ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

July 26, 2006

Mr. David L. Hay
Officer for Public Information
Dallas County Community College District
701 Elm Street
Dallas, Texas 75202-3299

OR2006-08123

Dear Mr. Hay:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 254874.

The Dallas County Community College District (the "district") received two requests for the settlement agreement between the former Chancellor and the district trustees. You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.107 of the Government Code, as well as Texas Rule of Evidence 503. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note that the submitted information is subject to section 552.022 of the Government Code. Section 552.022 makes certain information public, unless it is expressly confidential under other law. *See* Gov't Code § 552.022(a). One category of public information under section 552.022 is "a settlement agreement to which a governmental body is a party[.]" *Id.* § 552.022(a)(18). The submitted information includes a settlement agreement to which the district is a party that must be released unless it is confidential under other law. Section 552.107 of the Government Code is a discretionary exception to disclosure that protect a governmental body's interests and may be waived. *See* Gov't Code § 552.007; Open Records Decision Nos. 676 at 10-11 (2002) (attorney-client privilege under section 552.107(1) may be waived), 665 at 2 n.5 (discretionary exceptions generally). As such, section 552.107 is not other law that makes information confidential for the purposes

of section 552.022. Thus, the district may not withhold any of the information that is subject to section 552.022 under section 552.107.

The Texas Supreme Court has held, however, that the Texas Rules of Evidence are "other law" within the meaning of section 552.022 of the Government Code. *See In re City of Georgetown*, 53 S.W.3d 328, 336 (Tex. 2001). Therefore, we will consider whether any of the information subject to section 552.022 may be withheld under rule 503.

Texas Rule of Evidence 503 enacts the attorney-client privilege. Rule 503(b)(1) provides as follows:

> A client has a privilege to refuse to disclose and to prevent any other person from disclosing confidential communications made for the purpose of facilitating the rendition of professional legal services to the client:
>
> (A) between the client or a representative of the client and the client's lawyer or a representative of the lawyer;
>
> (B) between the lawyer and the lawyer's representative;
>
> (C) by the client or a representative of the client, or the client's lawyer or a representative of the lawyer, to a lawyer or a representative of a lawyer representing another party in a pending action and concerning a matter of common interest therein;
>
> (D) between representatives of the client or between the client and a representative of the client; or
>
> (E) among lawyers and their representatives representing the same client.

TEX. R. EVID. 503(b)(1). A communication is "confidential" if not intended to be disclosed to third persons other than those to whom disclosure is made in furtherance of the rendition of professional legal services to the client or those reasonably necessary for the transmission of the communication. *Id.* 503(a)(5). Thus, in order to withhold attorney-client privileged information from disclosure under rule 503, a governmental body must: (1) show that the document is a communication transmitted between privileged parties or reveals a confidential communication; (2) identify the parties involved in the communication; and (3) show that the communication is confidential by explaining that it was not intended to be disclosed to third persons and that it was made in furtherance of the rendition of professional legal services to the client. Upon a demonstration of all three factors, the information is privileged and confidential under rule 503, provided the client has not waived the privilege or the document does not fall within the purview of the exceptions to the privilege enumerated in

rule 503(d). *Pittsburgh Corning Corp. v. Caldwell*, 861 S.W.2d 423, 427 (Tex. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1993, no writ).

You contend that the submitted information is protected by the attorney-client privilege under rule 503. You state that the submitted information constitutes confidential attorney-client communications between the district's board of trustees, the former chancellor, the district's attorney, and outside counsel. In this instance, however, the former chancellor is an outside party who is not represented by the district. Therefore, we conclude that you may not withhold the submitted information pursuant to rule 503 of the Texas Rules of Evidence as a privileged attorney-client communication. As you raise no further exceptions to disclosure, the submitted information must be released to the requestors.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

Anne Prentice

Anne Prentice
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

AP/sdk

Ref: ID# 254874

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Jim O'Neill
Higher Education Reporter
The Dallas Morning News
P.O. Box 655237
Dallas, Texas 75265
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Jack Fink
News Reporter
KTVT Television/CBS 11 News
10111 North Central Expressway
Dallas, Texas 75231
(w/o enclosures)