ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

August 18, 2006

Ms. Mary Jean Meier
Adminstrative Support II
Baytown Police Department
3200 North Main Street
Baytown, Texas 77521

OR2006-09372

Dear Ms. Meier:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 256959.

The Baytown Police Department (the "department") received a request for information pertaining to a specified incident. You state that the department will redact social security numbers pursuant to section 552.147 of the Government Code.[1] You claim that some of the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.130 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." The Texas courts have recognized the informer's privilege. *See Aguilar v. State*, 444 S.W.2d 935, 937 (Tex. Crim. App. 1969). It protects from disclosure the identities of persons who report activities over which the governmental body has criminal or quasi-criminal law-enforcement authority, provided that the subject of the information does not already know the informer's identity. Open Records Decision Nos. 515 at 3 (1988), 208 at 1-2 (1978). The informer's privilege protects the identities of individuals who report violations of statutes to the police or similar

[1]We note that section 552.147(b) of the Government Code authorizes a governmental body to redact a living person's social security number from public release without the necessity of requesting a decision from this office under the Act.

law-enforcement agencies, as well as those who report violations of statutes with civil or criminal penalties to "administrative officials having a duty of inspection or of law enforcement within their particular spheres." Open Records Decision No. 279 at 2 (1981) (citing Wigmore, Evidence, § 2374, at 767 (McNaughton rev. ed. 1961)). The report must be of a violation of a criminal or civil statute. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 582 at 2 (1990), 515 at 4-5 (1988). The informer's privilege protects the content of the informer's communication only to the extent it identifies the informer. *Roviaro v. United States*, 353 U.S. 53, 60 (1957). We find that the information you seek to withhold does not identify an informer. Therefore, the information cannot be withheld from disclosure under section 552.101 in conjunction with the informer's privilege.

Next, you claim that the pink highlighted information is confidential under section 552.130 of the Government Code. In relevant part, section 552.130 provides:

> (a) Information is excepted from required public disclosure if the information relates to:
>
> > (1) a motor vehicle operator's or driver's license or permit issued by an agency of this state; [or]
> >
> > (2) a motor vehicle title or registration issued by an agency of this state[.]

Gov't Code § 552.130. After reviewing the submitted information, we agree you must withhold the Texas-issued motor vehicle record information you have highlighted in pink under section 552.130 of the Government Code.

In summary, the department must withhold the information you have marked under section 552.130 of the Government Code. The remaining submitted information must be released.[2]

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

---

[2]Section 552.023 of the Government Code grants a special right of access to a person or a person's authorized representative to records that contain information relating to the person that is protected from public disclosure by laws intended to protect that person's privacy interests. In this instance, the requestor has a special right of access under section 552.023 to some of the submitted information. If the department receives another request for this same information from a different requestor, the department should resubmit the information to us and request another ruling. *See* Gov't Code §§ 552.301, .302; Open Records Decision No. 673 (2001).

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

Tamara L. Harswick

Tamara L. Harswick
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

TLH/krl

Ref: ID# 256959

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Ms. Trixie Washington
P. O. Box 7024
Baytown, Texas 77522
(w/o enclosures)