm
4700 Airport Freeway
Fort Worth, Texas 76117
(w/o enclosures)