



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

August 30, 2006

Mr. Thomas Bailey  
Legal Services  
VIA Metropolitan Transit  
P. O. Box 12489  
San Antonio, Texas 78212

OR2006-10063

Dear Mr. Bailey:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 262485.

VIA Metropolitan Transit ("VIA") received a request for documents pertaining to a Request for Proposals ("RFP") for a VIA contract for an "Onboard Surveillance Camera System." You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.104 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.<sup>1</sup>

Section 552.104 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information that, if released, would give advantage to a competitor or bidder." Gov't Code § 552.104(a). The purpose of section 552.104 is to protect a governmental body's interests in competitive bidding situations. *See* Open Records Decision No. 592 (1991). Moreover, section 552.104 requires a showing of some actual or specific harm in a particular competitive situation; a general allegation that a competitor will gain an unfair advantage will not suffice. *See* Open

---

<sup>1</sup>We assume that the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

Records Decision No. 541 at 4 (1990). Generally, section 552.104 does not except information relating to competitive bidding situations once a bid has been awarded and a contract has been executed. *See id.*; *See also* Open Records Decision No. 593 (1991) (Section 552.104 applies prior to contract award).

You state that, when VIA received the request, it was in the process of an internal review of the RFP involved as the result of a formal protest filed by a bidder. You argue that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.104 because the contract award has been suspended pending the outcome of the protest, and release of the submitted information before the contract has been awarded would provide an advantage to a competitive bidder. Specifically, depending upon the outcome of the protest, either a new RFP will be issued or negotiations will proceed with the chosen vendor. Based upon your representations and our review, we find that you have established that VIA has a competitive interest that would be harmed by release of the submitted information. Therefore, VIA may withhold the submitted information under section 552.104 of the Government Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental

body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Alix K. Cornett  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

AKC/krl

Ref: ID# 262485

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Eliseo A. Chapa  
Executive Director  
Golden Eagle Technologies, LLC  
5003-B N. McColl Road, Ste. 2  
McAllen, Texas 78504  
(w/o enclosures)