



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

August 29, 2006

Mr. Rashaad V. Gambrell  
Assistant City Attorney  
City of Houston - Legal Department  
P. O. Box 1562  
Houston, Texas 77251-1562

OR2006-09973

Dear Mr. Gambrell:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 257802.

The Houston Police Department (the "department") received a request for all investigative reports and documents regarding a specific collision, along with the disciplinary history of the officer. You state that the department will release a responsive accident report and that the department does not maintain some of the requested information.<sup>1</sup> You claim that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.108, 552.1175, 552.130, and 552.147 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.101 excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." This section encompasses

---

<sup>1</sup>The Act does not require a governmental body to disclose information that did not exist at the time the request was received, nor does it require a governmental body to prepare new information in response to a request. *Econ. Opportunities Dev. Corp. v. Bustamante*, 562 S.W.2d 266 (Tex. Civ. App.—San Antonio 1978, writ dismissed); Attorney General Opinion H-90 (1973); Open Records Decision Nos. 452 at 2-3 (1986), 342 at 3 (1982), 87 (1975); see also Open Records Decision Nos. 572 at 1 (1990), 555 at 1-2 (1990), 416 at 5 (1984).

information protected by other statutes. Section 143.1214 of the Local Government Code provides in part the following:

(b) The department shall maintain an investigatory file that relates to a disciplinary action against a fire fighter or police officer that was overturned on appeal, or any document in the possession of the department that relates to a charge of misconduct against a fire fighter or police officer, regardless of whether the charge is sustained, only in a file created by the department for the department's use. The department may only release information in those investigatory files or documents relating to a charge of misconduct:

- (1) to another law enforcement agency or fire department;
- (2) to the office of a district or United States attorney; or
- (3) in accordance with Subsection (c).

(c) The department head or the department head's designee may forward a document that relates to disciplinary action against a fire fighter or police officer to the director or the director's designee for inclusion in the fire fighter's or police officer's personnel file maintained under Sections 143.089(a)-(f) only if:

- (1) disciplinary action was actually taken against the fire fighter or police officer;
- (2) the document shows the disciplinary action taken; and
- (3) the document includes at least a brief summary of the facts on which the disciplinary action was based.

Local Gov't Code § 143.1214(b)-(c). You explain that the submitted information consists of investigations by the department of alleged misconduct by the police officer at issue. You assert that Exhibit 3 contains the investigation of an allegation that was sustained, and the officer at issue was disciplined; however, you inform us that the information pertaining to this incident does not meet the conditions specified by section 143.1214(c) for inclusion in the officer's civil service personnel file. You further state that you have "forwarded the documents from Exhibit 3 meeting the requirements of § 143.1214(c) to the appropriate officer's personnel file maintained under 143.089(a)."

You also assert that Exhibit 2 consists of an investigation in which the allegations were not sustained and no disciplinary action was taken. Thus, you indicate that the submitted information is maintained by the department in departmental files and that it is not part of the police officer's civil service personnel file. *See id.* § 143.1214(c); *see also id.*

§ 143.089(g). Based on your representations and our review of the information at issue, we agree that the submitted information is confidential under section 143.1214 of the Local Government Code, and the department must withhold it under section 552.101 of the Government Code.<sup>2</sup> See Open Records Decision No. 642 (1996).

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).


Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

---

<sup>2</sup>Because we are able to resolve this under section 143.1214, we do not address your other arguments for exception of the submitted information.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'J. Vela III', written in a cursive style.

José Vela III  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

JV/krl

Ref: ID# 257802

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Radford Sallee  
Houston Chronicle  
801 Texas Avenue  
Houston, Texas 77002  
(w/o enclosures)